Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Docket #4277 Date Filed: 06/24/2025 Main Document Faye 10113

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (admitted *pro hac vice*) John A. Morris (admitted *pro hac vice*) Gregory V. Demo (admitted *pro hac vice*) Jordan A. Kroop (admitted *pro hac vice*) Hayley R. Winograd (admitted *pro hac vice*) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 277-6910

HAYWARD PLLC

Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7100

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P. and the Highland Claimant Trust QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP Deborah J. Newman (admitted *pro hac vice*) Robert S. Loigman (admitted *pro hac vice*) 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP Paige Holden Montgomery 2021 McKinney Avenue Suite 2000 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 981-3300

Co-Counsel for Marc S. Kirschner, as Litigation Trustee of The Highland Litigation Sub-Trust

Case No. 19-34054-sgj11

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:

Chapter 11

§ § §

ş

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,¹

Reorganized Debtor.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., HIGHLAND CLAIMANT TRUST, AND LITIGATION SUB-TRUST AMENDED WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LIST WITH RESPECT TO HEARING TO BE HELD ON JUNE 25, 2025

Highland Capital Management, L.P., the reorganized debtor (the "Debtor" or "Highland,"

as applicable) in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Bankruptcy Case"), the Highland



¹ The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (8357). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Crescent Court, Suite 1850, Dallas, TX 75201.

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 15

Claimant Trust (the "<u>Claimant Trust</u>"), and the Highland Litigation Sub-Trust (the "<u>Litigation</u> <u>Sub-Trust</u>," and together with Highland and the Claimant Trust, the "<u>Movants</u>"), by and through their undersigned counsel, submit the following amended witness and exhibit list with respect to the *Motion for Entry of an Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019 and 11 U.S.C. § 363 Approving Settlement with the HMIT Entities and Authorizing Actions Consistent Therewith* [Docket No. 4216], which the Court has set for hearing at 9:30 a.m. (Central Time) on June 25, 2025 (the "<u>Hearing</u>") in the Bankruptcy Case.

A. <u>Witnesses</u>:

- 1. James P. Seery, Jr.;
- 2. James Dondero;
- 3. Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq;
- 4. Julie Diaz (by deposition transcript);
- 5. Any witness identified by or called by any other party; and
- 6. Any witness necessary for rebuttal.

B. <u>Amended Exhibits</u>:²

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
А.	<u>Settlement Agreement</u>		
1.	Declaration of Gregory V. Demo in Support of Motion for Entry of an Order Pursuant to a Bankruptcy Rule 9019 and 11 U.S.C. § 363 Approving Settlement Agreement with the HMIT Entities and Authorizing Actions Consistent Therewith [Docket No. 4217] [HCMLPHMIT00002688-HCMLPHMIT00002715]		
В.	Negotiation Documents		

² The amendments to the original exhibit list, filed at Docket No. 4255, include the additions of two exhibits: Exhibit Nos. 124 & 125, in bold font below.

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 15

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
2.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 5, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000015-HCMLPHMIT00000017]		
3.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 13, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000022-00000026]		
4.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 18, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000028-HCMLPHMIT00000029]		
5.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 19, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000030-HCMLPHMIT00000031]		
6.	Confidentiality Agreement-M Patrick and Related Entities [HCMLPHMIT00000032-HCMLPHMIT00000045]		
7.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris and A. Hurt dated March 22, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000046-HCMLPHMIT00000048]		
8.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 24, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000052-HCMLPHMIT00000054]		
9.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 24, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000055-HCMLPHMIT00000056]		
10.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 28, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000057]		
11.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 30, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000058]		
12.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated March 31, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000059]		
13.	HCLOM Intercreditor and Participation Agreement [HCMLPHMIT00000060-HCMLPHMIT00000063]		
14.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 2, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000075-HCMLPHMIT00000078]		
15.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 2, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000079-HCMLPHMIT00000080]		
16.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 3, 2025 with attachment - Paul Murphy Joinder to Confidentiality Agreement [HCMLPHMIT00000081-HCMLPHMIT00000083]		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 15

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
17.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 4, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000089]		
18.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 7, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000090-HCMLPHMIT00000092]		
19.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 7, 2025 with attachment – Shawn Raver Joinder to Confidentiality Agreement		
20.	[HCMLPHMIT00000093-HCMLPHMIT00000096]Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 7, 2025[HCMLPHMIT00000099-HCMLPHMIT00000102]		
21.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 7, 2025 with attachments- Phillips Joinder to Confidentiality Agreement (signed by Phillips) and A. Hurt Joinder to Confidentiality Agreement (signed by Hurt) [HCMLPHMIT00000103-HCMLPHMIT00000107]		
22.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 8, 2025 with fully executed HCLOM Remittance Agreement [HCMLPHMIT00000108-HCMLPHMIT00000112]		
23.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 10, 2025 with HMIT 2022 Settlement Agreement		
24.	[HCMLPHMIT00000118-HCMLPHMIT00000130]Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated April 17, 2025 with attachment James Shields Joinder to Confidentiality Agreement[HCMLPHMIT00000135-HCMLPHMIT00000150]		
25.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 9, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000157-HCMLPHMIT00000158]		
26.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 9, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000159-HCMLPHMIT00000161]		
27.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 13, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000162-HCMLPHMIT00000163]		
28.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 14, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000164-HCMLPHMIT00000166]		
29.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 14, 2025 with Redlines of Settlement Agreement [HCMLPHMIT00000167-HCMLPHMIT00000218]		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 15

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
30.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 15, 2025		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000226-HCMLPHMIT00000234]		
31.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 16, 2025 with Clean and Redline of Rand Settlement Agreement		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000235-HCMLPHMIT00000283]		
32.	Email from L. Phillips to T. Cournoyer dated May 16, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000287-HCMLPHMIT00000289]		
33.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Seery, M. Patrick, S. Raver, J. Shields, A. Hurt dated May 17, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000290]		
34.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated May 17, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000291-HCMLPHMIT00000292]		
35.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris, J. Pomerantz dated May 19, 2025 with attachment HMIT Redlined Pages only to Settlement Agreement		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000295-HCMLPHMIT00000297] Email from L. Phillips to G. Demo, A. Hurt, J. Shields dated		
36.	May 19, 2025		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000342-HCMLPHMIT00000344]		
37.	Email from L. Phillips to G. Demo, J. Morris, J. Pomerantz dated May 19, 2025 with attachment - M. Patrick signature of HMIT Rand Settlement Agreement [HCMLPHMIT00000345; HCMLPHMIT00000347-		
	HCHMLPHMIT00000370]		
38.	Email from L. Phillips to G. Demo, J. Morris, J. Pomerantz date May 19, 2025		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000371-HCMLPHMIT00000372]		
39.	Email from L. Phillips to G. Demo, J. Morris, J. Pomerantz dated May 19, 2025		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000373-HCMLPHMIT00000374]		
40.	Email from L. Phillips to G. Demo, J. Morris, J. Pomerantz dated May 19, 2025		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000423-HCMLPHMIT00000428]		
41.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips dated March 22, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000562-HCMLPHMIT00000563]		

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
42.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips, A. Hurt dated March 22, 2025 with attachment – fully executed Confidentiality Agreement		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000564-HCMLPHMIT00000580]		
43.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips dated April 4, 2025 with attachment Litigation Protections M. Patrick		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000621-HCMLPHMIT00000631]		
44.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips dated April 7, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000642-HCMLPHMIT00000644]		
45.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips dated April 8, 2025 with attachment – current snapshot of remaining assets [HCMLPHMIT00000656HCMLPHMIT00000661]		
46.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips dated April 16, 2025 with attachment – Second Amended and Restated Indemnity Trust Agreement		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000672-HCMLPHMIT00000727]		
47.	April 15, 2025 Draft Illustrative Highland Indemnity Trust Payout Schedule		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000724-HCMLPHMIT00000727]		
48.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips dated May 15, 2025 with attachment – Draft Rand Settlement Agreement and Redline		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000766-HCMLPHMIT00000820]		
49.	Email from J. Morris to L. Phillips dated May 15, 2025 with Clean and Redline Settlement Agreement [HCMLPHMIT00000829-HCMLPHMIT00000877]		
50.	Email from L. Phillips to D. Klos dated April 17, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000947]		
51.	Email re: Microsoft Teams call from D. Klos to J. Seery, T. Cournoyer, K. Hendrix, J. Morris, G. Demo, M. Patrick, S. Raver, Paul, L. Phillips, A. Hurt dated April 7, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000949]		
52.	Microsoft Teams Appointment for J. Seery, T. Cournoyer, K. Hendrix, J. Morris, G. Demo, M. Patrick, S. Raver, Paul, L. Phillips, A. Hurt scheduled for April 8, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00000950]		

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
53.	Email from D. Klos to J. Shields dated April 22, 2025 with attachment – Potential Settlement Structure with DAF and HMIT		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000987-HCMLPHMIT00001000]		
54.	Email from J. Seery to M. Patrick, S. Raver, L. Phillips, A. Hurt, J. Shields dated April 28, 2025 with attachment – Draft Rand Settlement Agreement [HCMLPHMIT00001001-HCMLPHMIT00001025]		
55.	Email Microsoft Teams appointment from D. Klos to J. Seery, K. Hendrix, M. Patrick, S. Raver, Paul dated April 3, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00001037]		
56.	Email from D. Klos to M. Patrick dated April 2, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00001042]		
57.	Email from J. Seery to J. Morris, T. Cournoyer, D. Klos, M. Gray dated June 11, 2025 with attachment – Class 9 Consent to Rand Settlement and Release [HCMLPHMIT00001220-HCMLPHMIT00001245]		
C.	Class 9 Documents		
58.	Letter to P. Daugherty from J. Seery re: Eighth Distribution re: Highland Claimant Trust dated May 20, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00002329]		
59.	Written Consent of Holders of Class 9 Subordinated Claim Trust Interests in the Highland Claimant Trust dated May 16, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00002677-HCMLPHMIT00002682]		
60.	Tolling Agreement Extending Claim Objection Deadline dated July 27, 2022		
61.	Amendment No. 1 to Tolling Agreement Extending the Claim Objection Deadline dated December 21, 2022		
62.	Amendment No. 2 to Tolling Agreement Extending Claim Objection Deadline dated November 6, 2023		
63.	Amendment No. 3 to Tolling Agreement Extending Claim Objection Deadline dated November 20, 2024		
D.	HCLOM/HMIT		

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
64.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris dated January 6, 2025		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000008-HCMLPHMIT00000009]		
65.	Email from L. Phillips to J. Morris, A. Hurt dated January 11, 2025		
00.	[HCMLPHMIT00000010-HCMLPHMIT00000012]		
66.	Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion for (A) a BadFaith Finding and (B) and Award of Attorney's Fees AgainstHighland CLO Management, Ltd. and James Dondero inConnection with HCLOM Claims 3.65 and 3.66 [Docket No.4176]		
	[HCMLPHMIT00000441-HCMLPHMIT00000500]		
67.	Hearing Transcript dated December 18, 2024 [Docket No. 4197]		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003829-HCMLPHMIT00003859]		
68.	Stipulated and Agreed Order Resolving (A) HCLOM, Ltd.'s Scheduled Claims 3.65 and 3.66; and (B) Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s (1) Objection and (2) Motion for a Bad Faith Finding and an Award for Attorney's Fees Against HCLOM, Ltd. and James Dondero in Connection Therewith [Docket Nos. 3657, 4716] [Docket No. 4199]		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003860-HCMLPHMIT00003866]		
69.	Intercreditor and Participation Agreement with HCLOM dated January 10, 2025		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003868-HCMLPHMIT00003871]		
Е.	Patrick Authority		
70.	Trust Agreement of Hunter Mountain dated December 17, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004103-HCMLPHMIT00004114]		
71.	Show Cause Hearing Transcript June 8, 2021		
	[HCMLPHMIT00002716-HCMLPHMIT00003013]		
72.	HMIT Hearing Transcript June 8, 2023		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003014-HCMLPHMIT00003402]		
73.	Limited Liability Company Agreement of Atlas IDF GP, LLC dated October 29, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003511-HCMLPHMIT00003517]		

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
74.	HOLDCO Responses and Disclosures Related to the Court's		
<i>,</i> т.	Order Requiring Disclosures [Docket No. 2547]		
	HCMLPHMIT00003523-HCMLPHMIT00003828] HMIT Appointment of Successor Administrator dated		
75.	8/12/2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003872]		
76.	Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rand PE Fund Management, LLC dated October 29, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003873-HCMLPHMIT00003879]		
77.	Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rand Advisors, LLC dated August 28, 2013		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003880-HCMLPHMIT00003886]		
78.	Agreement of Limited Partnership of Rand PE Fund I, L.P. dated October 29, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003887-HCMLPHMIT00003893]		
79.	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Atlas IDF, LP dated November 30, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003894-HCMLPHMIT00003928]		
80.	Atlas IDF, LP Offering of Series 1 Limited Partnership Interests dated November 30, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00003929-HCMLPHMIT00004023]		
81.	Rand PE Fund I, LP Offering Series 1 Limited Partnership Interests dated November 30, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004024-HCMLPHMIT00004095]		
82.	Member and Manager Consent of Atlas IDF GP, LLC dated October 13, 2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004124-HCMLPHMIT00004126]		
83.	Amended and Restated Company Agreement of Atlas IDF GP, LLC dated August 8, 2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004127-HCMLPHMIT00004151]		
84.	Amended and Restated Company Agreement of Rand Advisors, LLC dated August 1, 2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004152-HCMLPHMIT00004176]		
85.	Amended and Restated Company Agreement of Rand PE Fund Management, LLC dated August 1, 2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004177-HCMLPHMIT00004201]		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 15

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
86.	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement Rand Advisors dated August 1, 2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004202-HCMLPHMIT00004215]		
87.	Member and Manager Consent of Rand Advisors, LLC dated October 13, 2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004216-HCMLPHMIT00004218]		
88.	Member and Manager Consent of Rand PE Fund Management, LLC dated October 13, 2022		
	[HCMLPHMIT00004219-HCMLPHMIT00004221]		
89.	3/17/2025 Rand Advisors Form ADV [HCMLPHMIT00003465-HCMLPHMIT00003493]		
90.	Atlas IDF, LP Subscription 12/21/2015 (signature pages only)		
91.	Atlas IDF, LP Subscription 12/23/2015 (signature page only)		
92.	Atlas IDF LP SEC Form D dated February 24, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00003518-HCMLPHMIT00003522]		
93.	Rand PE Fund I, L.P. SEC Form D dated February 24, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00004096-HCMLPHMIT00004100]		
94.	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Beacon Mountain LLC dated September 24, 2015 [HCMLPHMIT00004115-HCMLPHMIT00004123]		
95.	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Beacon Mountain LLC dated February 12, 2025		
96.	Bylaws of The Okada Family Foundation, Inc. (final version)		
97.	Bylaws of Empower Dallas Foundation, Inc.		
98.	Crown Global DVA Policy 30218 (signed)		
99.	Crown Global DVA Termsheet 30218		
100.	Crown Global DVA Policy 30219 (signed)		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 15

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
101.	Crown Global DVA Termsheet 30219		
102.	Crown Global Rand Participation Agreement (Executed)		
103.	S&G HMIT Opinion Rep Letter dated January 29, 2016		
104.	S&G HMIT Opinion dated January 29, 2016		
F.	Dugaboy Note		
105.	Promissory Note \$24,268,621.69 dated May 31, 2017 [HCMLPHMIT00001327-HCMLPHMIT00001328]		
106.	The Dugaboy Investment Trust Offering of \$1817M Promissory Note Owed to HCMLP February 2024 [HCMLPHMIT00002323-HCMLPHMIT00002327]		
107.	Participation Agreement for Par/Near Par Trades dated July 18, 2024 [HCMLPHMIT00002594-HCMLPHMIT00002600]		
108.	\$18.5mm Dugaboy Note Attempted Sale – Process Update [HCMLPHMIT00002601]		
109.	The Dugaboy Investment Trust \$18.17M Promissory Note Owed to HCMLP February 2024 [HCMLPHMIT00002602-HCMLPHMIT00002607]		
110.	The Dugaboy Investment Trust \$18.17M Promissory Note Owed to HCMLP February 2024 [HCMLPHMIT00002608-HCMLPHMIT00002630]		
111.	Highland Claimant Trust Recommended Dugaboy Note Contribution dated June 7, 2024 [HCMLPHMIT00002631-HCMLPHMIT00002636]		
112.	Email from D. Klos to DC Sauter dated May 23, 2024 [HCMLPHMIT00002637-HCMLPHMIT00002639]		
G.	Capital Account Amounts		

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
113.	Highland Capital Management, L.P. Consolidated Financial Statement and Supplemental Information dated December 31, 2018		
	[HCMLPHMIT00002548-HCMLPHMIT00002593]		
114.	Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Highland Capital Management, L.P. dated December 24, 2015		
	[HCMLPHMIT00002641-HCMLPHMIT00002676]		
115.	2018 Tax Return for Highland Capital Management, LP (sig page, p. 1 of return, p. 1 of each partners' Schedule K-1 (Strand, Okada, MAP 1, MAP 2, Dugaboy, HMIT)		
113.	[HCMLPHMIT00001332; HCMLPHMIT00001336; HCMLPHMIT00001414; HCMLPHMIT00001419; HCMLPHMIT00001424; HCMLPHMIT00001429; HCMLPHMIT00001434; HCMLPHMIT00001439]		
11.6	2019 Tax Return for Highland Capital Management, LP (sig page, p. 1 of return, p. 1 of each partners' Schedule K-1 (Strand, Okada, MAP 1, MAP 2, Dugaboy, HMIT)		
116.	[HCMLPHMIT00001762; HCMLPHMIT00001766; HCMLPHMIT00001865; HCMLPHMIT00001870;		
	HCMLPHMIT00001875; HCMLPHMIT00001880; HCMLPHMIT00001885; HCMLPHMIT00001890]		
117.	Monthly Operating Report – FINAL November 2019 [HCMLPHMIT00001329]		
118.	Hunter Mountain Note Receivable October 15, 2019 [HCMLPHMIT00001330]		
Н.	Dallas Foundation Issues		
119.	Affidavit of James Dondero in the Grand Court of Cayman Islands FSD2025-0099 dated April 16, 2025 [HCMLPHMIT00003429-HCMLPHMIT00003438]		
120.	Email from J. Pomerantz to D. Curry, M. Okin dated June 19, 2025		
I.	Plan, CTA, and Litigation Trust Agreement		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 15

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
	<i>Fifth Amended Plan of Reorganization of Highland Capital</i> <i>Management, L.P. (as Modified)</i> , Pages 26-29, (entire document can be found at Docket No. 1808)		
	Excerpts:		
	Article IV. B.1-5 (pages 26-28)		
121.	Article IV. B.5 (pages 28-29)		
	Article IV. B.7 (page 30)		
	Article IV. B.10 (page 31)		
	Article IV. D. (pages 34-35)		
	Article VII. D.1-2 (pages 44-45)		
	Article XI (pages 53-55)		
	<i>Claimant Trust Agreement</i> , (entire document can be found at Docket No. 1811-2, as modified by Docket No. 1875-4)		
	Excerpts:		
122.	Fourth "Whereas" clause (page 1)		
122.	Section 2.2(a)-(b) (page 8)		
	Section 3.2(a)-(b) (pages 11-12)		
	Section 3.2(c)(iv) (page 12)		
	Section 2.3(b)(ix) (page 9)		
	<i>Litigation Sub-Trust Agreement</i> , (entire document can be found at Docket No. 1811-4)		
	Excerpts:		
	Fourth "Whereas" clause (page 1)		
123.	Section 2.2 (page 5)		
	Section 3.2(a) (page 7)		
	Section 3.2(b) (page 7)		
	Section $3.2(c)(v)$ (page 7)		
	Section 3.3 (b)(iii) (page 9)		
J.	Deposition Transcripts		
124.	Deposition Transcript of Julie Diaz dated June 22, 2025		
125.	Deposition Transcript of Torrey Littleton dated June 22, 2025		
126.	Any document entered or filed in the Debtor's chapter 11 bankruptcy case, including any exhibits thereto		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 15

Number	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
127.	127. All exhibits necessary for impeachment and/or rebuttal purposes		
128.	All exhibits identified by or offered by any other party at the Hearing		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277

Dated: June 24, 2025

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (admitted *pro hac vice*) John A. Morris (admitted *pro hac vice*) Gregory V. Demo (admitted *pro hac vice*) Jordan A. Kroop (admitted *pro hac vice*) Hayley R. Winograd (admitted *pro hac vice*) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 277-6910 Fax: (310) 201-0760 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com jkroop@pszjlaw.com

- and -

HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable

Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7100 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P. and the Highland Claimant Trust

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

Deborah J. Newman (admitted *pro hac vice*) Robert S. Loigman (admitted *pro hac vice*) 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000

-and-

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

Paige Holden Montgomery 2021 McKinney Avenue Suite 2000 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 981-3300

Co-Counsel for Marc S. Kirschner, as Litigation Trustee of the Highland Litigation Sub-Trust Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277-1 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Exhibit 124 Page 1 of 102

EXHIBIT 124

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	DALLAS DIVISION
4	
5	In Re: Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
6	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,
7	L.P.,
8	Debtor. Chapter 11
9	X.
10	
11	
12	
13	REMOTE VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION of
14	JULIE DIAZ
15	Sunday, June 22, 2025
16	1:37 p.m. Central Time
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported Stenographically by:
21	Gail L. Inghram,
22	BA, RDR, CRR, RSA, CA-CSR No. 8635
23	
24	
25	

WHEREUPON, the remote video-recorded deposition of JULIE DIAZ was held via video-conferencing on Sunday, June 22, 2025, beginning at approximately 1:37 p.m. Central Time, the proceedings being recorded stenographically by Gail Inghram, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and transcribed under her direction, there being present:

1	APPEARANCES:
2	[All parties appeared via remote videoconferencing.]
3	
4	On behalf of Highland Capital Management, and the Highland
5	Claimant Trust:
6	JOHN MORRIS, ESQ.
7	jmorris@pszjlaw.com
8	GREGORY V. DEMO, ESQ.
9	gdemo@pszjlaw.com
10	JEFFREY POMERANTZ, ESQ.
11	jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
12	HAYLEY WINOGRAD, ESQ.
13	hwinograd@pszjlaw.com
14	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES
15	780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor
16	New York, New York 10017-2024
17	310.277.6910
18	
19	On behalf of Highland Litigation Trustee:
20	ROBERT S. LOIGMAN, ESQ.
21	robertloigman@quinnemanuel.com
22	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
23	51 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor
24	New York, New York 10010
25	212.849.7615

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd):
2	
3	On behalf of Defendant Dallas Foundation and Crown Global
4	Life Insurance:
5	MATTTHEW OKIN, ESQ.
6	mokin@okinadams.com
7	DAVID CURRY, ESQ.
8	dcurry@okinadams.com
9	OKIN ADAMS BARTLETT CURRY LLP
10	1113 Vine Street, Suite 240
11	Houston, Texas 77002
12	713.228.4100
13	
14	On behalf of Defendant Dugaboy Investment Trust:
15	MICHAEL LANG, ESQ.
16	mlang@cwl.law.com
17	CRAWFORD WISHNEW & LANG PLLC
18	1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2390
19	Dallas, Texas 75201
20	214.817.4500
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd):
2	
3	On Behalf of Hunter Mountain Investment Trust:
4	LOUIS M. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
5	lphillips@kellyhart.com
6	AMELIA L. HURT, ESQ.
7	ahurt@kellyhart.com
8	KELLY HART & HALLMAN LLP
9	301 Main Street, Suite 1600
10	Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801
11	225.381.9643
12	
13	VIDEOGRAPHER:
14	PAUL D'AMBRA
15	
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT:
18	NATHAN HALL, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones
19	JAMES SEERY
20	TORREY LITTLETON
21	SHAWN RAVER
22	
23	
24	
25	

Depos	Case 19-34054-sgj11 ition of Julie Diaz	Doc 4277-1 File Exhibit 124	d 06/24/25 Page 7 of	Entered 102	06/24/25 1 In re Highlan	0:20:25 Desc d Capital Management, L.P.
1			-			
2		IND	ΕX			
3			-			
4	EXAMINATION OF	?:			PAGE	
5	JULIE DIAZ					
6	Ву	Attorney Mor	ris		8	
7						
8						
9						
10						
11		ЕХНІВ	ття			
12	HIGHLAND:		1 1 0			PAGE
13						
14	Highland 1	Objection of	the Dall	las		. 63
15		Foundation a	nd Crown	Globa	l to	
16		Motion for E	ntry of A	An Ord	er	
17		Approving Se	ttlement			
18		(15 pages)				
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX
2	
3	QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER:
4	PAGE LINE
5	(None)
6	
7	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
8	PAGE LINE
9	(None)
10	
11	
12	STIPULATIONS
13	PAGE LINE
14	(None)
15	
16	QUESTIONS MARKED
17	PAGE LINE
18	(None)
19	
20	
21	REPORTER'S NOTE:
22	QUOTATION MARKS ARE USED FOR CLARITY AND DO NOT NECESSARILY
23	REFLECT A DIRECT QUOTE.
24	
25	

1	
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	
4	WHEREUPON,
5	JULIE DIAZ,
6	being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to the
7	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
8	was examined and testified as follows:
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Diaz. Can you
13	hear me okay?
14	A. I can.
15	Q. My name is John Morris. I'm an
16	attorney for Highland Capital Management, and
17	we're here to take your deposition today in
18	connection with the Dallas Foundation's objection
19	to a certain settlement.
20	Are you aware of that?
21	A. Yes, I am.
22	Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
23	A. Yes, I have.
24	Q. Okay. So just some quick ground rules
25	so we're on the same page.

1	I'm going to ask a series of
2	questions, and it's very important that you allow
3	me to finish my question before you begin the
4	answer.
5	Is that fair?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. I will try to allow you to finish your
8	answer before I begin a question; but if I fail
9	to do so, will you let me know that?
10	A. Yes, I will.
11	Q. If I ask a question that you don't
12	understand, will you let me know that?
13	A. I will.
14	Q. If you need a break at any time, I'm
15	happy to accommodate you; but I just ask that you
16	not seek a break while a question is pending
17	unless you need to consult with your lawyer about
18	privilege questions.
19	Is that fair?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Are you affiliated with the Dallas
22	Foundation?
23	A. Yes. I am the president and CEO.
24	Q. When did you become the president and
25	CEO of the Dallas Foundation?

1	A. Formally, April 1st, 2024. Prior to
2	that, I was interim CEO; and have been with the
3	foundation for six years.
4	Q. Are you familiar with the company
5	called Highland Capital Management, LP?
6	A. Yes, I am.
7	Q. Are you aware that Highland Capital
8	Management, LP, filed for bankruptcy back in
9	2019?
10	A. Yes, I am.
11	Q. Are you aware that the Dallas
12	Foundation recently filed in the bankruptcy court
13	an objection to a proposed settlement between
14	certain Highland affiliates and certain
15	affiliates of Hunter Mountain Investment Trust?
16	A. Yes, I am.
17	Q. Are you aware of the parties to the
18	settlement agreement that the Dallas Foundation
19	has objected to?
20	A. Can you clarify that question.
21	Q. Can you identify the parties on the
22	Highland side that are that executed the
23	settlement agreement that the Dallas Foundation
24	objected to?
25	A. No.

1	Q. Can you identify the parties
2	withdrawn.
3	Are you aware that there are
4	parties are you aware that Hunter Mountain
5	Investment Trust is a party to the settlement
6	agreement?
7	A. Yes, I am.
8	Q. Are you aware that a gentleman named
9	Mark Patrick signed the agreement on behalf of
10	the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust?
11	A. I'm aware of his involvement.
12	Q. But you're not aware as you sit here
13	right now that Mr. Patrick signed on behalf of
14	Hunter Mountain Investment Trust?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Can I refer to Hunter Mountain
17	Investment Trust as "HMIT" for purposes of the
18	deposition?
19	A. Yes, you may.
20	Q. Are you aware that there are other
21	entities that are affiliated with HMIT that are
22	also party to the settlement agreement?
23	A. Yes, I am.
24	Q. Can we generally refer to all of the
25	affiliates of HMIT and HMIT itself as "the HMIT

1	entities" d	for purposes of today's deposition?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Did you review the Dallas Foundation's
4	objection b	pefore it was filed?
5	A.	Yes, I did.
6	Q.	Were you responsible for authorizing
7	its filing	2
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Are you aware that the objection was
10	filed on be	ehalf of an entity called Empower
11	Dallas Four	ndation?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Are you familiar with that entity?
14	A.	Yes, I am.
15	Q.	What is the Empower Dallas Foundation?
16	A.	Empower Dallas Foundation is a
17	supporting	organization that is sponsored by the
18	Dallas Four	ndation. It's a grant-making
19	organizatio	on that's been in existence for at
20	least 10 ye	ears.
21	Q.	Do you know who formed Empower Dallas
22	Foundation	?
23	A.	Yes. I'm aware that it came from Jim
24	Dondero.	
25	Q.	What do you mean that it came from Jim

1	Dondero?
2	A. Well, that the donation was made from
3	Mr. Dondero.
4	Q. Did Mr. Dondero make a donation to
5	Empower Dallas Foundation?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And does Empower Dallas Foundation
8	fund the Dallas Foundation?
9	A. Technically, it is a we are a it
10	is a supporting organization of the Dallas
11	Foundation, which is an IRS entity for which it
12	makes grant recommendations that then flow to a
13	donor-advised fund that then the Dallas
14	Foundation effectuates, basically, the grant.
15	Q. Do you know if the Dallas did I
16	step on your words?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Do you know if the Dallas
19	withdrawn.
20	Do you know if Empower Dallas
21	Foundation had any contractual obligation to make
22	donations to the Dallas Foundation?
23	A. I believe that through its charitable
24	status, it is required to make contributions on a
25	regular basis. And we also have governance that

1	oversees activity within all of our charitable
2	funds.
3	Q. Is there an agreement of any kind
4	between the Dallas Foundation and Empower Dallas
5	Foundation?
6	A. Yes. We have a fund agreement.
7	Q. And under that fund agreement, is the
8	Dallas Foundation entitled to receive
9	contributions from Empower Dallas?
10	A. Yes. I don't know the technical
11	language offhand.
12	Q. Do you know if Mr. Dondero plays any
13	role in the management of Empower Dallas
14	Foundation?
15	A. What do you mean by "management"?
16	Q. Does he have any involvement in
17	A. Yes. As any fundholder, he would have
18	involvement in making recommendations for grants
19	he would like to put into the community, as all
20	of our fundholders do.
21	Q. Does he play any other role, to the
22	best of your knowledge, with respect to the
23	Empower Dallas Foundation?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Are you familiar with

1	A. Oh, sorry. May I correct myself?
2	The Empower Dallas Foundation does
3	have its own governance, of which he is president
4	of the foundation. I'm the vice president. And
5	we have a treasurer. As with all of our
6	supporting orgs, the Dallas Foundation has
7	majority oversight.
8	Q. The Dallas Foundation has majority
9	oversight of Empower Dallas Foundation?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And how does it exercise that
12	oversight?
13	A. In voting.
14	Q. And who gets to vote?
15	A. The officers: the president, the vice
16	president, and the treasurer.
17	Q. Of which entity?
18	A. Of the supporting organization, the
19	Empower Dallas Foundation.
20	Q. Can you identify who those people are.
21	A. Jim Dondero is the president; I'm the
22	vice president; and our CFO, Torrey Littleton, is
23	the treasurer.
24	Q. How about the Okada Family Foundation?
25	Are you familiar with that?

1	A. Oh, excuse me sorry.
2	I'm I need to correct myself. That
3	is for Empower Dallas, because we have two funds
4	I'm confusing. Empower Dallas Foundation, I am
5	the president; Torrey is the treasurer; and we
6	have a secretary.
7	For consent agendas, Jim Dondero plays
8	an individual member role.
9	Q. Ma'am, what are you reading right now?
10	A. I'm looking at the structure of our
11	supporting organizations.
12	Q. Can you just hold that up for me so I
13	can see what you're looking at.
14	A. It literally lists that for all of
15	them.
16	Q. Do you have any other documents with
17	you today?
18	A. Just my notes.
19	Q. Can I ask you to put those away for
20	now.
21	A. Oh, sure.
22	Q. How about the Okada Family Foundation;
23	is that another supporting organization?
24	A. Yes, it is.
25	Q. And do you know if Mr. Dondero has any

-

1	involvement with that entity?
2	A. It does not have any involvement with
3	that entity.
4	Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Dondero
5	played any role in the Dallas Foundation's
6	decision to object to the proposed settlement
7	between the Highland entities and the HMIT
8	entities?
9	A. Did not have any role.
10	Q. Did you ever speak to him about the
11	objection?
12	A. I have spoken to him in the last six
13	months.
14	Q. Did you ever speak with him about the
15	objection?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Did you speak with him about any of
18	the facts that are set forth in the objection?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Was Mr. Dondero a source for some of
21	the facts that are set forth in the Dallas
22	Foundation's objection?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Did Mr. Dondero or anyone acting on
25	his behalf provide any information to the Dallas

1	Foundation that the Dallas Foundation used in its
2	objection?
3	A. Repeat the question.
4	Q. Did Mr. Dondero or anybody you believe
5	was acting on his behalf provide any information
6	that the Dallas Foundation used in its objection?
7	A. I'll abstain from answering that.
8	Q. Excuse me?
9	A. I'd rather not answer that question.
10	Q. I appreciate that, but you have to.
11	A. Well, there's a lot of context around
12	the formation of where we are today. So I have
13	been engaged with our counterpart over the last
14	six years, so I have a lot of information from
15	working through our grant-making and the
16	management of the assets over the past six years.
17	So in that same vein, I've learned a
18	lot from many interested parties.
19	Q. Okay. So I ask you to listen
20	carefully to my question, because it's rather
21	precise.
22	Do you know if Mr. Dondero or anybody
23	you believed was acting on his behalf provided
24	the Dallas Foundation with any information that
25	is that was used to prepare the objection?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Are you familiar with the objection?
3	A. I am familiar. I authorized it and
4	read it.
5	Q. And it's your testimony that Jim
6	Dondero wasn't the source of any information
7	that's in that objection. Is that fair?
8	A. That is fair.
9	Q. Do you know where the idea of filing
10	the objection originated?
11	A. I don't.
12	Q. Do you know whose idea, who came up
13	with the idea to object to this withdrawn.
14	Do you know whose idea it was to
15	object to the Highland/HMIT settlement?
16	ATTORNEY OKIN: Okay. Actually,
17	Ms. Diaz, before you answer, just want to caution
18	you that as long as it's not conveying advice of
19	counsel, you can answer the question.
20	A. You'll have to repeat that. I don't
21	understand that.
22	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
23	Q. Do you know who came up with the idea
24	of objecting to the proposed Highland/HMIT
25	settlement?

1	A. I believe it came out of discussions
2	in another settlement.
3	Q. What settlement are you referring to?
4	A. In the Cayman Islands.
5	Q. What settlement is that?
6	A. Well, it has to do with the three
7	large supporting orgs and an entity called
8	DAF Holdco.
9	Q. Which three large supporting orgs are
10	you referring to?
11	A. Kansas City Foundation; Santa Barbara
12	Foundation; Highland Dallas Foundation; and
13	really in a small way, North Texas Community
14	Foundation. All
15	Q. And I apologize. What did you just
16	refer to those entities as? Supporting
17	organizations?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. The Kansas and you called it the
20	Kansas City
21	A. Foundation.
22	Q Foundation, the Santa Barbara
23	Foundation and the Dallas Foundation? And those
24	are three additional supporting organizations of
25	the Dallas Foundation?

1	A. Sorry. We have three four
2	community foundations; right? Dallas Foundation,
3	Santa Barbara Foundation, Kansas City Foundation,
4	and North Texas Community Foundations.
5	The three Kansas City, Dallas and
6	Highland I'm sorry Dallas, Kansas City, and
7	Santa Barbara all have supporting organizations
8	that were the result of contributions from
9	Highland Capital in 2011.
10	Q. Do you know if Mr. Dondero has any
11	relationship to the Dallas, Kansas City, or
12	Santa Barbara Foundations that you just
13	identified?
14	A. My understanding is they have he
15	has the same structure of supporting org with
16	Kansas City, Santa Barbara, and Dallas.
17	Q. Is he the president of each, to the
18	best of your knowledge?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And are those the entities that
21	commenced the Cayman Islands proceedings, to the
22	best of your understanding?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Is it your understanding that
25	Mr. Dondero directed those entities to do so?

Deposi	LAINDI 124 Fage 25 01 102 In terriginand Capital M
1	A. No.
2	Q. Who directed those entities to do so,
3	to the best of your knowledge?
4	A. CEOs of the organizations.
5	Q. And who are they?
6	A. Debbie Wilkerson is the CEO of
7	Kansas City. Jackie Carrera is the CEO of
8	Santa Barbara. Rose Bradshaw is the CEO of North
9	Texas Community Foundation, although she did not
10	enter in, in the formal filing. They have a very
11	de minimis role in the asset.
12	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Dondero filed a
13	declaration or an affidavit in the Cayman Islands
14	in support of the Community Foundation's
15	litigation that they commenced?
16	A. I did see that.
17	Q. Did you read it?
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. Did you see any familiarity between
20	that declaration and the Dallas Foundation's
21	objection?
22	A. I think there were some similarities
23	because of the nature of the activities that have
24	been happening.
25	Q. Did you learn, when you read

1 Mr. Dondero's declaration in the Cayman Islands, 2 that he's actually funding that litigation on 3 behalf of the supporting organizations? 4 Α. No, that's not when I learned that. 5 Ο. That's not when you learned it or -withdrawn. 6 7 Are you aware that he's funding that 8 litigation? 9 Α. Yes. 10 When did you learn that he was funding Ο. 11 that litigation? 12 Before we got into litigation. Α. 13 Is he funding this litigation on Ο. 14 behalf of the Dallas Foundation? 15 Α. Yes, he is. 16 Ο. And how much money did he provide for 17 the funding of this litigation? 18 Α. We have not agreed on an amount. As 19 with any of our fundholders', legal expenses will get paid through by the fund. So that's a very 20 21 common business practice. And it would go until 22 the legal issues ceased. But he's made a commitment to fund --23 Ο. 24 to personally fund the expenses of the Dallas 25 Foundation in connection with this litigation; is

1 that right? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Are you aware of any particular reason Ο. 4 that that's not disclosed in the Dallas Foundation's objection? 5 I'm not aware. 6 Α. 7 Why did the Dallas Foundation file the Ο. 8 objection on behalf of Empower Dallas Foundation? 9 Α. Well, we filed the objection on behalf 10 of both Empower and Okada Family Foundation, in 11 essence, because the person who has been 12 overseeing the activity ceased to communicate with us as of last fall. And there were enough 13 14 irregularities in our communication and 15 accounting leading up to then some pretty 16 dramatic changes in valuations that raised a red 17 flaq for us. 18 Who is the person that you're Ο. 19 referring to? 20 Mark Patrick. Α. 21 Ο. And did the Empower Dallas Foundation 22 ask the Dallas Foundation to file this objection 23 on its behalf? 24 As fiduciaries of all of our Α. 25 charitable assets, we oversee the activity; and

1 when there is any irregular activity, we 2 investigate. 3 I appreciate that. I'm just asking Ο. 4 you if the Empower Dallas Foundation asked the 5 Dallas Foundation to file the objection on its behalf. 6 7 Α. Well, since I am representative of the 8 Empower Dallas Foundation, I don't have to ask 9 anybody except ourselves to do that. 10 And did you confer with Mr. Dondero Ο. 11 about that decision? I think we informed him. 12 Α. 13 Did he review a copy of the objection Ο. 14 before it was filed? 15 Α. Not to my knowledge, no. 16 Ο. Are you aware that the Dallas 17 Foundation also filed the objection on behalf of 18 certain segregated accounts held at Crown Global 19 Life Insurance Limited? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Can I refer to Crown Global Life Ο. 22 Insurance Limited as just "Crown Global"? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. And can I refer to the segregated 25 accounts that are identified in the Dallas

1	Foundation's objection as "the segregated
2	accounts"?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And are you familiar with those
5	segregated accounts?
6	A. At a high level.
7	Q. What's your understanding at a high
8	level of what those segregated accounts are?
9	A. That the Crown Global assets are
10	really insurance annuities that pay out to the
11	fund; and that's the source of income for
12	charitable purposes.
13	Q. Where does the income from the annuity
14	flow to?
15	A. Flows to the supporting organizations.
16	Q. And then the supporting organizations
17	have the proceeds from the annuity available for
18	the foundations; is that fair?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And do you know who took out these
21	insurance policies or these annuities? Which of
22	the withdrawn.
23	Can you identify the supporting
24	organization that funded the purchase of the
25	annuities?

1	A. Empower Dallas Foundation and Okada
2	Family Foundation.
3	Q. And is the cash that is thrown off
4	from the annuities withdrawn.
5	To the best of your understanding, is
6	the cash that's thrown off from the annuities the
7	sole source of income for Empower Dallas
8	Foundation and the Okada Foundation?
9	A. That's my understanding.
10	Q. Is it your understanding that the
11	Dallas Foundation has not received anything of
12	value from Empower Dallas Foundation or the Okada
13	Family Foundation other than proceeds from the
14	annuities?
15	A. That's my understanding.
16	Q. Do you know why the Dallas Foundation
17	filed the objection on behalf of the segregated
18	accounts at Crown Global?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Why did the Dallas Foundation file its
21	objection on behalf of the segregated accounts?
22	A. As I said earlier, because there had
23	been activity and essentially a write-down of
24	40 percent of value, we were concerned that there
25	were activities within Crown Global for the

1	organizations that support that that we did not
2	have any, you know, access to, vision or
3	communication around.
4	Q. Does the Dallas Foundation have any
5	relationship with Crown Global?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. As it pertains to what relationship
8	does the Dallas Foundation have with
9	Crown Global?
10	A. Well, I don't understand on a
11	transactional, but we get quarterly reports from
12	them. They obviously send the proceeds to us. I
13	mean, they are a fiduciary to us in the same way
14	we are to others.
15	Q. Crown Global is?
16	A. Yeah.
17	Q. With respect to the disbursement of
18	proceeds from the annuity?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. So the proceeds from the
21	annuity don't go to Empower Dallas or the Okada
22	Family Foundation; they get remitted directly to
23	the Dallas Foundation.
24	Do I have that right?
25	A. No. They go they go to the

-

-	
1	supporting organizations. But in our governance
2	that I refer to both as it flows into our
3	finance office, and then they get allocated to
4	the foundations.
5	Q. You mentioned that there was a
6	40 percent write-down in value. Is that with
7	respect to the annuities?
8	A. I don't know all of the transactions
9	that led up to that. But what we understood,
10	there were a few sorry.
11	There are a few requests for us to
12	approve that we didn't understand and sent them
13	to our counsel, and then got the first-quarter
14	report for March 30th, and it was significantly
15	lower and we didn't know why.
16	So in asking for that, we found out
17	there was a decline.
18	Q. And is that is it your
19	understanding that it's the decline in value
20	withdrawn.
21	Is it your understanding that it's the
22	unexplained decline in value that caused the
23	Dallas Foundation to file the objection on behalf
24	of the segregated accounts?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q.	Did anybody ask the Dallas Foundation
2	to file the	e objection on behalf of the segregated
3	accounts?	
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	Can you identify the owner of the
6	segregated	accounts on behalf of on whose
7	behalf the	Dallas Foundation filed the objection?
8		Withdrawn. Too many words.
9		Do you know who owns the segregated
10	accounts?	
11	Α.	No. I won't guess.
12	Q.	Are you aware that the owner of the
13	segregated	accounts is Crown Global?
14	A.	Oh, yes.
15	Q.	And so is that your understanding,
16	that Crown	Global
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	owns the segregated accounts?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Did anybody from the Dallas Foundation
21	seek Crown	Global's consent and approval before
22	filing the	objection on behalf of the segregated
23	accounts?	
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Yes?

1	And who at Crown Global gave the
2	authorization, if you know?
3	A. Mr the CEO and their chief legal,
4	Hernandez Paul
5	ATTORNEY OKIN: Let me interrupt here
6	too, John. You're acting as though the objection
7	was filed solely by the Dallas Foundation. We
8	represent two clients here. We represent the
9	Dallas Foundation and Crown Global.
10	And you're putting Ms. Diaz in a
11	position where I think she thinks she has to
12	justify having Crown Global's actions when
13	they we represent them as well.
14	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Well, as I read the
15	pleading that you filed, it said the Dallas
16	Foundation I won't
17	ATTORNEY OKIN: I think that's a
18	ATTORNEY MORRIS: I'll ask the
19	questions, and we'll
20	ATTORNEY OKIN: Take a look at our
21	signature block. It says clearly that we're
22	doing it on behalf of the Dallas Foundation and
23	Crown Global.
24	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
25	Q. Ms. Diaz, do you know if the Dallas

1	Foundation ever appeared in the Highland
2	bankruptcy case before it filed this objection?
3	A. I do not believe so.
4	Q. To the best of your knowledge, the
5	Dallas Foundation never filed a claim against
6	Highland in the Highland bankruptcy case;
7	correct?
8	A. No.
9	Q. To the best of your knowledge
10	withdrawn.
11	Have you ever heard of the Highland
12	Claimant Trust?
13	A. No.
14	Q. So is it fair to say that you have no
15	reason to believe that the Dallas Foundation has
16	any interest in the Highland Claimant Trust?
17	A. No. That is not that's not fair to
18	claim.
19	Q. So is it your testimony that you
20	believe the Dallas Foundation has a direct or
21	indirect interest in the Highland Claimant Trust?
22	A. What you asked me was had we ever
23	participated and did we then have any result
24	from it.
25	I don't know the answer to that

1	question.
2	Q. I apologize if my questioning wasn't
3	clear to you. Let me try again.
4	To the best of your knowledge, the
5	Dallas Foundation has never appeared in the
6	Highland bankruptcy case until it filed the
7	objection that we're talking about today;
8	correct?
9	A. I don't know the answer to that.
10	Q. But to the you have no knowledge
11	that they ever did; is that fair?
12	A. I have no knowledge.
13	Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge that
14	the Dallas Foundation ever filed a claim against
15	Highland in the Highland bankruptcy case;
16	correct?
17	A. I have no knowledge of that.
18	Q. And you have no knowledge that the
19	Dallas Foundation has any interest of any kind in
20	the Highland Claimant Trust; correct?
21	A. I do not agree with that statement.
22	Q. What knowledge do you have that the
23	Dallas Foundation has an interest in the Highland
24	Claimant Trust?
25	A. Because of the relationship between

1	Hunter Mountain and how it feeds up to
2	Crown Global and, therefore, the supporting
3	organizations.
4	Q. It might be my fault that I'm not
5	being clear, but I'm really just focused on
6	Highland right now. Has nothing to do with
7	Crown Global
8	A. Okay.
9	Q or Hunter Mountain; it's just
10	Highland.
11	A. Okay.
12	Q. Are you aware that as a result of the
13	bankruptcy, an entity Called the Highland
14	Claimant Trust was formed?
15	A. I was not, no.
16	Q. Okay. So if you weren't aware that an
17	entity called the Highland Claimant Trust was
18	formed, is it also fair to say you have no
19	knowledge that the Dallas Foundation has an
20	interest in the Highland Claimant Trust?
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. Okay. And does the Dallas Foundation
23	have any contractual relationship with Highland
24	Capital Management, LP?
25	A. No.

1	Q. Has the Dallas Foundation ever had a
2	contractual relationship with Highland Capital
3	Management, LP, to the best of your knowledge?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Does the Dallas Foundation have any
6	contractual relationship with an entity called
7	the Highland Claimant Trust, to the best of your
8	knowledge?
9	A. No.
10	Q. And to the best of your knowledge, has
11	the Dallas Foundation ever had a contractual
12	relationship with an entity called the Highland
13	Claimant Trust?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Do you have any reason to believe, as
16	you sit here today, that Highland Capital
17	Management, LP, owes any duties or obligations to
18	the Dallas Foundation?
19	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
20	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
21	Q. You can answer.
22	A. Can you ask the question again.
23	Q. Sure.
24	As you sit here today, do you have any
25	reason to believe that Highland Capital

1	Management, LP, owes any duties or obligations to
2	the Dallas Foundation?
3	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
4	A. No.
5	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
6	Q. As you sit here today, do you have any
7	reason to believe that Highland Capital
8	Management, LP, ever had any duties or
9	obligations that it owed to the Dallas
10	Foundation?
11	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
12	A. No.
13	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
14	Q. Are you aware that if the settlement
15	agreement between the Highland entities and the
16	HMIT entities is approved, the HMIT entities will
17	receive cash and other assets pursuant to the
18	terms of the settlement agreement?
19	A. I'm assuming that there is assets
20	within the agreement.
21	Q. Have you reviewed the settlement
22	agreement yourself, Ms. Diaz?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Are you generally familiar with the
25	terms of the settlement agreement?

1 Α. At a high level. 2 What's your understanding at a high Ο. 3 level? 4 That once the settlement is complete, Α. 5 that Hunter Mountain will receive assets of some 6 size that will flow up to Crown Global. 7 Do you know if the requirement that Q. 8 the assets flow up to Crown Global is part of the 9 settlement agreement that's before the Court and 10 that the Dallas Foundation is objecting to? 11 Α. That was our understanding. 12 From the agreement itself? Ο. 13 I have not seen the settlement Α. 14 agreement. 15 So you authorized an objection to a Ο. 16 settlement agreement that you haven't seen; is 17 that fair? 18 That's fair. Α. 19 Ο. Do you have any reason to believe that 20 the Dallas Foundation has a right to recover any 21 of the assets you just described that HMIT will 22 receive if the settlement is approved by the 23 Court? 24 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 25 Α. Can you repeat the question.

1 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 2 Do you have any reason to believe that Ο. 3 the Dallas Foundation has a right to recover any 4 portion of the assets that HMIT will receive if 5 the settlement agreement is approved by the 6 bankruptcy court? 7 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 8 Α. My job is to protect the charitable 9 assets under our organization's fiduciary 10 compliance role; and so if there is any 11 opportunity for assets to either be diminished or 12 not move forward, it's my job to ensure that I've 13 done everything I can to recover them. 14 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 15 But do you have an understanding as to Ο. 16 whether or not -- withdrawn. 17 I think you just testified that it's your understanding at a high level that HMIT will 18 19 receive certain assets if the settlement 20 agreement is approved. 21 Do I have that right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Do you have an understanding that the Ο. Dallas Foundation is entitled to receive all or 24 any portion of the assets that HMIT would receive 25

1	under the settlement agreement?
2	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
3	A. I don't know that.
4	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
5	Q. You don't know that?
6	A. (Shakes head.)
7	Q. Have you asked anybody whether the
8	Dallas Foundation has a right to recover any
9	portion of the assets that HMIT will receive
10	under the settlement agreement?
11	ATTORNEY OKIN: Before you answer
12	that, Ms. Diaz, I'll just remind you: Other than
13	disclosing any of your conversations with counsel
14	for you or for the foundation.
15	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
16	Q. But you can answer the question.
17	A. You'll have to ask the question again.
18	Q. No problem. I appreciate that.
19	Did you ever ask anybody whether the
20	Dallas Foundation had a right to receive any of
21	the assets that HMIT will receive under the
22	settlement agreement?
23	A. Like somebody-who in your question?
24	Q. Anybody. Did you ever ask the
25	question of anybody? Let's just start with "yes"

1 or "no." 2 Α. Yes. 3 And who did you ask? Ο. Α. 4 I'll strike that, because it would 5 be -- I couldn't tell you definitively I did that. 6 7 Did Mr. Dondero tell you that the Ο. 8 Dallas Foundation had a right to the assets that 9 HMIT was going to receive under the settlement 10 agreement? 11 Α. No. 12 And you don't recall asking that Ο. 13 question of anybody; is that fair? 14 Α. The only person I talked to this --15 about these assets to is Mark Patrick. 16 Ο. And did Mr. Patrick tell you that the 17 Dallas Foundation had a right to recover any of 18 the proceeds under the HMIT/Highland settlement 19 agreement? 2.0 I don't know. Α. 21 Have you ever received any documents Ο. 22 that lead you to believe that the Dallas 23 Foundation has an ownership interest in any of 24 the assets that HMIT will receive under the 25 settlement agreement?

1	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
2	A. My understanding is that through the
3	Hunter Mountain settlement, that those assets
4	flow into the Atlas fund that I know Mark Patrick
5	was managing. So indirectly.
6	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
7	Q. Is there a document that you reviewed
8	that leads you to believe that the assets HMIT
9	receives will go to the Atlas fund?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Can you identify with any specificity
12	which Atlas entity you have in mind that's
13	expected to receive the proceeds from the
14	HMIT/Highland settlement?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Do you know if the Atlas entity that
17	you just identified, does that have any
18	obligation to disburse any of the assets it may
19	receive from HMIT?
20	A. I don't know.
21	Q. Okay. Let's do you have any reason
22	to believe that the Dallas Foundation will be
23	impacted in any way if the settlement between
24	Highland and the HMIT entities is approved?
25	A. As I said, because the Crown Global is

1	to disburse money that it receives from Atlas,
2	then there would be an impact. That's why we
3	filed the objection.
4	Q. Is it fair to say that the Dallas
5	Foundation's concern is what happens to the
6	assets that HMIT receives after the settlement is
7	approved and it's not with the agreement itself?
8	A. I can't answer that.
9	Q. If Mark Patrick hadn't done anything
10	to change any of the structure that's described
11	in the Dallas Foundation's objection such that
12	the Dallas Foundation's expectations as set forth
13	in its objection were met, would the Dallas
14	Foundation have any reason to object to this
15	settlement?
16	ATTORNEY OKIN: Objection; form.
17	A. I don't know.
18	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
19	Q. Isn't the problem here that you're
20	concerned about what happens to the money after
21	it's received by HMIT?
22	A. I'm concerned that the case that's
23	pending in the Cayman Islands shows that
24	\$300 million of charitable assets have vanished
25	and that the same type of behavior is happening

1	in Crown Global and impacts those funds to the
2	tune of \$25 million.
3	Q. But that has nothing to do with
4	Highland.
5	Fair enough?
6	A. I don't know.
7	Q. Do you have any basis to say that
8	Highland has any involvement in anything you just
9	described?
10	A. Well, I'm not a lawyer and,
11	technically, I don't know how to answer that.
12	But Highland has been involved from day one.
13	Q. Involved in what?
14	A. The original contribution to set up
15	the supporting orgs with those shares; like I
16	said, I all the different legal entities
17	GPs, LPs, et cetera I leave you all to track.
18	Q. If the Court approved the settlement
19	and Mark Patrick decided to give all of the
20	proceeds to the Dallas Foundation, would the
21	Dallas Foundation have any reason to object to
22	the settlement?
23	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
24	A. I think we'd want to know more.
25	///

1	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
2	Q. What would you want to know?
3	A. What are the assets that we would be
4	receiving? What would the structure be?
5	Q. Well, the assets are set forth in the
6	settlement agreement; right? So there's no
7	mystery about the assets.
8	Fair enough?
9	A. I don't know that. I don't know
10	are they is it cash? Is it securities? What
11	are the nature of the I would want to know a
12	lot more before accepting all things like that.
13	Q. Do you know if Crown Global ever
14	appeared in the Highland bankruptcy?
15	A. I don't know.
16	Q. Do you know if the segregated accounts
17	ever filed a notice of appearance in the Highland
18	bankruptcy?
19	A. I don't know.
20	Q. Do you know if Crown Global ever filed
21	a claim against Highland in the Highland
22	bankruptcy?
23	A. I don't know.
24	Q. Do you know if the segregated accounts
25	ever filed a claim against Highland in the

_

1	Highland bankruptcy?
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. Do you know if Crown Global has an
4	interest in the Highland Claimant Trust?
5	A. No. No, I don't know.
6	Q. Do you know if the segregated accounts
7	have an interest in the Highland Claimant Trust?
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. Do you know if Crown Global has any
10	contractual relationship with Highland?
11	A. I don't know.
12	Q. Do you know if Crown Global has any
13	contractual relationship with the Highland
14	Claimant Trust?
15	A. No.
16	Q. I'm going to take Mr I think it's
17	Mr. Littleton's deposition next.
18	A. Yep.
19	Q. Do you know if he is affiliated with
20	Crown Global in any way?
21	A. No. He's an employee of the Dallas
22	Foundation.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	Do you know if Crown Global has any
25	right to recover any of the assets that HMIT and

1	the HMIT entities may receive under the
2	settlement agreement?
3	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
4	A. I don't know.
5	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
6	Q. Have you asked that question of
7	anybody?
8	ATTORNEY OKIN: Other than your
9	lawyers, you can answer that, Ms. Diaz.
10	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Please
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. Was the answer "no," Ms. Diaz?
13	A. Ask the question again, please.
14	Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether
15	Crown Global had the right to receive any of the
16	assets that Highland will convey to HMIT under
17	the settlement agreement?
18	A. No.
19	Q. We're using the phrase "HMIT entities"
20	to mean the entities on whose behalf Mark Patrick
21	signed the settlement agreement; right? Are we
22	on the same page?
23	A. That's what you're telling me.
24	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any of
25	those entities?

1	A. Tell me what they are.
2	Q. Are you familiar with any of the Rand
3	entities?
4	A. I'm familiar with Rand.
5	Q. And what's your familiarity with Rand?
6	A. Certainly it was another vehicle that
7	flowed through to Atlas. And when Mr. Patrick
8	came to see me last October, told me that there
9	might be some issues with Rand and that structure
10	might be changing. That's vague.
11	Q. Let's stick with the Hunter Mountain
12	Investment Trust.
13	Are you aware of any assets that the
14	Hunter Mountain Investment Trust owns today?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Was it your understanding that
17	Mr. Patrick controlled Rand?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And is it your understanding that he
20	controls Rand today?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And going back to Hunter Mountain
23	Investment Trust, you're not aware of any assets
24	that that entity holds today; correct?
25	A. No.

1	Q. Were you
2	A. I'm assuming Rand is one of the
3	assets, I guess.
4	Q. Were you ever did you ever know
5	were you ever aware of any asset that HMIT owned?
6	A. Well, Atlas.
7	Q. It's your understanding
8	A. Yeah, I feel like I'm being quizzed on
9	Hunter Mountain Trust.
10	ATTORNEY OKIN: Let me interrupt here.
11	John, two things.
12	One, if you want to show her an org
13	chart so she can actually see these entities in a
14	way that actually would help her remember them.
15	Nobody can possibly keep them in their mind cold.
16	And, second, Ms. Diaz is not going to
17	be our witness on this Hunter Mountain structure
18	and the Rand structure. You can keep asking her
19	questions about it and testing her memory on it,
20	but I don't think you're going to find that the
21	answers are going to be any different.
22	Mr. Littleton will talk to these
23	issues, yes. I can't promise you he'll be able
24	to answer every one of your questions. But to
25	the extent you want somebody with the Dallas

1	Foundation's knowledge of the workings of that
2	structure, he's the one to ask about that.
3	ATTORNEY MORRIS: I'll continue to ask
4	the questions, but I appreciate that.
5	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
6	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
7	ever received anything of value from any of the
8	HMIT entities?
9	A. Crown Global.
10	Q. Crown Global is not an HMIT entity.
11	So I'm asking you to just focus on the entities
12	that Mark Patrick controlled, the Rand entities,
13	the Atlas entities and Hunter Mountain.
14	Did any of those entities ever give
15	anything of value to the Dallas Foundation?
16	A. Not directly that I'm aware of, no.
17	Q. Did any of those entities ever have
18	any business dealings with the Dallas Foundation?
19	A. Only in the relationship with
20	Crown Global.
21	Q. Do you have any understanding as to
22	whether any of the HMIT entities owes any duties
23	or obligations to the Dallas Foundation today?
24	ATTORNEY OKIN: Objection to form.
25	A. I don't know.

1	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
2	Q. I understand there was some corporate
3	reorganization earlier this year. I think that's
4	described in the Dallas Foundation's objection.
5	Is that just generally fair?
6	A. As it relates to Mr. Patrick?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. (Nods head.)
9	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to
10	believe that before Mr. Patrick effectuated those
11	changes, that any of the HMIT entities owed any
12	duty or obligation to the Dallas Foundation?
13	ATTORNEY OKIN: Objection to form.
14	A. I don't know.
15	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
16	Q. Are you aware that HMIT filed a couple
17	of years ago a motion in the bankruptcy court for
18	permission to bring certain claims against
19	Highland Capital Management and a gentleman named
20	James Seery?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Nobody ever told you that; is that
23	fair?
24	A. Fair.
25	Q. Are you aware that Highland contends

1	that the settlement agreement that it has entered
2	into with the HMIT entities is the product of
3	good-faith, arm's-length negotiations?
4	A. Am I aware? No.
5	Q. Do you have any knowledge of the
6	nature of any negotiations between the Highland
7	entities and the HMIT entities?
8	A. I'm aware that it's been going on for
9	four years.
10	Q. I'm just talking about the settlement
11	agreement now.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. Do you have any knowledge of any facts
14	concerning the negotiation of that particular
15	settlement agreement?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Do you have any knowledge of any facts
18	that might suggest that the settlement agreement
19	was not the product of good-faith, arm's-length
20	negotiations?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
23	the proposed settlement is unfair to Highland
24	Capital Management, LP?
25	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.

1 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 2 Ο. You can answer, ma'am. 3 Α. I don't know. 4 Do you know whether the proposed Q. 5 settlement is unfair to the Highland Claimant Trust? 6 7 I don't know. Α. 8 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 9 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 10 You don't have a view on that; is that Ο. 11 fair? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And is it fair that in connection with Ο. 14 the preparation and the filing of the 15 objection -- withdrawn. 16 The Dallas Foundation, in its 17 objection, does not contend that the settlement 18 is unfair to Highland Capital Management; is that 19 correct? 20 I don't know. Α. 21 You reviewed and authorized the filing Ο. 22 of the objection; isn't that right, ma'am? 23 Α. Right. 24 Q. And you're familiar with the document 25 that you authorized to be filed; fair?

-

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And based on your recollection, do you
3	recall the Dallas Foundation making any assertion
4	or claim that the settlement agreement was unfair
5	to Highland Capital Management, LP, or any of its
6	affiliates?
7	A. The claim was that it was unfair to
8	the supporting organizations.
9	Q. And how is the settlement agreement
10	unfair to the supporting organizations?
11	A. Because we would well, what we
12	claimed is that because of our lack of
13	transparency of the flow of those funds and the
14	changes in the fund recently, that the supporting
15	organizations were losing their assets and any
16	potential future assets.
17	Q. Is there any other basis that you're
18	aware of by which the Dallas Foundation contends
19	that the settlement agreement is unfair to it?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Does the Dallas Foundation contend
22	that the settlement agreement is unfair to Hunter
23	Mountain Investment Trust?
24	A. I don't know.
25	Q. As the person who authorized the

1	filing of the objection on behalf of the Dallas
2	Foundation, do you have any reason to believe
3	that the terms of the settlement are unfair to
4	the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust?
5	A. I do not.
6	Q. Are you aware that under the
7	settlement agreement, the HMIT entities and the
8	Highland entities are releasing each other from
9	all liabilities except for the liabilities
10	arising under the settlement agreement?
11	A. I'm assuming that's what the
12	settlement is intended to do.
13	Q. And the Dallas Foundation doesn't have
14	any concern about the scope of the mutual
15	releases; is that fair?
16	ATTORNEY OKIN: Objection to form.
17	A. I don't know.
18	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
19	Q. As the person who authorized the
20	filing of the objection on behalf of the Dallas
21	Foundation, do you recall there being any
22	statement in the objection where the Dallas
23	Foundation expressed any concern at all about the
24	scope of the mutual releases that are in the
25	settlement agreement?

1	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. The
2	document speaks for itself. I mean, if you want
3	to show it to her and ask her to find it, that's
4	fine.
5	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
6	Q. You can answer, ma'am.
7	A. I don't
8	Q. I'm sorry?
9	A. I don't recall that.
10	Q. Okay. Thank you.
11	Are you aware of any facts that could
12	give rise to a claim by the Dallas Foundation
13	against any Highland entity?
14	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
15	A. Repeat the question.
16	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
17	Q. Are you aware of any facts that would
18	support a claim by the Dallas Foundation against
19	Highland Capital Management, LP, or the Highland
20	Claimant Trust?
21	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
22	A. No.
23	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
24	Q. Do you understand the basis for the
25	Dallas Foundation's objection?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Can you articulate that for me.
3	What's your understanding of the basis of the
4	Dallas Foundation's objection?
5	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
6	A. Our objection
7	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
8	Q. Pardon me? What's that, ma'am?
9	ATTORNEY OKIN: I said I object to the
10	form of the question.
11	Go ahead. You can answer.
12	A. Our objection is based on and I've
13	said this before the fact that there's been
14	irregular significant erosion of the assets to
15	date by the party who seems to control a lot of
16	the liquidity flows and oversight of the assets.
17	And so with the backdrop of all of the
18	work we're doing in the Cayman Islands to recover
19	300-plus million dollars, this seemed not
20	insignificant to protect the \$25 million for
21	these two supporting organizations.
22	So as this happens on Wednesday, what
23	we've learned is that every opportunity we can to
24	slow down decisions that are made give us time to
25	understand where what is happening with these

1	charitable assets and where they are.
2	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
3	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
4	Mark Patrick does not have the authority to enter
5	into the settlement agreement on behalf of each
6	of the HMIT entities?
7	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form of
8	the question.
9	A. I don't know what authority he has to
10	enter into that.
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. Do you have any facts that you can
13	share with me that suggest that Mr. Patrick does
14	not have the legal authority to enter into the
15	settlement agreement on behalf of any of the HMIT
16	entities?
17	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form of
18	the question.
19	A. I don't.
20	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
21	Q. Is it your understanding that
22	Mr. Patrick was required to obtain the Dallas
23	Foundation or Crown Global's consent before
24	entering into this settlement agreement?
25	A. I think what we would have appreciated

1 and what had been our business as usual was 2 information prior to and during anything that 3 involved the assets under our aegis. 4 Do you know if any of the HMIT Q. 5 entities had an obligation or duty to provide information to the Dallas Foundation or 6 7 Crown Global before entering into the settlement 8 agreement? 9 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form of 10 the question. 11 Α. I don't --12 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 13 I'm sorry. Ms. Diaz, you don't know? Ο. 14 Α. I don't contractually know that. But 15 whether it's authority that he was given or 16 assumed, he should have communicated with us. 17 Should he have communicated with you Ο. 18 before filing a lawsuit against the Highland --19 withdrawn. 20 Do you believe that Mr. Patrick should have communicated with the Dallas Foundation 21 22 before filing a lawsuit on behalf of Hunter 23 Mountain Investment Trust against Highland, 24 Mr. Seery, and others? 25 I don't know. Α.

-

1	Q. You don't have a view on that; is that
2	fair?
3	A. Fair.
4	Q. I apologize if I asked this, but do
5	you have any reason to believe that Mr. Patrick
6	was required to obtain either the Dallas
7	Foundation's or Crown Global's consent before
8	entering into the settlement on behalf of the
9	HMIT entities?
10	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form of the
11	question.
12	A. I don't know.
13	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
14	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
15	the Dallas Foundation or Crown Global has
16	withdrawn.
17	Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
18	has a direct ownership interest in any of the
19	HMIT entities that are party to the settlement
20	agreement?
21	A. I don't believe so.
22	Q. Do you know if Crown Global has a
23	direct ownership interest in any of the HMIT
24	entities that are party to the settlement
25	agreement?

1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
3	has an indirect ownership interest in any of the
4	HMIT entities that are party to the settlement
5	agreement?
6	A. Indirect ownership?
7	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form of
8	the question.
9	A. I don't know.
10	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
11	Q. Did you ever ask anybody?
12	A. No.
13	Q. No?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Do you know if Crown Global has an
16	indirect ownership interest in any of the HMIT
17	entities that are party to the settlement
18	agreement?
19	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form of
20	the question.
21	A. I don't know.
22	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
23	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
24	has any right to control any of the HMIT
25	entities?

1	A. No.
2	Q. No, you don't know; or, no, they don't
3	have that right?
4	A. No, we don't have that right.
5	Q. Do you know if Crown Global has the
6	right to control any of the HMIT entities?
7	A. I don't know.
8	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
9	has the right to approve transactions that are
10	entered into by any of the HMIT entities?
11	A. I don't know.
12	Q. Do you know if Crown Global has the
13	right to approve any transaction that's entered
14	into by any of the HMIT entities?
15	A. I don't know.
16	Q. Do you know if Crown Global or the
17	segregated accounts has any right to control any
18	of the HMIT entities?
19	A. I don't know.
20	Q. Do you know if Crown Global or the
21	segregated accounts has any right to approve
22	transactions that any of the HMIT entities might
23	enter into?
24	A. I don't know.
25	Q. Do you know if any of the HMIT

1	entities were required to obtain the segregated
2	accounts' consent before entering into the
3	settlement agreement?
4	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form of
5	the question.
6	A. I don't know.
7	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Okay. We're going
8	to put up on the screen Nathan, can you please
9	put up on the screen the Dallas Foundation's
10	objection.
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. And while we wait, Ms. Diaz, I will
13	tell you that, you know, the good news with
14	COVID or at least one piece of the good
15	news is that we learned to do these remote
16	depositions so people don't have to travel and
17	it's much less expensive for clients.
18	The bad news is that I'm not in the
19	room with you and we have to put documents on the
20	screen, and sometimes that can be a little bit
21	cumbersome.
22	The Dallas Foundation's objection is
23	fairly lengthy. This is not a test at all. I am
24	going to point to certain parts of the objection.
25	But if you believe that you need to see any other

1	portion of the document, will you let me know
2	that so that I give you a chance to be fully
3	informed?
4	A. Yes.
5	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Okay. I think it's
6	towards the end, Nathan, paragraph 32.
7	This will be let's just call it
8	Highland 1.
9	(Whereupon, Exhibit Highland 1
10	was marked for identification and
11	is attached hereto.)
12	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
13	Q. So we've got up on the screen
14	paragraph 32 of the objection. And the third
15	sentence states, "Unfortunately, it does not
16	appear, however, that joint official liquidators
17	are parties to or have authorized the
18	settlement."
19	Do you see that?
20	A. I see it.
21	Q. Okay. Are you aware that joint
22	official liquidators were appointed by a Cayman
23	court?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you know the entity over which the

1	joint official liquidators were appointed?
2	A. I've met with them.
3	Entity?
4	ATTORNEY OKIN: Ms. Diaz, maybe you
5	need the question repeated. You seem to be
6	confused by the wrong part of it.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Thank you, Matt.
9	That's fine. That's fine. I'll ask the question
10	again.
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. Can you identify the entity that's the
13	subject of the Cayman Islands liquidation
14	proceeding?
15	A. Yes; the DAF Holdco.
16	Q. Are you aware that all of the HMIT
17	entities are Delaware corporations? Withdrawn.
18	Are you aware that all of the HMIT
19	entities were formed under the laws of the State
20	of Delaware?
21	A. Sounds familiar.
22	Q. And have you ever communicated with
23	the joint official liquidators?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. When did you do that?

1	A. Two weeks ago.
2	Q. Did you make them aware of Highland's
3	motion to have the settlement between the
4	Highland entities and the HMIT entities approved?
5	A. I'd have to look at my calendar.
6	Q. Do you need your calendar to refresh
7	your recollection as to whether or not you
8	informed them of the Highland settlement motion?
9	A. I would want to make sure that the day
10	I met with them is clear in my mind as to this
11	versus when we've talked to them.
12	Q. Fair enough.
13	A. As you can imagine, there's been a lot
14	of detail around all of these cases.
15	Q. Sure. And I don't mean to be
16	disrespectful at all, ma'am. I apologize if you
17	took it that way.
18	Do you recall ever making the joint
19	official liquidators aware of the Dallas
20	Foundation's objection to the settlement motion?
21	A. As I said, I don't know if we've made
22	them aware of the objection, except as it relates
23	to ancillary activity that we're concerned about
24	regarding Mark Patrick.
25	So this was filed on June 9th, and I

-

1	would want to make sure that I spoke with them
2	before or after that; and I don't have that.
3	Q. Do you know if anybody provided a copy
4	of the Dallas Foundation's objection to the joint
5	official liquidators?
6	A. I don't know that.
7	Q. Did you ever consider doing that?
8	A. I will after today.
9	Q. Do you know if anybody asked the joint
10	official liquidators to make an appearance in
11	this case?
12	A. I don't know that.
13	Q. Did you ever ask the joint official
14	liquidators to appear in this case?
15	A. We've already precluded that we don't
16	know whether I've actually talked to them about
17	this case, so that's moot; right?
18	Q. Okay. Do you believe that Mr. Patrick
19	was required to obtain the joint official
20	liquidators' authorization before entering into
21	the settlement agreement?
22	A. I don't know that.
23	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form of
24	the question.
25	///

1	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
2	Q. I'm sorry, ma'am. What did you say?
3	A. I don't know that.
4	Q. Did you have any reason to believe
5	that Mr. Patrick was required to obtain the joint
6	official liquidators' consent before entering
7	into this settlement agreement?
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
10	the joint official liquidators have any authority
11	to reject the proposed settlement?
12	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form.
13	A. I don't know.
14	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
15	Q. A little bit further down, towards the
16	bottom of this paragraph, there's a reference, it
17	says that the Court-appointed fiduciary, quote,
18	may withdrawn.
19	It says:
20	"Indeed, many of Mr. Patrick's
21	actions, including the insertion of
22	newly created entities into the fund's
23	structure for the apparent purpose of
24	diverting charitable assets, will now be
25	subject to the scrutiny of an

1	independent, Court-appointed fiduciary
2	and may be subject to clawback or other
3	avoidance actions in the Cayman
4	liquidation or such other tribunal as
5	has jurisdiction."
6	Do you see that?
7	A. No. You need to scroll down on the
8	Q. It's just at the end of paragraph 32
9	here. It's the last sentence of 32.
10	A. And so what's your question?
11	Q. I just want to make sure that you and
12	I are on the same page, because I'm going to ask
13	some questions about this sentence.
14	A. Yeah.
15	Q. You're not an expert in Cayman Islands
16	law; fair?
17	A. Fair.
18	Q. You're not a lawyer, are you?
19	A. Nope.
20	Q. You're not an expert on clawback or
21	other avoidance actions, as that phrase is used
22	in the Dallas Foundation's objection in
23	paragraph 32; fair?
24	A. Fair.
25	Q. Do you have any understanding as to

1	what facts must be established to succeed in a
2	clawback or other avoidance action?
3	A. Repeat the question.
4	Q. Do you have any understanding as to
5	what facts somebody needs to prove in order to
6	succeed on a clawback or other avoidance action?
7	A. Not in a corporate setting.
8	Q. Is there any other type of setting
9	that would pertain to the Dallas Foundation's
10	claims against Mr. Patrick?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Okay. Do you have a view as to the
13	likelihood that the Dallas Foundation might
14	succeed in clawing back or asserting another
15	avoidance action to set aside the settlement
16	agreement if it's approved by the bankruptcy
17	court?
18	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
19	A. I don't know.
20	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
21	Q. And you don't have a view; is that
22	fair?
23	A. No, I just really don't know
24	Q. If we could
25	A whether we will.

1	Q. Okay. You would have to speculate; is
2	that fair?
3	A. Yes.
4	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Can we scroll down
5	to paragraph 33, please.
6	ATTORNEY OKIN: John, how much longer
7	do you anticipate going? We talked about these
8	being an hour and a half.
9	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Correct. And we
10	started at exactly 2:37 New York time. I expect
11	to finish at 4:07 New York time.
12	ATTORNEY OKIN: Are we doing
13	additional questions from anybody else?
14	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Mr. Phillips, do you
15	have any questions?
16	You're on mute, sir.
17	We'll be done in the 90 minutes.
18	ATTORNEY PHILLIPS: Not at this time.
19	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Okay. Thank you.
20	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
21	Q. So in paragraph 33, it says at the
22	end, quote: "Even if approved by this Court,
23	consummation of the settlement is not likely to
24	buy the peace the debtor now seeks."
25	Do you see that?

-

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Are you aware of anything in the
3	Dallas Foundation's objection that suggests the
4	Highland parties have done anything wrong here?
5	A. Repeat that question.
6	Q. Is there anything in the Dallas
7	Foundation objection that you read and authorized
8	to be filed that suggests that any of the
9	Highland parties have done anything wrong?
10	ATTORNEY PHILLIPS: I'm going to
11	object to that question because you said that she
12	read and authorized it to be filed.
13	ATTORNEY MORRIS: I apologize. I
14	apologize. Thank you.
15	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
16	Q. Let me start again, Ms. Diaz.
17	Do you recall whether there's anything
18	in the Dallas Foundation objection that asserts
19	that any of the Highland parties have done
20	anything wrong in connection with the entry into
21	the settlement agreement?
22	A. I don't recall.
23	Q. Are you aware of any facts that cause
24	you to believe that any of the Highland entities
25	did anything wrong in negotiating and entering

1	into the settlement agreement?
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. If you're not aware of any facts
4	suggesting that Highland has engaged in
5	wrongdoing, do you know why the Dallas Foundation
6	has informed the Court that consummation of the
7	settlement is not likely to buy the peace the
8	debtor now seeks?
9	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
10	A. I'll abstain from answering that.
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. That's not a thing, respectfully.
13	ATTORNEY MORRIS: If Matt, if you
14	want to just help your witness out.
15	ATTORNEY OKIN: You want me to give
16	her the answer?
17	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
18	Q. Well, there's no abstention, so you
19	have to answer the question, ma'am.
20	ATTORNEY OKIN: As best you can answer
21	it, Ms. Diaz, answer it. If you can't answer it,
22	tell him you can't answer it.
23	A. And I'll just say, when you say
24	"Highland," you want to be more specific?
25	///

1 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 2 Highland Capital Management, Ο. Sure. 3 LP, the Highland Claimant Trust or the Highland 4 Litigation Subtrust. 5 Α. And so repeat the question. 6 Ο. Okay. If you don't have any facts 7 suggesting that they've done anything wrong, why did the Dallas Foundation inform the Court, at 8 9 the end of paragraph 33, that consummation of the 10 settlement is not likely to buy the peace the 11 debtor now seeks? 12 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 13 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 14 Q. You can answer. 15 If you can answer it. ATTORNEY OKIN: 16 Α. Again, I'll just repeat that the peace 17 that the debtor seeks will be tainted because of 18 the harm that will come to the Dallas Foundation. 19 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 20 Anything else? Ο. 21 Α. No. 22 Is the Dallas Foundation considering Ο. 23 bringing any claims against Highland, the 24 Highland Claimant Trust or any of its 25 fiduciaries?

Depos	Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277-1 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Exhibit 124 Page 75 of 102 In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.
1	A. (No audible response.)
2	Q. I'm sorry, ma'am. Did you answer?
3	A. I did. I said, "No."
4	Q. Thank you.
5	In paragraph 34
6	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Yeah, right there.
7	Thank you Nathan
8	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
9	Q it says, quote: "There is ample
10	evidence that Mr. Patrick has acted and is acting
11	well outside the scope of his authority and
12	fiduciary obligations."
13	Have I read that correctly?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Focusing solely on the settlement
16	agreement, do you have any reason to believe that
17	Mr. Patrick is acting outside of the scope of his
18	authority in entering into the settlement
19	agreement on behalf of each of the HMIT entities?
20	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
21	A. And I don't know.
22	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
23	Q. Okay. Focusing solely on the
24	settlement agreement, do you have any reason to
25	believe that Mr. Patrick is breaching his

-

1	fiduciary obligations by entering into the
2	settlement agreement on behalf of each of the
3	HMIT entities?
4	A. I don't know.
5	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Can we scroll up to
6	paragraph 16, please.
7	Do you see paragraph 16 concerns
8	material nonpublic inside information?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And was Mr. Dondero the source of the
11	information in this particular paragraph?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Who was?
14	A. My attorneys.
15	Q. That's how you learned about it; is
16	that fair?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Do you see there's a reference to a
19	put option in the last line of this paragraph?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Are you generally familiar with that
22	put option?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And do you know who the counterparty
25	is for that put option?

Deposi	Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277-1 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc tion of Julie Diaz Exhibit 124 Page 77 of 102 In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.
1	A. No, I don't.
2	Q. You don't know?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did you ever ask?
5	A. I'm sure when we
6	ATTORNEY OKIN: Louis, you're not on
7	mute, by the way.
8	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
9	Q. Go ahead, Ms. Diaz. I'm sorry.
10	A. I'm sure when we received the
11	contribution, we asked. And you can ask our CFO
12	that question.
13	My understanding from the original
14	call in Labor Day weekend to our attorney was
15	that we should call the put. That was a 10-year,
16	I believe, and this would have been at year 7;
17	and we didn't understand why he would be calling
18	to ask that. And
19	Q. Has the Dallas Foundation exercised
20	the put as of today?
21	A. Absolutely not.
22	Q. Why not?
23	A. We stopped all activity because this,
24	again, was the beginning of, why is somebody
25	doing that, not giving us the information, not

1 talking to us directly; and that -- and at advice 2 of counsel, we -- we have been very careful with 3 any of our activities to date. 4 And do you know what material Q. 5 nonpublic inside information Mr. Patrick supposedly misused? 6 7 I'll just tell you the quote he gave Α. 8 us, which was Jim Dondero's spiraling out of 9 control and you need to do this because nothing 10 appears to be what it is. 11 Ο. He didn't tell you who -- withdrawn. 12 Is that your basis for alleging that 13 he had material nonpublic inside information --14 I'll -- well, I guess, I can't Α. 15 abstain. I don't know. 16 Ο. Do you have any other --17 If my --Α. 18 I'm sorry. Ο. 19 Well, if my attorney says, "Don't do Α. 20 that," we don't do it. 21 I appreciate that. I don't quarrel Q. 22 I'm just trying to learn facts here. with you. 23 Can you identify any information that 24 you believe Mr. Patrick had that constitutes 25 material nonpublic inside information, as that

1	phrase is used in the Dallas Foundation's
2	objections?
3	A. Right.
4	ATTORNEY OKIN: Hold on, John. I
5	assume you're not I assume we're talking about
6	information that's no longer nonpublic?
7	ATTORNEY MORRIS: If if you all
8	want to mark this I don't know what it is,
9	Matt, so I can't say. And it's not my
10	information either. So I'm happy to mark it
11	confidential if you really prefer.
12	ATTORNEY OKIN: I I don't even know
13	if she knows the answer to the question.
14	A. I don't know the answer to that. But
15	I will tell you that we quickly got a call from
16	Skyview saying that Mark Patrick was no longer
17	employed there and that that was confidential,
18	yeah, insider information.
19	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
20	Q. Oh, so somebody at Skyview told you
21	that; is that fair?
22	A. That's fair.
23	Q. And who was that at Skyview?
24	A. Well, it was an attorney for Skyview.
25	Q. Was it D.C. Sauder?

1	A. No. A woman.
2	Q. Okay. So a female attorney at Skyview
3	told you that Mark Patrick had been terminated
4	and that he had material nonpublic inside
5	information.
6	Do I have that right?
7	A. That they were investigating him and
8	understood that we had called the he had
9	called told us to call the put option.
10	Q. Okay. But as you sit here today,
11	you're not able to tell me what material
12	nonpublic inside information Mr. Patrick
13	supposedly had; fair?
14	A. Fair.
15	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Ma'am, thank you so
16	much. I appreciate your time.
17	Matt, thank you for a professional
18	deposition.
19	We'll see you all, I guess, in a
20	little bit for the next deposition.
21	Thanks, folks.
22	THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want a
23	copy of the transcript?
24	ATTORNEY OKIN: Yes, rushed, please.
25	Whenever John gets it.

1	ATTORNEY PHILLIPS: Yes, expedited,
2	like everybody else.
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Lang, do you
4	want a copy of the transcript?
5	ATTORNEY LANG: Yes, please.
6	(Whereupon, at 3:08 p.m. Central
7	Time, the proceedings concluded.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INSTRUCTIONS TO DEPONENT
2	
	After reading this volume of your
3	deposition, indicate any corrections or changes
4	to your testimony and the reasons therefor on the
5	Errata Sheet supplied to you and sign it. DO NOT
6	make marks or notations on the transcript volume
7	itself.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	
<u> </u>	

1	ERRATA	
2		
3	I wish to make the following changes,	
4	for the following reasons:	
5	Page Line	
6	CHANGE :	
7	REASON:	
8	CHANGE :	
9	REASON:	
10	CHANGE:	
11	REASON:	
12	CHANGE:	
13	REASON:	
14	CHANGE :	
15	REASON:	
16	CHANGE :	
17	REASON:	
18	CHANGE :	
19	REASON:	
20	CHANGE :	
21	REASON:	
22	CHANGE :	
23	REASON:	
24		
25		

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I hereby certify that I have read the
7	foregoing transcript of my deposition testimony,
8	and that my answers to the questions propounded,
9	with the attached corrections or changes, if any,
10	are true and correct.
11	
12	JULIE DIAZ
13	UULL DIAZ
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 3 4 5 I, Gail Inghram, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Realtime 6 7 Systems Administrator, CA-Certified Shorthand 8 Reporter No. 8635, and Notary Public, the officer 9 before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, 10 do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript 11 is a true and correct record of the proceedings; 12 that said proceedings were taken by me 13 stenographically and thereafter reduced to 14 typewriting under my supervision; and that I am 15 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by 16 any of the parties to this case and have no 17 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. 18 Jugham 19 2.0 21 Gail Inghram, BA, RDR, CRR, RSA, CA-CSR No. 8635 22 23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277 Deposition of Julie Diaz Ext

 Doc 4277-1
 Filed 06/24/25
 Entered 06/24/25
 10:20:25
 Desc

 Exhibit 124
 Page 86 of 102
 In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

WORD INDEX	4:07 70:11	activity 14.1 24.12	33:9 35:21 39:11, 16
WORD INDEA	4:0 7 70:11 40 27:24 29:6	activity 14:1 24:12, 25 25:1 27:23 65:23	42:8 43:11 46:9, 12
< \$ >	40 27.24 29.0	76:23	42.8 45.11 40.9, 12 48:24 52:2 55:6
\$25 43:2 56:20	< 5 >	ADAMS 4:9	48.24 52.2 55.0 56:11 72:16, 19, 20,
\$300 42:24	51 3:23	additional 20:24	21, 22 73:14, 15 74:2
\$300 42.24	51 5.25	70:13	78:13, 14
<1>	< 6 >	Administrator 84:7	answering 18:7
1 6:14 63:8, 9	63 6:14	advice 19:18 77:1	72:10
1:37 1:16 2:11	05 0.17	aegis 58:3	answers 48:21 83:8
10 12:20	< 7 >	affidavit 22:13	anticipate 70:7
10 12.20 10010 3:24	7 76:16	affiliated 9:21 11:21	anybody 18:4, 22
10017-2024 3:16	70801 5:10	45:19	25:9 30:1, 20 39:7,
10-year 76:15	713.228.4100 4: <i>12</i>	affiliates 10:14, 15	<i>19, 24, 25</i> 40: <i>13</i> 46:7,
10 year 70.15 11 1:8	75201 4:19	11:25 53:6	14 60:11 66:3, 9
1113 4:10	77002 4:11	affirmed 8:6	70:13
15 6:18	780 3:15	afternoon 8:12	apologize 20:15 33:2
16 75:6, 7		agendas 16:7	59:4 65:16 71:13, 14
1600 5:9	< 8 >	ago 50:17 65:1	apparent 67:23
1700 4:18	8 6:6	agree 33:21	appear 63:16 66:14
19-34054-sgj11 1:5	8635 1:22 84:8, 21	agreed 23:18	appearance 44:17
1st 10: <i>1</i>		agreement 10:18, 23	66:10
	< 9 >	11:6, 9, 22 14:3, 6, 7	appeared 3:2 32:1
< 2 >	90 70:17	36:15, 18, 20, 22, 25	33:5 44:14
2:37 70:10	9th 65:25	37:9, 12, 14, 16 38:5,	appears 77:10
2011 21:9		20 39:1, 10, 22 40:10,	appointed 63:22 64:1
2019 10:9	< A >	19, 25 42:7 44:6	appreciate 18:10
2024 10: <i>1</i>	able 48:23 79:11	46:2, 17, 21 51:1, 11,	25:3 39:18 49:4
2025 1:15 2:10	Absolutely 76:21	15, 18 53:4, 9, 19, 22	77:21 79:16
212.849.7615 3:25	abstain 18:7 72:10	54:7, 10, 25 57:5, 15,	appreciated 57:25
214.817.4500 4:20	77:15	24 58:8 59:20, 25	approval 30:21
22 1:15 2:10	abstention 72:18	60:5, 18 62:3 66:21	approve 29:12 61:9,
225.381.9643 5:11	accepting 44:12	67:7 69:16 71:21	13, 21
22nd 3:23	access 28:2	72:1 74:16, 19, 24	approved 36:16
2390 4:18	accommodate 9:15	75:2	37:22 38:5, 20 41:24
240 4:10	accounting 24:15	ahead 56:11 76:9	42:7 43:18 65:4
	accounts 25:18, 25	ahurt@kellyhart.com	69: <i>16</i> 70: <i>22</i>
< 3 >	26:2, 5, 8 27:18, 21	5:7	Approving 6:17
3:08 80:6	29:24 30:3, 6, 10, 13,	alleging 77:12	approximately 2:11
300-plus 56:19	18, 23 44:16, 24 45:6	allocated 29:3	April 10:1
301 5:9	61:17, 21 62:2	allow 9:2, 7	arising 54:10
30th 29:14	acted 74:10	AMELIA 5:6	arm's-length 51:3, 19
310.277.6910 3:17	acting 17:24 18:5,	amount 23:18	articulate 56:2
32 63:6, 14 68:8, 9,	23 31:6 74:10,17	ample 74:9	aside 69:15
23	action 69:2, 6, 15	ancillary 65:23	asked 25:4 32:22
33 70:5, 21 73:9	actions 31:12 67:21	annuities 26:10, 21,	39:7 46:6, 14 59:4
34 74:5	68: <i>3</i> , <i>21</i>	25 27:4, 6, 14 29:7	66:9 76: <i>11</i>
34th 3:15	activities 22:23	annuity 26:13, 17	asking 25:3 29:16
	27:25 77:3	28:18, 21	40:12 48:18 49:11
<4>		ANSWER 7:3 9:4, 8	asserting 69:14
		18:9 19:17, 19 32:25	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 87 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of June Diaz	EXHIBIT 124		Igniand Capital Management, L.F.
assertion 53:3	authority 57:4, 9, 14	23:3, 14 24:8, 9, 23	52:18 53:5 55:19
			73:2
asserts 71:18	58:15 67:10 74:11,	25:6, 17 27:17, 21	
asset 22:11 48:5	18	29:23 30:2, 6, 7, 22	careful 77:2
assets 18:16 24:25	authorization 31:2	31:22 46:20 54:1, 20	carefully 18:20
26:9 36:17, 19 37:5,	66:20	57:5, 15 58:22 59:8	Carrera 22:7
8, 21 38:4, 9, 11, 19,	authorized 19:3	74:19 75:2	Case 1:5 32:2, 6
<i>25</i> 39:9, <i>21</i> 40:8, <i>15</i> ,	37:15 52:21, 25	behavior 42:25	33:6, 15 42:22 66:11,
<i>24</i> 41: <i>3</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>18</i> 42: <i>6</i> ,	53:25 54:19 63:17	believe 13:23 18:4	14,17 84:16
24 44:3, 5, 7 45:25	71:7, 12	20:1 32:3, 15, 20	cases 65:14
46:16 47:13,23 48:3	authorizing 12:6	35:15, 25 36:7 37:19	cash 27:3, 6 36:17
53:15, 16 56:14, 16	available 26:17	38:2 40:22 41:8, 22	44:10
57:1 58:3 67:24	Avenue 3:15, 23 4:18	50:10 51:22 54:2	cause 71:23
assume 78:5	avoidance 68:3, 21	57:3 58:20 59:5, 14,	caused 29:22
assumed 58:16	69:2, 6, 15	21 62:25 66:18 67:4,	caution 19:17
assuming 36:19 48:2	aware 8:20 10:7, 11,	9 71:24 74:16,25	Cayman 20:4 21:21
54:11	17 11:3, 4, 8, 11, 12,	76:16 77:24	22:13 23:1 42:23
Atlas 41:4, 9, 12, 16	20 12:9, 23 22:12	believed 18:23	56:18 63:22 64:13
42:1 47:7 48:6	23:7 24:3, 6 25:16	best 14:22 21:18, 22	68:3, 15
49:13	30:12 34:12, 16	22:3 27:5 32:4,9	ceased 23:22 24:12
attached 63:11 83:9	36:14 47:13, 23 48:5	33:4 35:3, 7, 10	Central 1:16 2:11
Attorney 6:6 8:11,	49:16 50:16, 25 51:4,	72:20	80:6
<i>16</i> 19: <i>16</i> , <i>22</i> 31: <i>5</i> , <i>14</i> ,	8 53:18 54:6 55:11,	bit 62:20 67:15	CEO 9:23, 25 10:2
17, 18, 20, 24 35:19,	17 63:21 64:16, 18	79:20	22:6, 7, 8 31:3
20 36:3, 5, 11, 13	65:2, <i>19</i> , <i>22</i> 71:2, <i>23</i>	block 31:21	CEOs 22:4
37:24 38:1, 7, 14	72:3	bottom 67:16	certain 8:19 10:14
39:2, 4, 11, 15 41:1, 6	12.5	Bradshaw 22:8	25:18 38:19 50:18
42:16, 18 43:23 44:1	< B >	breaching 74:25	62:24
46:3, 5, 8, 10, 11	BA 1:22 84:21	break 9:14, 16	Certainly 47:6
48:10 49:3, 5, 24	back 10:8 47:22	bring 50:18	CERTIFICATE 84:2
50:1, 13, 15 51:25	69:14	bringing 73:23	Certified 2:14, 15
52:1, 8, 9 54:16, 18	backdrop 56:17	business 23:21 49:18	84:6
55:1, 5, 14, 16, 21, 23	backdrop 50.17 bad 62:18	58:1	certify 83:6 84:10
56:5, 7, 9 57:2, 7, 11,	BANKRUPTCY 1:1	buy 70:24 72:7	cetera 43:17
17, 20 58:9, 12 59:10,	10:8, <i>12</i> 32:2, <i>6</i> 33:6,	73:10	CFO 15:22 76:11
<i>17</i> , 20 38.9, 12 39.10, <i>13</i> 60:7, <i>10</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>22</i>	15 34:13 38:6 44:14,	75.10	chance 63:2
62:4, 7, 11 63:5, 12	18, 22 45:1 50:17	< C >	change 42:10 82:6, 8,
64: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>11</i> 66: <i>23</i>	69:16	CA-Certified 84:7	10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20,
67:1, 12, 14 69:18, 20	Barbara 20:11, 22	CA-CSR 1:22 84:21	10, 12, 14, 10, 10, 20, 22
70:4, 6, 9, 12, 14, 18,	21:3, 7, 12, 16 22:8	calendar 65:5, 6	
	BARTLETT 4:9		changes 24:16 50:11 53:14 81:3 82:3
<i>19,20</i> 71: <i>10,13,15</i> 72:0 11 12 15 17 20	based 53:2 56:12	call 63:7 76:14, 15 78:15 79:9	83:9
72:9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20	basically 13:14	called 10:5 12:10	changing 47:10
73:1, 12, 13, 15, 19	basis 13:25 43:7	20:7, 19 34:13, 17	Chapter 1:8
74:6, 8, 20, 22 75:5			charitable 13:23
76:6, 8, 14 77:19	53:17 55:24 56:3	35:6, 12 79:8, 9	
78:4, 7, 12, 19, 24 79:2, 15, 24, 80:1, 5	77:12 Baton 5:10	calling 76:17 CAPITAL 1:6 3:4	14:1 24:25 26:12
79:2, 15, 24 80:1, 5	Baton 5:10		38:8 42:24 57:1 67:24
attorneys 75:14 audible 74:1	beginning 2:11 76:24 behalf 3:4, 19 4:3,	8:16 10:5, 7 21:9	chart 48:13
auuivic /4.1	<i>14</i> 5:3 11:9, <i>13</i>	34:24 35:2, 16, 25 36:7 50:19 51:24	chief 31:3
		50.7 50.19 51:24	
	12:10 17:25 18:5, 23	I	1

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 88 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

City 20:11, 20 21:3,	connection 8:18	70:22 72:6 73:8	18, 21 54:1, 13, 20, 22
5, 6, 11, 16 22:7	23:25 52:13 71:20	79:22 80:3	55:12, 18, 25 56:4
claim 32:5, 18 33:14	consent 16:7 30:21	Court-appointed	57:22 58:6, 21 59:6,
44:21, 25 53:4, 7	57:23 59:7 62:2	67:17 68:1	15, 17 60:2, 23 61:8
55:12, 18	67:6	COVID 62:14	62:9, 22 65:19 66:4
Claimant 3:5 32:12,	consider 66:7	CRAWFORD 4:17	68:22 69:9, 13 71:3,
16, 21 33:20, 24	considering 73:22	created 67:22	6, 18 72:5 73:8, 18,
34:14, 17, 20 35:7, 13	constitutes 77:24	Crown 4:3 6:15	22 76:19 78:1
45:4, 7, 14 52:5	consult 9:17	25:18, 21, 22 26:9	D'AMBRA 5:14
55:20 73:3, 24	consummation 70:23	27:18, 25 28:5, 9, 15	date 56:15 77:3
claimed 53:12	72:6 73:9	30:13, 16, 21 31:1, 9,	DAVID 4:7
claims 50:18 69:10	Cont'd 4:1 5:1	12, 23 34:2, 7 37:6, 8	day 43:12 65:9
73:23	contend 52:17 53:21	41:25 43:1 44:13, 20	76:14
clarify 10:20	contends 50:25 53:18	45:3, 9, 12, 20, 24	dcurry@okinadams.co
CLARITY 7:22	context 18:11	46:15 49:9, 10, 20	m 4:8
clawback 68:2, 20	continue 49:3	57:23 58:7 59:7, 15,	de 22:11
69:2, 6	contractual 13:21	22 60:15 61:5, 12, 16,	dealings 49:18
clawing 69:14	34:23 35:2, 6, 11	20	Debbie 22:6
clear 33:3 34:5	45:10, 13	CRR 1:22 84:21	Debtor 1:8 70:24
65:10	contractually 58:14	cumbersome 62:21	72:8 73:11,17
clearly 31:21	contribution 43:14	CURRY 4:7, 9	decided 43:19
clients 31:8 62:17	76:11		decision 17:6 25:11
cold 48:15	contributions 13:24	< D >	decisions 56:24
come 73:18	14:9 21:8	D.C 78:25	declaration 22:13, 20
commenced 21:21	control 56:15 60:24	DAF 20:8 64:15	23:1
22:15	61:6, 17 77:9	DALLAS 1:3 4:3, 19	decline 29:17, 19, 22
commitment 23:23	controlled 47:17	6:14 8:18 9:21, 25	Defendant 4:3, 14
common 23:21	49:12	10:11, 18, 23 12:3, 11,	definitively 40:5
communicate 24:12	controls 47:20	15, 16, 18, 21 13:5, 7,	Delaware 64:17, 20
communicated 58:16,	conversations 39:13	8, 10, 13, 15, 18, 20, 22	DEMO 3:8
17, 21 64:22	convey 46:16	14:4, 8, 9, 13, 23 15:2,	DEPONENT 81:1
communication 24:14	conveying 19:18	6, 8, 9, 19 16:3, 4	deposed 8:22
28:3	copy 25:13 66:3	17:5, 21, 25 18:1, 6,	DEPOSITION 1:13
community 14:19	79:23 80:4	24 20:12, 23, 25 21:2,	2:9 7:1 8:17 11:18
20:13 21:2, 4 22:9,	corporate 50:2 69:7	5, 6, 11, 16 22:20	12:1 45:17 79:18, 20
14	corporations 64:17	23:14, 24 24:4, 7, 8,	81:3 83:7
company 10:4	correct 15:1 16:2	21, 22 25:4, 5, 8, 16,	depositions 62:16
complete 37:4	32:7 33:8, 16, 20	25 27:1, 7, 11, 12, 16,	described 37:21
compliance 38:10	47:24 52:19 70:9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	42:10 43:9 50:4
concern 42:5 54:14,	83:10 84:11	29:23 30:1, 7, 20	detail 65:14
<i>23</i>	corrections 81:3 83:9	31:7, 9, 15, 22, 25	DIAZ 1:14 2:9 6:5
concerned 27:24	correctly 74:13	32:5, 15, 20 33:5, 14,	8:5, 12 19:17 31:10,
42:20, 22 65:23	counsel 19:19 29:13	19, 23 34:19, 22 35:1,	25 36:22 39:12 46:9,
concerning 51:14	39:13 77:2 84:15	5, 11, 18 36:2, 9	12 48:16 58:13
concerns 75:7	counterpart 18:13	37:10, 20 38:3, 24	62:12 64:4 71:16
concluded 80:7	counterparty 75:24	39:8, 20 40:8, 17, 22	72:21 76:9 83:12
confer 25:10	couple 50:16	41:22 42:4, 11, 12, 13	different 43:16 48:21
confidential 78:11, 17	COURT 1:1 10:12	43:20, 21 45:21	diminished 38:11
confused 64:6	37:9, 23 38:6 43:18	48:25 49:6, 15, 18, 23	Diplomate 2:14 84:5
confusing 16:4	50:17 63:23 69:17	50:4, 12 52:16 53:3,	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 89 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of June Diaz			ginand Capital Management, L.I.
DIRECT 7:23 32:20	Empower 12:10, 15,	8:10	fiduciaries 24:24
59:18, 23	<i>16, 21</i> 13:5, 7, 20	examined 8:8	73:25
directed 21:25 22:2	10, 21 $13.5, 7, 2014:4, 9, 13, 23$ $15:2, 9,$	excuse 16:1 18:8	fiduciary 28:13 38:9
direction 2:16	19 16:3, 4 24:8, 10,	executed 10:22	67:17 68:1 74:12
directly 28:22 49:16	$\begin{array}{c} 19 & 10.5, 4 & 24.8, 10, \\ 21 & 25:4, 8 & 27:1, 7, 12 \end{array}$	exercise 15:11	75:1
77:1	28:21	exercised 76:19	file 24:7, 22 25:5
disburse 41:18 42:1		Exhibit 63:9	27:20 29:23 30:2
	engaged 18:13 72:4		
disbursement 28:17	ensure 38:12	existence 12:19	filed 10:8, 12 12:4,
disclosed 24:4	enter 22:10 57:4, 10,	expect 70:10	<i>10</i> 22: <i>12</i> 24:9 25: <i>14</i> ,
disclosing 39:13	14 61:23	expectations 42:12	17 27:17 30:7 31:7,
discussions 20:1	entered 51:1 61:10,	expected 41:13	15 32:2, 5 33:6, 14
disrespectful 65:16	13	expedited 80:1	42:3 44:17, 20, 25
DISTRICT 1:2	entering 57:24 58:7	expenses 23:19, 24	50:16 52:25 65:25
diverting 67:24	59:8 62:2 66:20	expensive 62:17	71:8, 12
DIVISION 1:3	67:6 71:25 74:18	expert 68:15, 20	filing 12:7 19:9
document 41:7	75:1	expressed 54:23	22:10 30:22 52:14,
52:24 55:2 63:1	entities 11:21 12:1	extent 48:25	21 54:1, 20 58:18, 22
DOCUMENTS 7:7	17:7, 8 20:16 21:20,		finance 29:3
16:16 40:21 62:19	25 22:2 36:15, 16	< F >	financial 84:17
doing 31:22 56:18	41:24 43:16 46:1, 19,	fact 56:13	find 48:20 55:3
66:7 70: <i>12</i> 76: <i>25</i>	20, 25 47:3 48:13	facts 17:18, 21 51:13,	fine 55:4 64:9
dollars 56:19	49:8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17,	17 55:11, 17 57:12	finish 9:3, 7 70:11
donation 13:2, 4	22 50:11 51:2, 7	69:1, 5 71:23 72:3	first 8:6
donations 13:22	54:7, 8 57:6, 16 58:5	73:6 77:22	first-quarter 29:13
Dondero 12:24 13:1,	59:9, 19, 24 60:4, 17,	fail 9:8	flag 24:17
<i>3</i> , <i>4</i> 14: <i>12</i> 15: <i>21</i>	25 61:6, 10, 14, 18, 22	fair 9:5, 19 19:7, 8	Floor 3:15, 23
16:7, 25 17:4, 20, 24	62:1 64:17, 19 65:4	26:18 32:14, 17	flow 13:12 26:14
18: <i>4</i> , <i>22</i> 19: <i>6</i> 21: <i>10</i> ,	67:22 71:24 74:19	33:11 34:18 37:17,	37:6, 8 41:4 53:13
25 22:12 25:10 40:7	75:3	18 40:13 42:4 43:5	flowed 47:7
75:10	entitled 14:8 38:24	44:8 50:5, 23, 24	Flows 26:15 29:2
Dondero's 23:1 77:8	entity 12:10, 13	52:11, 13, 25 54:15	56:16
donor-advised 13:13	13:11 15:17 17:1, 3	59:2, 3 65:12 68:16,	focus 49:11
dramatic 24:16	20:7 34:13, 17 35:6,	17, 23, 24 69:22 70:2	focused 34:5
Dugaboy 4:14	12 41:12, 16 47:24	75:16 78:21, 22	Focusing 74:15, 23
duly 8:6	49:10 55:13 63:25	79:13, 14	folks 79:21
duties 35:17 36:1,8	64:3, 12	fairly 62:23	following 82: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i>
49:22	Entry 6:16 71:20	fall 24:13	follows 8:8
duty 50:12 58:5	erosion 56:14	familiar 10:4 12:13	foregoing 83:7 84:9,
	Errata 81:5	14:25 15:25 19:2, 3	10
< E >	ESQ 3:6, 8, 10, 12, 20	26:4 36:24 46:24	form 35:19 36:3,11
earlier 27:22 50:3	4:5, 7, 15 5:4, 6	47:2, 4 52:24 64:21	37:24 38:7 39:2
effectuated 50:10	essence 24:11	75:21	41:1 42:16 43:23
effectuates 13:14	essentially 27:23	familiarity 22:19	46:3 49:24 50:13
either 38:11 59:6	established 69:1	47:5	51:25 52:8 54:16
78:10	et 43:17	Family 15:24 16:22	55:1, 14, 21 56:5, 10
EMANUEL 3:22	everybody 80:2	24:10 27:2, 13 28:22	57:7, 17 58:9 59:10
employed 78:17	evidence 74:10	fault 34:4	60:7, 19 62:4 66:23
84:15	exactly 70:10	feeds 34:1	67:12 69:18 72:9
employee 45:21	EXAMINATION 6:4	feel 48:8	73:12 74:20
		female 79:2	
	1	1	I

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 90 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

	EXHIDIC 124		iginand Capital Management, L.F
formal 22:10	fund 13:8, <i>13</i> 14:6, 7	governance 13:25	Highland/HMIT
Formally 10:1	23:20, 23, 24 26:11	15:3 29:1	19:15, 24
formation 18:12	41:4,9 53:14	GPs 43:17	Highland's 65:2
	funded 26:24		e e
formed 12:21 34:14,		grant 13:12, 14	HMIT 11:17, 21, 25
18 64:19 6 41 17 18 21	fundholder 14:17	grant-making 12:18	17:7 36:16 37:21
forth 17:18, 21	fundholders 14:20	18:15	38:4, 18, 25 39:9, 21
42:12 44:5	23:19	grants 14:18	40:9, 24 41:8, 19, 24
forward 38:12	funding 23:2, 7, 10,	GREGORY 3:8	42:6, 21 45:25 46:1,
found 29:16	13, 17	ground 8:24	16, 19 48:5 49:8, 10,
Foundation 4:3 6:15	funds 14:2 16:3	guess 30:11 48:3	22 50:11, 16 51:2, 7
9:22, 25 10:3, 12, 18,	43:1 53:13	77:14 79:19	54:7 57:6, 15 58:4
23 12:11, 15, 16, 18,	fund's 67:22		59:9, 19, 23 60:4, 16,
22 13:5, 7, 8, 11, 14,	further 67:15	< H >	24 61:6, 10, 14, 18, 22,
21, 22 14:4, 5, 8, 14,	future 53:16	half 70:8	25 64:16, 18 65:4
23 15:2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 19,		HALL 5:18	74:19 75:3
<i>24</i> 16: <i>4</i> , <i>22</i> 18: <i>1</i> , <i>6</i> ,	< G >	HALLMAN 5:8	HMIT/Highland
24 20:11, 12, 14, 21,	Gail 1:21 2:13 84:5,	happening 22:24	40:18 41:14
22, 23, 25 21:2, 3	21	42:25 56:25	hold 16:12 78:4
22:9 23:14, 25 24:7,	gdemo@pszjlaw.com	happens 42:5, 20	Holdco 20:8 64:15
8, 10, 21, 22 25:4, 5, 8,	3:9	56:22	holds 47:24
17 27:1, 2, 8, 11, 12,	generally 11:24	happy 9:15 78:10	hour 70:8
13, 16, 20 28:4, 8, 22,	36:24 50:5 75:21	harm 73:18	Houston 4:11
23 29:23 30:1, 7, 20	gentleman 11:8	HART 5:8	Hunter 5:3 10:15
31:7, 9, 16, 22 32:1, 5,	50:19	HAYLEY 3:12	11:4, 10, 14, 16 34:1,
15, 20 33:5, 14, 19, 23	give 43:19 49:14	head 39:6 50:8	<i>9</i> 37:5 41:3 47: <i>11</i> ,
34:19, 22 35:1, 5, 11,	55:12 56:24 63:2	hear 8:13	14, 22 48:9, 17 49:13
18 36:2, 10 37:10, 20	72:15	heard 32:11	53:22 54:4 58:22
38:3, 24 39:8, 14, 20	given 58:15	held 2:9 25:18	HURT 5:6
40:8, 17, 23 41:22	giving 76:25	he'll 48:23	hwinograd@pszjlaw.c
42:14 43:20, 21	Global 4:3 6:15	help 48:14 72:14	om 3:13
45: <i>22</i> 49: <i>6</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>23</i>	25:18, 21, 22 26:9	hereto 63:11	
50:12 52:16 53:3, 18,	27:18, 25 28:5, 9, 15	Hernandez 31:4	< I >
21 54:2, 13, 21, 23	30:13, 16 31:1, 9, 23	high 26:6, 7 37:1, 2	idea 19:9, 12, 13, 14,
55:12, 18 57:23 58:6,	34:2, 7 37:6, 8 41:25	38:18	23
21 59:15, 17 60:2, 23	43:1 44:13, 20 45:3,	HIGHLAND 1:6 3:4,	identification 63:10
61:8 69: <i>13</i> 71:7, <i>18</i>	9, 12, 20, 24 46:15	19 6:12, 14 8:16	identified 21:13
72:5 73:8, 18, 22	49:9, 10, 20 58:7	10:5, 7, 14, 22 17:7	25:25 41:17
76:19	59:15, 22 60:15 61:5,	20:12 21:6, 9 32:1, 6,	identify 10:21 11:1
foundations 21:2, 4,	12, 16, 20	11, 16, 21 33:6, 15, 20,	15:20 26:23 30:5
12 26:18 29:4	Global's 30:21 31:12	23 34:6, 10, 13, 17, 20,	41:11 64:12 77:23
Foundation's 8:18	57:23 59:7	23 35:2, 7, 12, 16, 25	imagine 65:13
12:3 17:5, 22 22:14,	go 23:21 28:21, 25	36:7, 15 41:24 43:4,	impact 42:2
20 24:5 26:1 42:5,	41:9 56:11 76:9	8, 12 44:14, 17, 21, 25	impacted 41:23
11, 12 49:1 50:4	going 9:1 40:9	45:1, 4, 7, 10, 13	impacts 43:1
55:25 56:4 59:7	45:16 47:22 48:16,	46:16 50:19, 25 51:6,	important 9:2
62:9, <i>22</i> 65:20 66:4	20, 21 51:8 62:7, 24	23 52:5, 18 53:5	including 67:21
68: <i>22</i> 69: <i>9</i> 71: <i>3</i>	68:12 70:7 71:10	54:8 55:13, 19 58:18,	income 26:11, 13
78:1	Good 8:12 62:13, 14	23 63:8,9 65:4,8	27:7
four 21:1 51:9	good-faith 51:3, 19	71:4, 9, 19, 24 72:4,	independent 68:1
fully 63:2		24 73:2, 3, 23, 24	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 91 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

INDEX 7:1	Islands 20:4 21:21	24 44:2, 9, 11, 13, 15,	level 26:6, 8 37:1, 3
indicate 81:3	22:13 23:1 42:23	16, 19, 20, 23, 24 45:2,	38:18
indirect 32:21 60:3,	56:18 64:13 68:15	3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 19,	liabilities 54:9
6, 16	issues 23:22 47:9	24 46:4 48:4 49:6,	Life 4:4 25:19, 21
indirectly 41:5	48:23	25 50:14 52:3, 4, 7,	likelihood 69:13
individual 16:8	its 12:7 13:23 15:3	20 53:24 54:17 57:9	Limited 25:19, 22
inform 73:8	18:1, 6 24:23 25:5	58:4, 13, 14, 25 59:12,	LINE 7:4, 8, 13, 17
information 17:25	27:20 42:13 52:16	17, 22 60:1, 2, 9, 15,	75:19 82:5
18:5, 14, 24 19:6	53:5 73:24 84:17	21, 23 $61:2, 5, 7, 8, 11,$	liquidation 64:13
58:2, 6 75:8, 11		12, 15, 16, 19, 20, 24,	68: <i>4</i>
76:25 77:5, 13, 23, 25	< J >	25 62:6, 13 63:1, 25	liquidators 63:16, 22
78:6, 10, 18 79:5, 12	Jackie 22:7	65:21 66:3, 6, 9, 12,	64: <i>1</i> , <i>23</i> 65: <i>19</i> 66: <i>5</i> ,
informed 25:12 63:3	JAMES 5:19 50:20	16, 22 $67:3, 8, 13$	10, 14, 20 67:6, 10
65:8 72:6	JEFFREY 3:10	69:19, 23 72:2, 5	liquidity 56:16
Inghram 1:21 2:13	Jim 12:23, 25 15:21	74:21 75:4, 24 76:2	listen 18:19
84:5, <i>21</i>	16:7 19:5 77:8	77:4, 15 78:8, 12, 14	lists 16:14
insertion 67:21			
inside 75:8 77:5, 13,	jmorris@pszjlaw.com 3:7	knowledge 14:22	literally 16:14
		21:18 22:3 25:15	Litigation 3:19
25 79:4, 12	job 38:8, 12	32:4,9 33:4,10,12,	22:15 23:2, 8, 11, 12,
insider 78:18	JOHN 3:6 8:15	13, 17, 18, 22 34:19	<i>13, 17, 25</i> 73:4
insignificant 56:20	31:6 48:11 70:6	35:3, 8, 10 49:1 51:5,	little 62:20 67:15
INSTRUCTED 7:3	78:4 79:25	13, 17	79:20
INSTRUCTIONS	joint 63:16, 21 64:1,	knows 78:13	LITTLETON 5:20
81: <i>1</i>	23 65:18 66:4, 9, 13,		15:22 48:22
Insurance 4:4 25:19,	19 67:5, 10	<l></l>	Littleton's 45:17
22 26:10, 21	JONES 3:14 5:18	L.P 1:7	LLP 3:22 4:9 5:8
intended 54:12	jpomerantz@pszjlaw.c	Labor 76:14	LOIGMAN 3:20
interest 32:16, 21	om 3:11	lack 53:12	long 19:18
33:19, 23 34:20	JULIE 1:14 2:9 6:5	LANG 4:15, 17 80:3,	longer 70:6 78:6, 16
40:23 45:4, 7 59:18,	8:5 83:12	5	look 31:20 65:5
23 60:3, 16 84:17	June 1:15 2:10	language 14:11	looking 16:10, 13
interested 18:18	65:25	large 20:7, 9	losing 53:15
interim 10:2	jurisdiction 68:5	law 68:16	lot 18:11, 14, 18
interrupt 31:5 48:10	justify 31:12	laws 64:19	44:12 56:15 65:13
investigate 25:2		lawsuit 58:18, 22	LOUIS 5:4 76:6
investigating 79:7	<k></k>	lawyer 9:17 43:10	Louisiana 5:10
Investment 4:14 5:3	Kansas 20:11, 19, 20	68:18	lower 29:15
10:15 11:5, 10, 14, 17	21:3, 5, 6, 11, 16 22:7	lawyers 46:9	LP 10:5, 8 34:24
47:12, 14, 23 53:23	keep 48:15, 18	lead 40:22	35:3, 17 36:1, 8
54:4 58:23	KELLY 5:8	leading 24:15	51:24 53:5 55:19
involved 43:12, 13	kind 14:3 33:19	leads 41:8	73:3
58:3	know 9:9, 12 12:21	learn 22:25 23:10	lphillips@kellyhart.co
involvement 11:11	13:15, 18, 20 14:10,	77:22	m 5:5
14:16, 18 17:1, 2	12 16:25 17:4 18:22	learned 18:17 23:4,	LPs 43:17
43:8	19:9, 12, 14, 23 21:10	5 56:23 62:15 75:15	
irregular 25:1 56:14	26:20 27:16 28:2	leave 43:17	< M >
irregularities 24:14	29:8, 15 30:9 31:2,	led 29:9	Ma'am 16:9 52:2, 22
IRS 13:11	25 32:25 33:9 37:7	legal 23:19, 22 31:3	55:6 56:8 65:16
	39:3, 5 40:20 41:4,	43:16 57:14	67:2 72:19 74:2
	16, 20 42:17 43:6, 11,	lengthy 62:23	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 92 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of June Diaz			ginand Capital Management, L.F.
70.15	MODDIG 2.6 6.6		
79:15	MORRIS 3:6 6:6	newly 67:22	obtain 57:22 59:6
Madison 3:23	8:11, 15 19:22 31:14,	news 62:13, 15, 18	62:1 66:19 67:5
Main 5:9	18, 24 35:20 36:5, 13	Nods 50:8	obviously 28:12
majority 15:7, 8	38:1, 14 39:4, 15	nonpublic 75:8 77:5,	October 47:8
making 14:18 53:3	41:6 42:18 44:1	13, 25 78:6 79:4, 12	offhand 14:11
65:18	46:5, 10, 11 49:3, 5	Nope 68:19	office 29:3
MANAGEMENT 1:6	50:1, 15 52:1, 9	North 20:13 21:4	officer 84:8
3:4 8:16 10:5, 8	54:18 55:5, 16, 23	22:8	officers 15:15
14:13, 15 18:16	56:7 57:2, 11, 20	NORTHERN 1:2	official 63:16, 22
34:24 35:3, 17 36:1,	58:12 59:13 60:10,	Notary 84:8	64:1, 23 65:19 66:5,
8 50:19 51:24 52:18	22 62:7, 11 63:5, 12	notations 81:6	10, 13, 19 67:6, 10
53:5 55:19 73:2	64:8, 11 67:1, 14	NOTE 7:21	Oh 15:1 16:1, 21
managing 41:5	69:20 70:4, 9, 14, 19,	notes 16:18	30:14 78:20
March 29:14	20 71:13, 15 72:11,	notice 44:17	Okada 15:24 16:22
Mark 11:9 24:20	13, 17 73:1, 13, 19		24:10 27:1, 8, 12
40:15 41:4 42:9	74:6, 8, 22 75:5 76:8	< 0 >	28:21
43:19 46:20 49:12	78:7, 19 79:15	object 17:6 19:13, 15	okay 8:13, 24 17:4
57:4 65:24 78:8, 10,	Motion 6:16 50:17	35:19 36:3, 11 37:24	18:19 19:16 28:20
16 79:3	65:3, 8, 20	38:7 39:2 41:1	33:13 34:8, 11, 16, 21,
MARKED 7:16	Mountain 5:3 10:15	42:14 43:21, 23 46:3	22 41:21 46:24 50:9
63:10	11:4, 10, 14, 16 34:1,	51:25 52:8 55:1, 14,	51:12 55:10 62:7
MARKS 7:22 81:6	9 37:5 41:3 47:11,	21 56:5, 9 57:7, 17	63:5, 21 64:7 66:18
material 75:8 77:4,	14, 22 48:9, 17 49:13	58:9 59:10 60:7, 19	69:12 70:1, 19 73:6
<i>13, 25 79:4, 11</i>	53:23 54:4 58:23	62:4 66:23 67:12	74:23 79:2, 10
Matt 64:8 72:13	move 38:12	69:18 71:11 72:9	OKIN 4:5,9 19:16
78:9 79:17	mute 70:16 76:7	73:12 74:20	31:5, 17, 20 35:19
MATTTHEW 4:5	mutual 54:14, 24	objected 10:19, 24	36:3, 11 37:24 38:7
mean 12:25 14:15	mutual 51.17, 27 mystery 44:7	objecting 19:24	39:2, 11 41:1 42:16
28:13 46:20 55:2	mystery ++./	37:10	43:23 46:3, 8 48:10
65: <i>15</i> 40:20 55:2	< N >	Objection 6:14 8:18	49:24 50:13 51:25
member 16:8	name 8:15	10:13 12:4, 9 17:11,	52:8 54:16 55:1, 14,
memory 48:19	named 11:8 50:19	15, 18, 22 18:2, 6, 25	21 56:5, 9 57:7, 17
mentioned 29:5	NATHAN 5:18 62:8	19:2, 7, 10 22:21	58:9 59:10 60:7, 19
met 42:13 64:2	63:6 74:7	24:5, 8, 9, 22 25:5, 13,	62:4 64:4 66:23
65:10	nature 22:23 44:11	17 26:1 27:17, 21	67:12 69:18 70:6, 12
MICHAEL 4:15	51:6	29:23 30:2, 7, 22	72:9, 15, 20 73:12, 15
million 42:24 43:2	NECESSARILY 7:22	31:6 32:2 33:7	74:20 76:6 78:4, 12
56:19, 20	need 9:14, 17 16:2	37:15 42:3, 11, 13, 16	79:24
mind 41:12 48:15	62:25 64:5 65:6	49:24 50:4, 13 52:15,	once 37:4
65:10	68:7 77:9	17, 22 54:1, 16, 20, 22	opportunity 38:11
minimis 22:11	needs 69:5	55:25 56:4, 6, 12	56:23
minutes 70:17	negotiating 71:25	62:10, 22, 24 63:14	option 75:19, 22, 25
misused 77:6	negotiation 51:14	65:20, 22 66:4 68:22	79:9
mlang@cwl.law.com	negotiation 51:17 negotiations 51:3, 6,	71:3, 7, 18	Order 6:16 69:5
4:16	<i>20</i>	objections 78:2	org 21:15 48:12
mokin@okinadams.co	neither 84:15	obligation 13:21	organization 12:17,
m 4:6	never 32:5 33:5	41:18 50:12 58:5	<i>19</i> 13:10 15:18
money 23:16 42:1, 20	New 3:16, 24 70:10,	obligations 35:17	16:23 26:24
months 17:13	11	36:1, 9 49:23 74:12	organizations 16:11
moot 66:17		75:1	20:17, 24 21:7 22:4
moot 00.17	I	/ 5.1	20.1/,27 21./ 22.7

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 93 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

			ignand Capital Management, E.I.
23:3 26:15, 16 28:1 29:1 34:3 53:8, 10, 15 56:21 organization's 38:9 orgs 15:6 20:7, 9 43:15 original 43:14 76:13 originated 19:10 outcome 84:17 outside 74:11, 17 oversee 24:25 overseeing 24:12 oversees 14:1 oversight 15:7, 9, 12 56:16 owed 36:9 50:11 owes 35:17 36:1 49:22 owned 48:5 owner 30:5, 12 ownership 40:23 59:18, 23 60:3, 6, 16 owns 30:9, 18 47:14 <p> p.m 1:16 2:11 80:6 PACHULSKI 3:14 5:18 Pacific 4:18 PAGE 6:4, 12 7:4, 8, 13, 17 8:25 46:22 68:12 82:5 pages 6:18 paid 23:20 paragraph 63:6, 14 67:16 68:8, 23 70:5,</p>	Patrick 11:9, 13 24:20 40:15, 16 41:4 42:9 43:19 46:20 47:7, 17 49:12 50:6, 10 57:4, 13, 22 58:20 59:5 65:24 66:18 67:5 69:10 74:10, 17, 25 77:5, 24 78:16 79:3, 12 Patrick's 67:20 PAUL 5:14 31:4 pay 26:10 peace peace 70:24 72:7 73:10, 16 pending 9:16 42:23 people 15:20 62:16 percent 27:24 29:6 permission 50:18 person person 24:11, 18 40:14 53:25 54:19 personally 23:24 pertain 69:9 pertains 28:7 PHILLIPS 5:4 70:14, 18 71:10 80:1 phrase 46:19 68:21 78:1 piece 62:14 play 14:12 16:7 pleading 31:15	prepare 18:25 present 2:16 5:3, 4, 15, 16, 21, 22 16:5 21:17 pretty 24:15 Prior 10:1 58:2 privilege problem 39:18 42:19 proceeding proceeding 64:14 proceeding 64:14 proceeding 2:12 21:21 80:7 84:9, 11, 12 proceeds 26:17 27:13 28:12, 18, 20 40:18 40:18 41:13 43:20 product 51:2, 19 PRODUCTION PRODUCTION 7:7 professional 79:17 promise 48:23 proposed 10:13 19:24 51:23 51:23 52:4 67:11 propounded provide 17:25 18:5 23:16 58:5 provide provide 18:23 66:3 Public 84:8 purchase <	62:5 64:5,9 66:24 68:10 69:3 71:5,11 72:19 73:5 76:12 78:13 questioning 33:2 QUESTIONS 7:3,16 9:2,18 31:19 48:19, 24 49:4 68:13 70:13, 15 83:8 quick 8:24 quickly 78:15 QUINN 3:22 quized 48:8 QUOTATION 7:22 QUOTE 7:23 67:17 70:22 74:9 77:7 < R > raised 24:16 Rand 47:2,4,5,9,17, 20 48:2,18 49:12 RAVER 5:21 RDR 1:22 84:21 read 19:4 22:17,25 31:14 71:7,12 74:13 83:6 reading 16:9 81:2 really 20:13 26:10 34:5 69:23 78:11 Realtime 2:14 84:6 reason 24:3 32:15 35:15,25 36:7 37:19 38:2 41:21 42:14 43:21 50:9 51:22 54:2 57:3 59:5,14 67:4,9 74:16,24
PACHULSKI 3:14 5:18 Pacific 4:18 PAGE 6:4, 12 7:4, 8, 13, 17 8:25 46:22 68:12 82:5 pages 6:18 paid 23:20 paragraph 63:6, 14 67:16 68:8, 23 70:5, 21 73:9 74:5 75:6, 7, 11, 19 Pardon 56:8 part 37:8 64:6	78:1 piece 62:14 play 14:21 played 17:5 plays 14:12 16:7 pleading 31:15 Please 46:10, 13 62:8 70:5 75:6 79:24 80:5 PLLC 4:17 point 62:24 policies 26:21 POMERANTZ 3:10 portion 38:4, 25	provide 17:25 18:5 23:16 58:5 provided 18:23 66:3 Public 84:8 purchase 26:24 purpose 67:23 purposes 11:17 12:1 26:12 pursuant 36:17 put 14:19 16:19 62:8, 9, 19 75:19, 22, 25 76:15, 20 79:9 putting 31:10	really 20:13 26:10 34:5 69:23 78:11 Realtime 2:14 84:6 reason 24:3 32:15 35:15, 25 36:7 37:19 38:2 41:21 42:14 43:21 50:9 51:22 54:2 57:3 59:5, 14 67:4, 9 74:16, 24 82:7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23 reasons 81:4 82:4 recall 40:12 53:3
participated 32:23 particular 24:3 51:14 75:11 parties 3:2 10:17, 21 11:1, 4 18:18 63:17 71:4, 9, 19 84:16 parts 62:24 party 11:5, 22 56:15 59:19, 24 60:4, 17	39:9 63:1 position 31:11 possibly 48:15 potential 53:16 practice 23:21 precise 18:21 precluded 66:15 prefer 78:11 preparation 52:14	< Q > quarrel 77:21 quarterly 28:11 question 9:3, 8, 11, 16 10:20 18:3, 9, 20 19:19 33:1 35:22 37:25 39:16, 17, 23, 25 40:13 46:6, 13 55:15 56:10 57:8, 18 58:10 59:11 60:8, 20	54:21 55:9 65:18 71:17, 22 receive 14:8 36:17 37:5, 22 38:4, 19, 24, 25 39:9, 20, 21 40:9, 24 41:13, 19 46:1, 15 received 27:11 40:21 42:21 49:7 76:10 receives 41:9 42:1, 6 receiving 44:4

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 94 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

recollection 53:2	REQUEST 7:7	scroll 68:7 70:4	share 57:13
65:7	requests 29:11	75:5	shares 43:15
recommendations	required 13:24	scrutiny 67:25	SHAWN 5:21
13: <i>12</i> 14: <i>18</i>	57:22 59:6 62:1	second 48:16	Sheet 81:5
record 84:11	66:19 67:5	secretary 16:6	Shorthand 2:15 84:2,
recorded 2:12	requirement 37:7	securities 44:10	7
recover 37:20 38:3,	respect 14:22 28:17	see 16:13 22:16, 19	show 48:12 55:3
13 39:8 40:17 45:25	29:7	47:8 48:13 62:25	shows 42:23
56:18	respectfully 72:12	63:19, 20 68:6 70:25	side 10:22
red 24:16	response 74:1	75:7, 18 79:19	sign 81:5
reduced 84:13	responsible 12:6	seek 9:16 30:21	signature 31:21
refer 11:16, 24 20:16	result 21:8 32:23	seeks 70:24 72:8	signed 11:9, 13 46:21
25:21, 24 29:2	34:12	73:11, 17	significant 56:14
reference 67:16	review 12:3 25:13	seen 37:13, 16	significantly 29:14
75:18	reviewed 36:21 41:7	SEERY 5:19 50:20	similarities 22:22
referring 20:3, 10	52:21	58:24	sir 70:16
24:19	right 11:13 16:9	segregated 25:18, 24	sit 11:12 35:16, 24
REFLECT 7:23	21:2 24:1 28:24	26:1, 5, 8 27:17, 21	36:6 79:10
refresh 65:6	34:6 37:20 38:3, 21	29:24 30:2, 6, 9, 13,	six 10:3 17:12
regarding 65:24	39:8, 20 40:8, 17	18, 22 44:16, 24 45:6	18:14, 16
Registered 2:13 84:5	44:6 45:25 46:15,21	61:17, 21 62:1	size 37:6
regular 13:25	52:22, 23 60:24 61:3,	send 28:12	Skyview 78:16, 20, 23,
reject 67:11	4, 6, 9, 13, 17, 21	sent 29:12	24 79:2
related 84:15	66:17 74:6 78:3	sentence 63:15 68:9,	slow 56:24
relates 50:6 65:22	79:6	13	small 20:13
relationship 21:11	rise 55:12	series 9:1	sole 27:7
28:5, 7 33:25 34:23	ROBERT 3:20	set 17:18, 21 42:12	solely 31:7 74:15, 23
35:2, 6, 12 45:10, 13	robertloigman@quinn	43:14 44:5 69:15	somebody 48:25
49:19	emanuel.com 3:21	setting 69:7, 8	69:5 76:24 78:20
releases 54:15, 24	role 14: <i>13</i> , <i>21</i> 16:8	Settlement 6:17 8:19	somebody-who 39:23
releasing 54:8	17:5,9 22:11 38:10	10:13, 18, 23 11:5, 22	sorry 15:1 16:1
remember 48:14	room 62:19	17:6 19:15, 25 20:2,	21:1,6 29:10 55:8
remind 39:12	Rose 22:8	3, 5 36:14, 18, 21, 25	58:13 67:2 74:2
remitted 28:22	Rouge 5:10	37:4, 9, 13, 16, 22	76:9 77:18
REMOTE 1:13 2:8	RSA 1:22 84:21	38:5, 19 39:1, 10, 22	Sounds 64:21
3:2 62:15	rules 8:24	40:9, 18, 25 41:3, 14,	source 17:20 19:6
reorganization 50:3	rushed 79:24	23 42:6, 15 43:18, 22	26:11 27:7 75:10
Repeat 18:3 19:20		44:6 46:2, 17, 21	speak 17:10, 14, 17
37:25 55:15 69:3	< S >	51:1, 10, 15, 18, 23	speaks 55:2
71:5 73:5, 16	Santa 20:11, 22 21:3,	52:5, 17 53:4, 9, 19,	specific 72:24
repeated 64:5	7, 12, 16 22:8	22 54:3, 7, 10, 12, 25	specificity 41:11
report 29:14	Sauder 78:25	57:5, 15, 24 58:7	speculate 70:1
Reported 1:20	saying 78:16	59:8, 19, 24 60:4, 17	spiraling 77:8
Reporter 2:14, 15	says 31:21 67:17,19	62:3 63:18 65:3, 8,	spoke 66: <i>1</i>
79:22 80:3 84:2, 6, 8	70:21 74:9 77:19	20 66:21 67:7,11	spoken 17:12
REPORTER'S 7:21	scope 54:14, 24	69:15 70:23 71:21	sponsored 12:17
reports 28:11	74:11,17	72:1,7 73:10 74:15,	STANG 3:14 5:18
represent 31:8, 13	screen 62:8, 9, 20	18, 24 75:2	start 39:25 71:16
representative 25:7	63:13	Shakes 39:6	started 70:10
			State 64:19

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 95 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of June Diaz			ginand Capital Management, L.F.
statement 22.21	45.16	4-14 47.9 50.22	76.12
statement 33:21	45:16	told 47:8 50:22	76: <i>13</i>
54:22	taken 84:9, 12	78:20 79:3, 9	understood 29:9 79:8
STATES 1:1 63:15	talk 48:22	TORREY 5:20	unexplained 29:22
status 13:24	talked 40:14 65:11	15:22 16:5	unfair 51:23 52:5,
Stenographically	66:16 70:7	track 43:17	18 53:4, 7, 10, 19, 22
1:20 2:13 84:13	talking 33:7 51:10	transaction 61:13	54:3
step 13:16	77:1 78:5	transactional 28:11	Unfortunately 63:15
stick 47:11	technical 14:10	transactions 29:8	UNITED 1: <i>1</i>
STIPULATIONS	Technically 13:9	61:9, 22	URQUHART 3:22
7:12	43:11	transcribed 2:15	usual 58:1
stopped 76:23	tell 40:5, 7, 16 47:1	transcript 79:23	
Street 4:10 5:9	62:13 72:22 77:7,11	80:4 81:6 83:7	< V >
strike 40:4	78:15 79:11	84:10	vague 47:10
structure 16:10	telling 46:23	transparency 53:13	valuations 24:16
21:15 42:10 44:4	terminated 79:3	travel 62:16	value 27:12, 24 29:6,
47:9 48:17, 18 49:2	terms 36:18, 25 54:3	treasurer 15:5, 16, 23	19, 22 49:7, 15
67:23	test 62:23	16:5	vanished 42:24
subject 64:13 67:25	testified 8:8 38:17	tribunal 68:4	vehicle 47:6
68:2	testify 8:6	true 83:10 84:11	vein 18:17
Subtrust 73:4	testimony 19:5	Trust 3:5 4:14 5:3	versus 65:11
succeed 69:1, 6, 14	32:19 81:4 83:7	10:15 11:5, 10, 14, 17	vice 15:4, 15, 22
suggest 51:18 57:13	testing 48:19	32:12, 16, 21 33:20,	videoconferencing 3:2
suggesting 72:4 73:7	TEXAS 1:2 4:11, 19	24 34:14, 17, 20 35:7,	video-conferencing
suggests 71:3, 8	20:13 21:4 22:9	13 45:4, 7, 14 47:12,	2:10
Suite 4:10, 18 5:9	Thank 45:23 55:10	14, 23 48:9 52:6	VIDEOGRAPHER
SULLIVAN 3:22	64:8 70: <i>19</i> 71: <i>14</i>	53:23 54:4 55:20	5:13
Sunday 1:15 2:10	74:4, 7 79:15, 17	58:23 73:3, 24	VIDEO-RECORDED
supervision 84:14	Thanks 79:21	Trustee 3:19	1: <i>13</i> 2:8
supplied 81:5	therefor 81:4	truth 8:7	view 52:10 59:1
SUPPORT 7:1	thing 72:12	try 9:7 33:3	69:12, 21
22:14 28:1 55:18	things 44:12 48:11	trying 77:22	Vine 4:10
supporting 12:17	think 22:22 25:12	tune 43:2	vision 28:2
13:10 15:6, 18 16:11,	31: <i>11</i> , <i>17</i> 38: <i>17</i>	two 16:3 31:8 48:11	vision 28.2 volume 81:2, 6
<i>23</i> 20:7, <i>9</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>24</i>	43:24 45:16 48:20	56:21 65:1	vote 15:14
23 ² 20:7, 9, 10, 24 21:7, 15 ² 23:3 ² 26:15,	50:3 57:25 63:5	type 42:25 69:8	voting 15:13
<i>16,23</i> 29: <i>1</i> 34: <i>2</i>	thinks 31:11	typewriting 84:14	voting 15.75
43:15 53:8, 10, 14	Third 3:15 63:14	typewriting 04.14	< W >
45.15 55.8, 10, 14 56:21		< U >	
	three 20:6, 9, 24		wait 62:12
supposedly 77:6	21: <i>1</i> , 5	understand 9:12	want 19:17 43:24
79:13	thrown 27:3, 6	19:21 28:10 29:12	44:2, 11 48:12, 25
sure 16:21 35:23	Time 1:16 2:12	50:2 55:24 56:25	55:2 65:9 66:1
65:9, 15 66:1 68:11	9:14 56:24 70:10, 11,	76:17	68:11 72:14, 15, 24
73:2 76:5, 10	18 79:16 80:7	understanding 21:14,	78:8 79:22 80:4
sworn 8:6	today 8:17 16:17	22, 24 26:7 27:5, 9,	way 20:13 28:13
Systems 84:7	18:12 33:7 35:16, 24	10, 15 29:19, 21	41:23 45:20 48:14
	36:6 47:14, 20, 24	30:15 37:2, 11 38:15,	65:17 76:7
< T >	49:23 66:8 76:20	18, 23 41:2 47:16, 19	Wednesday 56:22
tainted 73:17	79:10	48:7 49:21 56:3	weekend 76:14
take 8:17 31:20	today's 12:1	57:21 68:25 69:4	weeks 65:1

Deposition of Julie Diaz	EXHIDIC 124	Page 90 01 102	In re Highland Capital Mar
Well 13:2 18:11			
20:6 24:9 25:7			
28:10 31:13, 14			
43:10 44:5 48:6			
53:11 72:18 74:11			
77:14, 19 78:24			
we're 8:17, 25 31:21			
33:7 46:19 56:18			
62:7 65:23 78:5			
we've 56:23 63:13			
65:11, 21 66:15			
Wilkerson 22:6			
WINOGRAD 3:12			
wish 82: <i>3</i>			
WISHNEW 4:17			
withdrawn 11:2			
13:19 19:13 23:6			
26:22 27:4 29:20			
30:8 32:10 38:16			
52:15 58:19 59:16			
64:17 67:18 77:11			
witness 48:17 64:7			
72:14			
woman 79:1			
words 13:16 30:8			
work 56:18			
working 18:15			
workings 49:1			
write-down 27:23			
29:6			
wrong 64:6 71:4, 9, 20, 25 73:7			
wrongdoing 72:5			
wronguonig 72.5			
< Y >			
Yeah 22:18 28:16			
48:8 68:14 74:6			
78:18			
year 50:3 76:16			
years 10:3 12:20			
18:14, 16 50:17 51:9			
Yep 45:18			
York 3:16, 24 70:10,			
11			
< Z >			
ZIEHL 3:14 5:18			

WODD LIST	40 (2)	2 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	(2)
WORD LIST	40 (2)	afternoon (1)	assume (2)
-		agendas (1)	assumed (1)
< \$ >	<5>	ago (2)	assuming (3)
\$25 (2)	51 (<i>l</i>)	agree (1)	Atlas (8)
\$300 (<i>1</i>)		agreed (1)	attached (2)
~ 1 \	$\langle 6 \rangle$	agreement (59)	Attorney (126)
<1>	63 (<i>l</i>)	ahead (2)	attorneys (1)
1 (3)		ahurt@kellyhart.com	audible (1)
1:37(2)	< 7 >	(l)	authority (7)
10 (l)	$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & (l) \\ 70001 & (l) \end{bmatrix}$	alleging (1)	authorization (2)
10010 (<i>l</i>) 10017 2024 (<i>l</i>)	70801 (<i>l</i>)	allocated (1)	authorized (9)
10017-2024 (<i>l</i>)	713.228.4100 (1)	allow (2)	authorizing (1)
10-year (l)	75201 (1)	AMELIA (1)	available (1)
11 (<i>l</i>)	77002 (1)	amount (1)	Avenue (3)
1113 (<i>l</i>)	780 (<i>1</i>)	ample (1)	avoidance (5)
15 (<i>l</i>)		ancillary (1)	aware (42)
16(2)	< 8 >	annuities (7)	
1600 (<i>l</i>)	$ 8 (l) \rangle$	annuity (4)	$\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle$
1700 (<i>l</i>)	8635 (3)	ANSWER (30)	BA (2)
19-34054-sgj11 (<i>l</i>)		answering (2)	back (3)
1st (<i>l</i>)	< 9 >	answers (2)	backdrop (1)
	90 (1)	anticipate (1)	bad (1)
< 2 >	9th (1)	anybody (16)	BANKRUPTCY (15)
2:37 (<i>1</i>)		apologize (6)	Barbara (7)
2011 (<i>l</i>)	< A >	apparent (1)	BARTLETT (1)
2019 (<i>l</i>)	able (2)	appear (2)	based (2)
2024 (<i>l</i>)	Absolutely (1)	appearance (2)	basically (1)
2025 (2)	abstain (3)	appeared (4)	basis (6)
212.849.7615 (<i>l</i>)	abstention (1)	appears (1)	Baton (1)
214.817.4500 (<i>l</i>)	accepting (1)	appointed (2)	beginning (2)
22 (2)	access (1)	appreciate (6)	behalf (35)
225.381.9643 (<i>1</i>)	accommodate (1)	appreciated (1)	behavior (1)
22nd (<i>1</i>)	accounting (1)	approval (1)	believe (31)
2390 (<i>l</i>)	accounts (20)	approve (4)	believed (1)
240 (<i>1</i>)	acted (1)	approved (10)	best (12)
	acting (6)	Approving (1)	bit (3)
<3>	action (3)	approximately (1)	block (1)
3:08 (<i>1</i>)	actions (4)	April (1)	bottom (1)
300-plus (<i>1</i>)	activities (3)	arising (1)	Bradshaw (1)
301 (<i>l</i>)	activity (7)	arm's-length (2)	breaching (1)
30th (1)	ADAMS (1)	articulate (1)	break (2)
310.277.6910 (<i>l</i>)	additional (2)	aside (1)	bring (1)
32 (5)	Administrator (1)	asked (8)	bringing (1)
33 (3)	advice (2)	asking (5)	business (3)
34(l)	aegis (l)	asserting (1)	buy (3)
34th (<i>1</i>)	affidavit (1)	assertion (1)	
	affiliated (3)	asserts (1)	<C>
< 4 >	affiliates (4)	asset (2)	CA-Certified (1)
4:07 (<i>1</i>)	affirmed (1)	assets (38)	CA-CSR (2)

		-	
calendar (2)	commitment (1)	couple (1)	disbursement (1)
call (5)	common (1)	COURT (14)	disclosed (1)
called (10)	communicate (1)	Court-appointed (2)	disclosing (1)
calling (1)	communicated (4)	COVID (1)	discussions (1)
CAPITAL (17)	communication (2)	CRAWFORD (1)	disrespectful (1)
careful (1)	community (6)	created (1)	DISTRICT (1)
carefully (1)	company (1)	Crown (45)	diverting (1)
Carrera (1)	complete (1)	CRR(2)	DIVISION (1)
Case (10)	compliance (1)	cumbersome (1)	document (4)
cases (1)	concern (3)	CURRY (2)	DOCUMENTS (4)
cash (4)	concerned (4)		doing (5)
cause (1)	concerning (1)	< D >	dollars (1)
caused (1)	concerns (1)	$\mathbf{D}.\mathbf{C}$ (1)	donation (2)
caution (1)	concluded (1)	\mathbf{DAF} (2)	donations (1)
Cayman (10)	confer (1)	DALLAS (160)	Dondero (20)
ceased (2)	confidential (2)	D'AMBRA (1)	Dondero's (2)
Central (3)	confused (1)	date (2)	donor-advised (1)
CEO (7)	confusing (1)	$\mathbf{DAVID}(l)$	dramatic (1)
CEOs(l)	connection (4)	$\frac{day}{day} (3)$	Dugaboy (1)
certain (7)	consent (6)	dcurry@okinadams.co	duly (1)
Certainly (1)	consider (1)	\mathbf{m} (1)	duties (4)
CERTIFICATE (1)	considering (1)	$\frac{d}{de} (l)$	duty (2)
Certified (3)	constitutes (1)	dealings (1)	
certify (2)	consult (1)	Debbie (1)	< E >
cetera (1)	consummation (3)	Debtor (5)	earlier (2)
\mathbf{CFO} (2)	Cont'd (2)	decided (1)	effectuated (1)
chance (1)	contend (2)	decision (2)	effectuates (1)
change (10)	contends (2)	decisions (1)	either (3)
changes (6)	context (1)	declaration (3)	EMANUEL (1)
changing (1)	continue (1)	decline (3)	employed (2)
Chapter (1)	contractual (7)	Defendant (2)	employee (1)
charitable (8)	contractually (1)	definitively (1)	Empower (25)
chart (1)	contribution (2)	Delaware (2)	engaged (2)
chief (1)	contribution (2)	DEMO (1)	ensure (1)
City (8)	control (5)	DEPONENT (1)	enter (5)
claim (9)	controlled (2)	deposed (1)	entered (3)
Claimant (18)	controls (1)	DEPOSITION (11)	entering (9)
claimed (1)	conversations (1)		entities (55)
		depositions (1) described (4)	
claims (3) clarify (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{convey} (l) \\ \text{conveying} (l) \end{array}$	detail (1)	entitled (2)
· · · ·	conveying (l)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{detail} & (1) \\ \textbf{DIAZ} & (20) \end{array}$	entity (19)
CLARITY (l)	copy (4)		Entry (2)
clawback (4)	corporate (2)	different (2)	erosion (1)
clawing (l)	corporations (1)	diminished (1)	Errata (1)
clear (3)	correct (11)	Diplomate (2)	$\mathbf{ESQ} (10)$
clearly (1)	corrections (2)	DIRECT (4)	essence (1)
clients (2)	correctly (1)	directed (2)	essentially (1)
cold (1)	counsel (5)	direction (1)	established (1)
come (l)	counterpart (1)	directly (3)	et (l)
commenced (2)	counterparty (1)	disburse (2)	everybody (1)
	1	1	1

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-1Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Julie DiazExhibit 124Page 99 of 102In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Julie Diaz	EXHIDIT 124	Fage 99 01 102 milen	iginand Capital Management, L.F.
avidance (1)	flowed (1)	grant (2)	imports (1)
evidence (1)	flowed (1)	grant (2)	impacts (l)
exactly (1)	Flows (3)	grant-making (2)	important (1)
EXAMINATION (2)	focus (1)	grants (1)	including (1)
examined (1)	focused (1)	GREGORY (1)	income (3)
excuse (2)	Focusing (2)	ground (1)	independent (1)
executed (1)	folks (l)	guess (4)	INDEX (1)
exercise (1)	following (2)		indicate (1)
exercised (1)	follows (1)	< H >	indirect (4)
Exhibit (1)	foregoing (3)	half (l)	indirectly (1)
existence (1)	form (33)	HALL (1)	individual (1)
expect (1)	formal (1)	HALLMAN (1)	inform (1)
expectations (1)	Formally (1)	happening (3)	information (19)
expected (1)	formation (1)	happens (3)	informed (4)
expedited (1)	formed (4)	happy (2)	Inghram (4)
expenses (2)	forth (4)	harm (1)	insertion (1)
expensive (1)	forward (1)	HART (1)	inside (6)
expert (2)	found (1)	HAYLEY (1)	insider (1)
expressed (1)	Foundation (145)	head (2)	insignificant (1)
extent (1)	foundations (5)	hear (1)	INSTRUCTED (1)
	Foundation's (24)	heard (1)	INSTRUCTIONS (1)
< F >	four (2)	held (2)	Insurance (5)
fact (1)	fully (1)	he'll (1)	intended (1)
facts (13)	fund (11)	help (2)	interest (13)
fail (1)	funded (1)	hereto (1)	interested (1)
fair (36)	fundholder (1)	Hernandez (1)	interim (1)
fairly (1)	fundholders (2)	high (5)	interrupt (2)
fall (1)	funding (5)	HIGHLAND (82)	investigate (1)
familiar (14)	funds (4)	Highland/HMIT (2)	investigating (1)
familiarity (2)	fund's (1)	Highland's (1)	Investment (13)
Family (6)	further (1)	HMIT (54)	involved (3)
fault (1)	future (1)	HMIT/Highland (2)	involvement (6)
feeds (1)		hold (2)	irregular (2)
feel (1)	< G >	Holdco (2)	irregularities (1)
female (1)	Gail (4)	holds (1)	$\mathbf{IRS}(1)$
fiduciaries (2)	gdemo@pszjlaw.com	hour (1)	Islands (8)
fiduciary (6)	(1)	Houston (1)	issues (3)
file (6)	generally (4)	Hunter (19)	its (13)
filed (25)	gentleman (2)	HURT (1)	
filing (10)	give (6)	hwinograd@pszjlaw.c	< J >
finance (1)	given (1)	om (1)	Jackie (1)
financial (1)	giving (1)		JAMES (2)
find (2)	Global (41)	< I >	JEFFREY (1)
fine (3)	Global's (4)	idea (5)	Jim (6)
finish (3)	go (7)	identification (1)	jmorris@pszjlaw.com
first (1)	going (13)	identified (3)	(l)
first-quarter (1)	Good (3)	identify (8)	\mathbf{job} (2)
flag (l)	good-faith (2)	imagine (1)	JOHN (7)
Floor (2)	governance (3)	impact (1)	joint (11)
flow (6)	GPs (1)	impact (1)	JONES (2)
$\mathbf{HOW} (0)$			$\int \mathbf{J} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{S} \left(\mathbf{Z} \right)$
	I	I	I

in a marantzanszilaw a	Litigation (10)	makin@akinadama.aa	obviously (1)
jpomerantz@pszjlaw.c	Litigation (10)	mokin@okinadams.co	obviously (1)
om (l)	little (3)	\mathbf{m} (l) (2)	October (1)
$\mathbf{JULIE} (5)$	LITTLETON (3)	money (3)	offhand (1)
June (3)	Littleton's (1)	months (l)	office (l)
jurisdiction (1)	LLP (3)	moot (1)	officer (1)
justify (1)	LOIGMAN (1)	MORRIS (69)	officers (1)
~~	long (1)	Motion (5)	official (11)
<k></k>	longer (3)	Mountain (19)	Oh (5)
Kansas (9)	look (2)	move (l)	Okada (7)
keep (2)	looking (2)	mute (2)	okay (29)
KELLY (1)	losing (1)	mutual (2)	OKIN (52)
kind (2)	lot (6)	mystery (1)	once (1)
know (122)	LOUIS (2)		opportunity (2)
knowledge (21)	Louisiana (1)	< N >	option (4)
knows (1)	lower (1)	name (1)	Order (2)
	LP (11)	named (2)	org (2)
< L >	lphillips@kellyhart.co	NATHAN (4)	organization (6)
$\mathbf{L.P} (1)$	m (1)	nature (3)	organizations (15)
Labor (1)	LPs (1)	NECESSARILY (1)	organization's (1)
lack (1)		need (8)	orgs (4)
LANG (4)	< M >	needs (1)	original (2)
language (1)	Ma'am (10)	negotiating (1)	originated (1)
large (2)	Madison (1)	negotiation (1)	outcome (1)
law (1)	Main (1)	negotiations (3)	outside (2)
laws (1)	majority (2)	neither (1)	oversee (1)
lawsuit (2)	making (3)	never (2)	overseeing (1)
lawyer (3)	MANAGEMENT	New (6)	oversees (1)
lawyers (1)	(19)	newly (1)	oversight (4)
lead (1)	managing (1)	news (3)	owed (2)
leading (1)	March (1)	Nods (1)	owes (3)
leads (1)	Mark (14)	nonpublic (7)	owned (1)
learn (3)	$\mathbf{MARKED} (2)$	Nope (1)	owner (2)
learned (6)	MARKED (2) MARKS (2)	North (3)	ownership (6)
leave (1)	material (6)	NORTHERN (1)	owns (3)
led (1)	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Material} & (0) \\ \text{Matt} & (4) \end{array}$		owns (5)
	MATTTHEW (1)	Notary (1)	< P >
legal (5)		notations (l)	
lengthy (1)	mean (6)	NOTE (l)	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{p.m} (3) \\ \mathbf{p.a.} \\ \mathbf{p.m} (2) \end{array} $
level (5)	member (1)	notes (l)	PACHULSKI (2)
liabilities (2)	memory (1)	notice (1)	Pacific (1)
Life (3)	mentioned (1)		PAGE (10)
likelihood (1)	$ \begin{array}{c} met (3) \\ \hline \end{array} $	<0>	pages (1)
Limited (2)	MICHAEL (1)	object (35)	paid (1)
LINE (6)	million (4)	objected (2)	paragraph (13)
liquidation (2)	mind (3)	objecting (2)	Pardon (1)
liquidators (11)	minimis (1)	Objection (63)	part (2)
liquidity (1)	minutes (1)	objections (1)	participated (1)
listen (1)	misused (1)	obligation (4)	particular (3)
lists (1)	mlang@cwl.law.com	obligations (6)	parties (11)
literally (1)	(1)	obtain (5)	parts (1)

party (7)	proposed (6)	reduced (1)	RSA (2)
Patrick (31)	propounded (1)	refer (6)	rules (1)
Patrick's (1)	protect (2)	reference (2)	rushed (1)
PAUL (2)	prove (1)	referring (3)	
pay (1)	provide (4)	REFLECT (1)	< S >
peace (4)	provided (2)	refresh (1)	Santa (7)
pending (2)	Public (1)	regarding (1)	Sauder (1)
people (2)	purchase (1)	Registered (2)	saying (1)
percent (2)	purpose (1)	regular (1)	says (6)
permission (1)	purposes (3)	reject (1)	scope (4)
person (5)	pursuant (1)	related (1)	screen (4)
personally (1)	put (11)	relates (2)	scroll (3)
pertain (1)	putting (1)	relationship (11)	scrutiny (1)
pertains (1)		releases (2)	second (1)
PHILLIPS (5)	< Q >	releasing (1)	secretary (1)
phrase (3)	quarrel (1)	remember (1)	securities (1)
piece (1)	quarterly (1)	remind (1)	see (13)
play (1)	question (39)	remitted (1)	seek (2)
played (1)	questioning (1)	REMOTE (4)	seeks (4)
plays (2)	QUESTIONS (12)	reorganization (1)	seen (2)
pleading (1)	quick (1)	Repeat (8)	SEERY (3)
Please (7)	quickly (1)	repeated (1)	segregated (20)
PLLC (l)	QUINN (1)	report (1)	send (1)
point (1)	quizzed (1)	Reported (1)	sent (1)
policies (1)	QUOTATION (1)	Reporter (9)	sentence (3)
POMERANTZ (1)	QUOTE (5)	REPORTER'S (1)	series (1)
portion (4)		reports (1)	set (6)
position (1)	< R >	represent (3)	setting (2)
possibly (1)	raised (1)	representative (1)	Settlement (84)
potential (1)	Rand (9)	REQUEST (1)	Shakes (1)
practice (1)	RAVER (1)	requests (1)	share (1)
precise (1)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{R}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{K} & (1) \\ \mathbf{R}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R} & (2) \end{array}$	required (6)	shares (1)
			SHAWN (1)
precluded (1)	read (8)	requirement (1)	
prefer (l)	reading (2)	respect (3)	Sheet (1)
preparation (l)	really (5)	respectfully (1)	Shorthand (3)
prepare (1)	Realtime (3)	response (1)	show (2)
present (2)	reason (29)	responsible (1)	shows (l)
president (10)	reasons (2)	result (3)	side (1)
pretty (1)	recall (7)	review (2)	sign (l)
Prior (2)	receive (17)	reviewed (3)	signature (1)
privilege (1)	received (5)	right (31)	signed (3)
problem (2)	receives (3)	rise (1)	significant (1)
proceeding (1)	receiving (1)	ROBERT (1)	significantly (1)
proceedings (6)	recollection (2)	robertloigman@quinn	similarities (1)
proceeds (8)	recommendations (2)	emanuel.com (1)	sir(l)
product (2)	record (1)	role (7)	sit (5)
PRODUCTION (1)	recorded (1)	room (1)	six (4)
professional (1)	recover (7)	Rose (1)	size (1)
promise (1)	red (<i>1</i>)	Rouge (1)	Skyview (5)

slow (1)	Systems (1)	truth (3)	Well (17)
small (1)		try (2)	we're (9)
sole (1)	< T >	trying (1)	we've (5)
solely (3)	tainted (1)	tune (1)	Wilkerson (1)
somebody (4)	take (3)	two (5)	WINOGRAD (1)
somebody-who (1)	taken (2)	type (2)	wish (1)
sorry (11)	talk (1)	typewriting (1)	WISHNEW (1)
Sounds (1)	talked (4)	(i)	withdrawn (16)
source (5)	talking (4)	< U >	witness (3)
speak (3)	technical (1)	understand (8)	woman (1)
speaks (1)	Technically (2)	understanding (26)	words (2)
specific (1)	tell (10)	understood (2)	work (1)
specificity (1)	telling (1)	unexplained (1)	working (1)
speculate (1)	terminated (1)	unfair (9)	workings (1)
spiraling (1)	terms (3)	Unfortunately (1)	write-down (2)
spoke (1)	terms (3) test (1)	UNITED (1)	wrong (6)
spoke (1) spoken (1)	test (1) testified (2)	URQUHART (1)	0 ,
•			wrongdoing (1)
sponsored (1)	testify (l)	usual (1)	
STANG (2)	testimony (4)		$\langle Y \rangle$
start (2)	testing (1)	$\langle V \rangle$	Yeah (6)
started (1)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{TEXAS} & (6) \\ \mathbf{TL} & \mathbf{I} & (0) \end{array}$	vague (1)	year (2)
State (1)	Thank (9)	valuations (1)	years (6)
statement (2)	Thanks (1)	value (7)	Yep (1)
STATES (2)	therefor (1)	vanished (1)	York (6)
status (1)	thing (1)	vehicle (1)	-
Stenographically (3)	things (2)	vein (1)	< <u>Z</u> >
step (1)	think (11)	versus (1)	ZIEHL (2)
stick (1)	thinks (1)	vice (3)	
STIPULATIONS (1)	Third (2)	videoconferencing (1)	
stopped (1)	three (5)	video-conferencing	
Street (2)	thrown (2)	(1)	
strike (1)	Time (9)	VIDEOGRAPHER	
structure (9)	today (14)	(1)	
subject (3)	today's (1)	VIDEO-RECORDED	
Subtrust (1)	told (5)	(2)	
succeed (3)	TORREY (3)	view (4)	
suggest (2)	track (1)	Vine (1)	
suggesting (2)	transaction (1)	vision (1)	
suggests (2)	transactional (1)	volume (2)	
Suite (3)	transactions (3)	vote (1)	
SULLIVAN (1)	transcribed (1)	voting (1)	
Sunday (2)	transcript (5)		
supervision (1)	transparency (1)	< W >	
supplied (1)	travel (1)	wait (1)	
SUPPORT (4)	treasurer (4)	want (16)	
supporting (23)	tribunal (1)	way (7)	
supposedly (2)	true (2)	Wednesday (1)	
supposed (2) sure (9)	Trust (32)	weekend (1)	
sworn (1)	Trustee (1)	weeks (1)	
(1)			
	1	1	1

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277-2 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Exhibit 125 Page 1 of 100

EXHIBIT 125

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	DALLAS DIVISION
4	
5	In Re: Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
6	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,
7	L.P.,
8	Debtor. Chapter 11
9	X.
10	
11	
12	REMOTE VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION of
13	TORREY LITTLETON
14	Sunday, June 22, 2025
15	3:30 p.m. Central time
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported Stenographically by:
21	Gail L. Inghram,
22	BA, RDR, CRR, RSA, CA-CSR No. 8635
23	
24	
25	

Deposi	tion of Torrey Entreton EXHIBIT 125 Page 5 OF 100 In the Highland Capital Management, E.F
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	WHEREUPON, the remote video-recorded
9	deposition of TORREY LITTLETON was held via
10	video-conferencing on Sunday, June 22, 2025,
11	beginning at approximately 3:30 p.m. Central
12	Time, the proceedings being recorded
13	stenographically by Gail Inghram, Registered
14	Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,
15	Certified Shorthand Reporter, and transcribed
16	under her direction, there being present:
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	[All parties appeared via remote videoconferencing.]
3	
4	On behalf of Highland Capital Management, and the Highland
5	Claimant Trust:
6	JOHN MORRIS, ESQ.
7	jmorris@pszjlaw.com
8	GREGORY V. DEMO, ESQ.
9	gdemo@pszjlaw.com
10	JEFFREY POMERANTZ, ESQ.
11	jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
12	HAYLEY WINOGRAD, ESQ.
13	hwinograd@pszjlaw.com
14	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES
15	780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor
16	New York, New York 10017-2024
17	310.277.6910
18	
19	On behalf of Highland Litigation Trustee:
20	ROBERT S. LOIGMAN, ESQ.
21	robertloigman@quinnemanuel.com
22	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
23	51 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor
24	New York, New York 10010
25	212.849.7615

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd):
2	
3	On behalf of Defendant Dallas Foundation and Crown Global
4	Life Insurance:
5	MATTTHEW OKIN, ESQ.
6	mokin@okinadams.com
7	DAVID CURRY, ESQ.
8	dcurry@okinadams.com
9	OKIN ADAMS BARTLETT CURRY LLP
10	1113 Vine Street, Suite 240
11	Houston, Texas 77002
12	713.228.4100
13	
14	On behalf of Defendant Dugaboy Investment Trust:
15	MICHAEL LANG, ESQ.
16	mlang@cwl.law.com
17	CRAWFORD WISHNEW & LANG PLLC
18	1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2390
19	Dallas, Texas 75201
20	214.817.4500
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd):
2	
3	On Behalf of Hunter Mountain Investment Trust:
4	LOUIS M. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
5	lphillips@kellyhart.com
6	AMELIA L. HURT, ESQ.
7	ahurt@kellyhart.com
8	KELLY HART & HALLMAN LLP
9	301 Main Street, Suite 1600
10	Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801
11	225.381.9643
12	
13	VIDEOGRAPHER:
14	PAUL D'AMBRA
15	
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT:
18	NATHAN HALL, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones
19	JAMES SEERY
20	SHAWN RAVER
21	JULIE DIAZ
22	
23	
24	
25	

Deposi	Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277-2 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc tion of Torrey Littleton Exhibit 125 Page 7 of 100 In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.
1	
2	INDEX
3	
4	
5	EXAMINATION OF: PAGE
6	TORREY LITTLETON
7	Attorney Morris8
8	
9	
10	
11	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS REFERENCED:
12	NUMBER PAGE
13	Exhibit Highland 158
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX
2	INSTRUCTION NOT TO ANSWER:
3	PAGE LINE
4	67 21
5	
6	
7	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
8	PAGE LINE
9	(None)
10	
11	
12	STIPULATIONS
13	PAGE LINE
14	(None)
15	
16	QUESTIONS MARKED
17	PAGE LINE
18	(None)
19	
20	
21	REPORTER'S NOTE:
22	QUOTATION MARKS ARE USED FOR CLARITY AND DO NOT NECESSARILY
23	REFLECT A DIRECT QUOTE.
24	
25	

_	
1	
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	
4	WHEREUPON,
5	TORREY LITTLETON,
6	being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to the
7	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
8	was examined and testified as follows:
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. Actually, I just want to start by
13	apologizing to the witness that I did not tell
14	him that Sunday depositions were casual. Thanks
15	for dressing up.
16	A. I appreciate it. No worries.
17	Q. And for some of us, Mr. Littleton,
18	every day is Sunday unless we're going to court.
19	It's nice to meet you, sir.
20	A. Same here.
21	Q. Can you hear me okay, sir?
22	A. Yes, I can hear you.
23	Q. Okay. Good afternoon. My name is
24	John Morris. I'm an attorney at a law firm who
25	represents Highland Capital Management, LP, and

-

1	the Highland Claimant Trust. And we're here
2	today for your deposition in connection with the
3	Dallas Foundation's objection to a certain
4	proposed settlement agreement between Highland
5	and some affiliates and some entities that are
6	controlled by Mark Patrick.
7	Do you understand that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Have you ever been deposed before,
10	sir?
11	A. No. This is my first time.
12	Q. Okay. It's not just relax. It's
13	a it's a formal process, but it's not a
14	complicated process. I'm going to ask you a
15	series of questions, and it's very important that
16	you let me finish my question before you begin
17	your answer. Okay?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. It's important that I allow you to
20	finish your answer before I begin my next
21	question; and if I fail to do that, will you let
22	me know?
23	A. Yes, sir, I will.
24	Q. Do you understand that your testimony
25	today is being transcribed by Gail, the court

1	reporter?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. So everything you and I say is going
4	to be written down verbatim, or that's the goal
5	anyway.
6	Do you understand that?
7	A. Yes, I do.
8	Q. Okay. If there's a question that I
9	ask that you don't understand, will you let me
10	know and I'll try to rephrase it?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. From time to time your lawyer might
13	object to some of my questions. It will give
14	it's formal, legal stuff, lawyer stuff. It gives
15	me a chance to think about whether there's a
16	legal infirmity in my question, and it gives me
17	an opportunity to rephrase it if I want.
18	But unless he directs you not to
19	answer, I'm going to ask you to answer the
20	question anyway. Okay?
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. Just so you're not surprised by the
23	process.
24	If you need a break for any reason at
25	any time, let me know, and I'll try to

1 accommodate you as long as a question is not 2 pending. Okay? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Are you affiliated with the Dallas Q. 5 Foundation, sir? 6 Α. Yes, I am. 7 Ο. In what capacity? 8 Α. I am the CFO here at the Dallas Foundation. 9 10 How long have you been the CFO of the Ο. 11 Dallas Foundation? I've been the CFO here since 12 Α. 13 January 1st of 2022, but I've been with the 14 organization for 13 years. 15 And what are your duties and Ο. 16 responsibilities as the CFO? 17 As the CFO, my main duty is to ensure Α. 18 the proper oversight and fiduciary stewardship of 19 our charitable assets. That means having fiduciary oversight of our investments, our 20 21 financial reporting, any legal aspects that may 22 impact the foundation in any capacity. 23 I also serve as a board director as 24 relates to some of our supporting organizations, 25 part of our conversation here today with Empower

1	Foundation and the Okada Family Foundation.
2	Q. Are you a lawyer?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. Are you familiar with a company called
5	Highland Capital Management, LP?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Are you aware that that entity filed
8	for bankruptcy a number of years ago?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Are you aware that that entity used to
11	be controlled by a gentleman named Jim Dondero?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Okay. Are you aware that the Dallas
14	Foundation recently filed an objection in the
15	bankruptcy court overseeing Highland's bankruptcy
16	and the objection pertaining to a proposed
17	settlement agreement between Highland and certain
18	affiliates and certain entities that are
19	controlled by Mr. Patrick?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Did you review that objection before
22	it was filed?
23	A. Yeah, I read through the objections.
24	But for the most part, I rely on our legal team
25	to give us a summary and kind of walk us through

1	some of the pertinent details.
2	Q. Are you familiar with the entities
3	that Mr. Patrick signed that agreement on behalf
4	of?
5	A. Yeah; I believe it's the Hunter
6	Mountain Investment Trust.
7	Q. Right. And you're aware that in
8	addition to the Hunter Mountain can we refer
9	to Hunter Mountain Investment Trust as "HMIT"
10	today?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And are you aware that certain
13	affiliates of HMIT are also party to the
14	settlement agreement that is the subject of this
15	proceeding?
16	A. I am.
17	Q. And can we refer to HMIT and those
18	affiliates that are party to the agreement as
19	"the HMIT entities"?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. It's going to make our day a
22	little bit quicker and a little bit easier.
23	A. Sure.
24	Q. Do you know who approved the filing of
25	the objection on behalf of the Dallas Foundation?

1	A. Yeah, so it was approved by President
2	Julie Diaz.
3	Q. Anybody else?
4	A. Then our legal team helped us kind of
5	put together the objection.
6	Q. Do you know, have you ever met
7	Jim Dondero?
8	A. I have never met him personally, no.
9	Q. Have you ever spoken with him?
10	A. I think I was on one conference call
11	with him one time that I can recall.
12	Q. Okay. Do you know if he had any role
13	in the preparation of the Dallas Foundation's
14	objection?
15	A. I didn't have any exposure with
16	Mr. Dondero. So, no, I do not know.
17	Q. Do you know where the idea of filing
18	the objection originated? Like, whose idea was
19	it?
20	A. Yes yes.
21	Q. Whose idea was it to file the
22	objection?
23	A. Well, it's a combination of the
24	Empower and Okada Foundation boards. Just
25	looking at some of the investment activity that

1	transpired through the first quarter, we had some
2	concerns about the activity. And a portion of
3	that activity was the sale of Hunter Mountain
4	interests through the Rand PE Fund I that
5	ultimately rolled up to the Atlas, LP Fund, which
6	impacted the economic value of the portfolio
7	that's held by Crown Global.
8	Q. That's why the let's unpack that a
9	little bit. I asked where did the who came up
10	with the idea of objecting? Let's take that
11	first.
12	A. Sure, sure. Yeah, I think it was the
13	board of the foundations, so primarily Julie Diaz
14	and myself just kind of thinking through the
15	activity that we became aware of.
16	Q. And Mr. Dondero is a member of the
17	board; right?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And it's just the three of you;
20	correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
23	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
24	Q. The Dallas Foundation's objection
25	refers to certain litigation pending in the

1	Cayman Islands.
2	Are you aware of that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Dondero is
5	funding that litigation on behalf of the
6	supporting organizations that commenced it?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. And you're aware that he's funding
9	this litigation on behalf of the Dallas
10	Foundation; correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Do you know why Empower Dallas
13	Foundation and the Okada Family Foundation didn't
14	just file the objection in their own name rather
15	than having the Dallas Foundation do it for them?
16	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
17	A. I think we looked it as the Dallas
18	Foundation is the supporting organization of the
19	two entities. And we felt that the Dallas
20	Foundation and our president, Julie Diaz, we just
21	decided to file it under the foundation based on
22	a recommendation from our legal counsel.
23	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
24	Q. Are you aware that the objection is
25	also filed on behalf of Crown Global Life

1	Insurance, Limited?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. Okay. And what is Crown Global Life
4	Insurance, Limited?
5	A. The Crown Global Life Insurance,
6	Limited, is an issuer of insurance annuities.
7	Q. What what role does it play with
8	respect to the Dallas Foundation?
9	A. Yeah, so it plays a role more so with
10	the Empower Dallas and Okada Family Foundation.
11	They each hold policies with Crown Global through
12	the insurance annuities. So the legal contract
13	is between Empower Dallas and Okada Family
14	Foundation with Crown Global.
15	Q. And and is the withdrawn.
16	Does the annuity pay dividends or make
17	other distributions?
18	A. Yeah, it makes distributions to the
19	fund as fixed payments through the contract, yes,
20	sir.
21	Q. And do those fixed payments go
22	directly from Crown Global to Empower Dallas and
23	the Okada Family Foundation respectively?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. And do you know what the annuities are

1 invested in? 2 I don't know the actual underlying Α. 3 investments. I know the annuities have within 4 them the Atlas IDF, LP Fund, and that they --5 what ultimately rolls up is the Rand Fund I, the 6 Beacon Mountain and the Hunter Mountain, HMIT, 7 ultimately rolls up. 8 Ο. And is -- are the annuities the sole 9 source of Empower Dallas and the Okada Family 10 Foundation's income, to the best of your 11 knowledge? 12 Α. That's correct, yes, sir. 13 And does a portion of that income then Ο. 14 flow up to the Dallas Foundation? 15 Α. Well, the income doesn't flow to the 16 Dallas Foundation. What does happen is, as 17 Empower and the Okada Family Foundations make 18 recommendations, those recommendations flow to 19 the Dallas Foundation, to the corresponding 20 donor-advised fund; and then ultimately those 21 donor-advised funds make the grants out to the 22 community. 23 We typically don't get any of the 24 income through the Crown Global vehicle -- the 25 Dallas Foundation doesn't get any income through

1 the Crown Global vehicle. 2 Is there anybody in the room with you Ο. 3 right now? 4 Α. No, sir. 5 Ο. Do you have any device on other than the computer that we're sharing right now? 6 7 Α. I have a fan on. Is it causing No. 8 feedback? 9 Ο. No, but I have a fan on too. Maybe 10 it's --11 Okay. Sorry. Yeah. Sorry about Α. 12 that. 13 Do you have any notes or anything that Ο. 14 you're reading from or that you're looking at? 15 Α. No, sir, no. 16 Ο. Okay. So there are these annuities. 17 How did those annuities get funded? Do you know? 18 Α. So back in 2015, I believe, in Yes. 19 November of 2015, we funded the annuities with 20 about \$29 million. So contributions from -- I 21 think it was about \$22 million from the --22 Mr. Dondero, and then I believe another \$7 23 million from Mr. Okada, which ultimately were 24 sent over to Crown Global to invest in the 25 annuities.

Deposition of Torrey Littleton Exhibit 125 Page 21 of 100 In re Highland Capital Management, L.P. 1 Ο. And under the annuities, they pay 2 fixed amounts to both supporting organizations? 3 Yes, sir, that's correct. Α. 4 And is there any market risk that the Q. 5 supporting organizations have, or is this a -- is 6 it your understanding that it's a contractual 7 obligation of Crown Global to pay that fixed 8 amount for some duration? 9 Α. Yeah, I believe Crown Global takes the 10 risk. They have to pay a fixed amount through 11 the obligation. I believe they take an M&E 12 expense, which compensates for the risk. 13 What's that last piece? I missed --Ο. 14 The M&E expenses, it's part of Α. 15 their -- we receive their statements quarterly. 16 They have an administrative fee that they take, 17 and then they have what they call an M&E expense, 18 which it was explained a while back that's a 19 percentage that they take to compensate for the 20 risk associated with insurance annuities. 21 And is the annuity tied to a -- is it Q. 22 a fixed period of time, or is it tied to a 23 particular event? 24 Α. That, I cannot answer.

Doc 4277-2 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25

Desc

Case 19-34054-sgi11

25

Q. Okay. But is it fair to say that the

1	supporting organizations paid money to Crown
2	Royal Crown Global it's getting late.
3	Sorry.
4	ATTORNEY OKIN: Sounds good.
5	THE WITNESS: No. Sounds good, yeah.
6	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
7	Q. Let me restate the question.
8	Is it your understanding that the
9	supporting organizations paid money to
10	Crown Global; and in exchange, they got annuities
11	which produced a fixed stream of income for a
12	period of time in the future? Is that right?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Okay. And that the assets the
15	segregated accounts themselves are owned by
16	Crown Global; correct?
17	A. I believe the Atlas IDF Fund, I
18	believe Crown Global has partnership interests in
19	that particular fund. I don't think they have
20	any ownership of the other underlying segregated
21	accounts. Not that I'm aware of.
22	Q. Do the segregated accounts hold
23	anything other than the annuities? Withdrawn.
24	Do the segregated accounts hold the
25	annuities?

1	A. The segregated accounts, not to my
2	knowledge do they hold the annuities. What I
3	believe hold the annuities is the Atlas IDF, LP
4	Fund holds the annuities.
5	Q. But Crown Global is the one who takes
6	the risk with respect to the investments in the
7	annuities; correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. And do they take the risk as well with
10	respect to the value of Atlas, for example?
11	A. Yes, they do take the risk with the
12	value of Atlas.
13	Q. So whether Atlas is worth a dollar or
14	a billion dollars, Crown Global has the same
15	obligation to the supporting organizations to pay
16	the fixed stream of income that it agreed to when
17	it sold the annuity to them; correct?
18	A. That's my understanding.
19	Q. Okay. So that regardless of the value
20	of the segregated accounts, the supporting
21	organizations are guaranteed to receive the exact
22	same amount of money over time as long as
23	Crown Global complies with its obligations in the
24	annuity contract; correct?
25	A. Yes, sir.

1	Q. Okay. Do you know if the Dallas
2	Foundation ever appeared in the Highland
3	bankruptcy before it filed this objection?
4	A. No, sir, I don't believe we did.
5	Q. And as the CFO, is it fair to say that
6	you know that the Dallas Foundation never filed a
7	claim in the Highland bankruptcy?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. And do you also know that the Dallas
10	Foundation does not have an interest in the
11	Highland Claimant Trust that was formed as part
12	of the plan of reorganization?
13	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
14	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
15	Q. Withdrawn. Let me lay a little bit of
16	foundation.
17	Are you familiar with an entity called
18	the Highland Claimant Trust?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. Does the Dallas Foundation
21	have, directly or indirectly, any interest in the
22	Highland Claimant Trust?
23	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
24	A. What I could say is that it depends on
25	the settlement with relationship with Hunter

1	Mountain and indirectly with the roll-up that the
2	beneficial interest to Empower and Okada
3	Foundation and how that settlement impacts the
4	economic interest there. I think that's where
5	the Dallas Foundation could indirectly have some
6	interest. While we're not the sole ownership of
7	the Crown Global policy, we do have a
8	relationship with Empower Dallas and the Okada
9	supporting organizations.
10	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
11	Q. Well, you don't have an interest in
12	what, did you say? The annuities?
13	A. We do have an interest in the annuity
14	contracts.
15	Q. The Dallas Foundation didn't fund the
16	annuity contracts; correct?
17	A. No, we did not fund them. But
18	Q. And the Dallas Foundation doesn't own
19	the annuity contracts; correct?
20	A. No, we don't own the annuity
21	contracts. But what we do is we do have a
22	fiduciary responsibility for any relationship
23	that they financially to protect, preserve and to
24	grow these charitable assets. And, ultimately,
25	the supporting organizations, they roll up into

1	our financial statements.
2	Q. Is it your understanding that
3	Crown Global has a duty to distribute
4	withdrawn.
5	Is it your understanding that
6	Crown Global has a duty to make distributions to
7	the Dallas Foundation?
8	A. No, sir. They make distributions to
9	Empower and Okada supporting organizations.
10	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
11	Crown Global owes any duty at all to the Dallas
12	Foundation with respect to the annuities?
13	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
14	A. There's no direct responsibility from
15	Crown Global to the Dallas Foundation. But
16	because of our relationship with Okada and
17	Empower, there is an indirect relationship there,
18	that we have an interest.
19	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
20	Q. And let's go beyond the annuities.
21	Do you know whether Crown Global has
22	any direct duty to the Dallas Foundation for any
23	reason?
24	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
25	A. I'm going to say not directly.

1	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
2	Q. Okay. Thank you.
3	Does the Dallas Foundation carry on
4	its balance sheet any interest in the Highland
5	Claimant Trust?
6	A. No, sir.
7	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
8	has any contractual relationship with Highland
9	Capital Management or the Highland Claimant
10	Trust?
11	A. No, sir, we don't.
12	Q. Do you have any reason to believe
13	today that Highland Capital Management or the
14	Highland Claimant Trust owes any duties or
15	obligations to the Dallas Foundation?
16	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
17	A. I wouldn't say there was any duties or
18	obligations to the Dallas Foundation. But I do
19	think we have, again, some interest in the
20	settlements and how the funds originally were
21	supposed to flow up to Empower and Okada.
22	Empower and Okada, they roll up to the
23	Dallas Foundation's financial statements. So I
24	think there is some indirect interest in Highland
25	Capital Claimant Trust and the decisions that are

1 being made as it relates to the settlement. 2 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 3 I'm going to respectfully move to Ο. 4 strike and ask you to listen carefully to my 5 question --6 Α. Yes, sir. -- and this will go very smoothly. 7 Ο. 8 Do you have any reason to believe that 9 Highland Capital Management or the Highland 10 Claimant Trust owes any duties or obligations to 11 the Dallas Foundation? 12 ATTORNEY OKIN: Objection; form. 13 THE WITNESS: My apologies. 14 ATTORNEY OKIN: Go ahead. 15 Α. No, sir. 16 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 17 Are you aware that if the settlement Ο. between the Highland entities and the HMIT 18 19 entities is approved, that the HMIT entities will 20 receive cash and other assets as set forth in the 21 agreement? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Ο. Do you have any reason to believe that 24 the Dallas Foundation has a right to receive any 25 of the cash or other assets that would be

1	delivered to HMIT if the settlement is approved?
2	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
3	A. Like I say based on the facts is that
4	the interest in HMIT were sold for a million
5	dollars. So I believe the current structure as
6	of now, the two supporting organizations would
7	not receive any settlements. They would not have
8	any economic benefit of the settlement payout.
9	Based on the historical structure,
10	yes, I would have said yes. We had interest in
11	that settlement that would ultimately impact the
12	fair market values for Empower and Okada.
13	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
14	Q. Are you familiar with the fair market
15	value of Empower and Okada?
16	A. So the fair market value is through
17	the insurance annuity. So based on the
18	Q. I'm sorry. Through the insurance
19	what?
20	A. It's the insurance through
21	Crown Global, so they produce a fund statement on
22	a quarterly basis. We use that to state the fair
23	market value.
24	Q. And is the fair market value based on
25	anything other than the projected future income

1	stream that you described earlier?
2	A. I believe there is impact related to
3	some of the sub accounts. One thing we do know
4	is in February of 2025, we saw the fair market
5	value increased by 918,000 due to the sale of
6	Rand's interest in Hunter Mountain. So we do
7	believe there are other assets that impact the
8	fair market value.
9	Q. But that's the fair market value of
10	the annuities; is that right?
11	A. The sale of the Rand the interest
12	in the Hunter Mountain, the Rand?
13	Q. Yeah, yeah. Is what you're describing
14	the fair market value of the annuities that flows
15	up to the supporting organizations?
16	A. I believe we have a couple of
17	investments that are within the Crown Global
18	structure. I think you have insurance annuities,
19	and then you have other assets that are also
20	within that structure through the Atlas platform.
21	The way I understand it, the Atlas platform
22	allows for other alternative investments to be
23	wrapped within the insurance annuities.
24	Q. Did the supporting organizations have
25	an ownership interest in Crown Global?

1	A. Yeah. They hold the policy, right?
2	The supporting organizations hold the policy
3	through Crown Global, so absolutely.
4	Q. And the policy that you're referring
5	to are the annuity policies?
6	A. The annuity policies, that's correct.
7	Q. And, again, just for clarity, it does
8	not matter to the supporting organization what
9	the value of the assets that are held by
10	Crown Global is; what matters is the agreed-upon
11	future flow of distributions; fair?
12	A. That's fair, yes, sir. Yes.
13	Q. Are you familiar at all with the
14	structure of the Highland Claimant Trust?
15	A. Not with the Highland Claimant Trust,
16	no. I'm familiar with the structure of the
17	the Rand structure here as relates to the Empower
18	Dallas, and then I'm familiar with the DAF Holdco
19	structure.
20	Q. Are you familiar with the HMIT
21	structure?
22	A. I'm familiar I know how the
23	structure flows up to the Atlas IDF Fund.
24	Q. Have you reviewed any of the governing
25	documents for HMIT or any of the Rand funds or

1	any of the Atlas funds? Have you ever reviewed
2	the governing documents?
3	A. At a high level, I took a glance at
4	the governing documents to get an understanding
5	of the flow. I've seen organizational flow
6	charts to kind of give me an idea of who owns
7	what and how does it roll up ultimately to
8	Crown Global.
9	Q. Do you have any knowledge as to what,
10	if any, role Crown Global played in the Highland
11	bankruptcy?
12	A. No, sir.
13	Q. So we've talked about the Rand and the
14	Atlas entities and Hunter Mountain, and we've
15	referred to those that signed on to the agreement
16	as "the HMIT entities"; right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Do you know why each of the HMIT
19	entities was created? Do you know what purpose
20	they served?
21	A. You know, I know that they create some
22	vehicles that allow some different types of
23	investments. I would imagine I don't know why
24	the structures were created the way they were.
25	We don't have that kind of insight. That's just

1	an assumption.
2	Q. Can you identify any assets that HMIT
3	owns today?
4	A. No, sir.
5	Q. Were you ever able to identify any
6	assets that HMIT owned?
7	A. No.
8	Q. In your duties as the CFO for the
9	Dallas Foundation, did you ever make an inquiry
10	as to what assets HMIT owned?
11	A. We made an inquiry to the Atlas
12	structure unsuccessfully over the years because
13	they were able to get to the underlying assets to
14	have a clear understanding of the holdings; so,
15	no, I couldn't tell you that I was successful in
16	understanding the underlying assets.
17	Q. So you've been the CFO for three years
18	now
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q and a half?
21	But you were with the organization for
22	about six; right?
23	A. For 13, 13 total.
24	Q. Thank you.
25	So you've been with the organization

1	long before Mark Patrick ever had any role with
2	respect to the HMIT entities; right?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Okay. At any time that you've been
5	with the Dallas Foundation, were you ever
6	informed as to the assets that were held by HMIT?
7	A. No, sir.
8	Q. No; right?
9	A. That's correct no.
10	Q. Do you know today what assets are held
11	by any of the HMIT entities?
12	A. No, sir.
13	Q. At any time during your tenure at the
14	Dallas Foundation, were you ever informed as to
15	what the assets were that any of the HMIT
16	entities owned?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. Okay. And did you ever think that, as
19	part of your duties and responsibilities working
20	for the Dallas Foundation, that you needed to
21	know that information?
22	A. Absolutely. Yeah, we inquired on
23	multiple occasions to get our auditors
24	comfortable with the investment vehicle.
25	Q. And you were never able to get that

1	information, is that right?
2	A. That's right.
3	Q. And that was true for the entire time
4	of your tenure at the Dallas Foundation?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Okay. In the course of your duties,
7	did you ever communicate with anybody who was
8	acting on behalf of HMIT?
9	A. I had some communications with
10	Mark Patrick, but I don't think he was over HMIT
11	at the time. I don't know. So it's kind of hard
12	to understand the transfer of a trustee or
13	ownership or oversight those accounts had.
14	So at a high level, he was probably
15	the person I tried to communicate with if we had
16	any questions around the valuations.
17	Q. But it wasn't necessarily because he
18	was wearing the HMIT hat; it was because he wore
19	a lot of hats. Is that fair?
20	A. That's fair, yes.
21	Q. During the course of your tenure at
22	the Dallas Foundation, did you ever once say to
23	yourself, I need to speak to the guy who's the
24	head of HMIT?
25	A. No, we've never said that.

1	Q. Okay. Did you ever say to yourself at
2	any time during your tenure at the Dallas
3	Foundation, I need to speak to the head of any of
4	the HMIT entities?
5	A. No, sir.
6	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
7	ever received anything of value from HMIT
8	directly?
9	A. I can't say directly, no. I just
10	assumed it had rolled up. It was we had some
11	value through the structure, I would imagine.
12	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
13	ever received anything of value directly from any
14	of the HMIT entities?
15	A. No, sir.
16	Q. Do you know if any of the
17	withdrawn.
18	Do you have any reason to believe that
19	any of the HMIT entities owes a duty or
20	obligation to the Dallas Foundation today?
21	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form.
22	A. I believe that there's going to be
23	impact to our economic interest in Crown Global.
24	I do believe there's a fiduciary obligation to
25	the Dallas Foundation to have communication if

1	there are investment decisions that are being
2	made that's going to impact that economic
3	interest, positive or negatively.
4	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
5	Q. Sorry. I may not have heard it
6	clearly.
7	But do you believe that Highland
8	Capital Management or the Highland Claimant Trust
9	owes a fiduciary duty to the Dallas Foundation?
10	A. Sorry. No. No. I thought you
11	said HMIT. Sorry.
12	Q. You know what? I probably did. Let's
13	do that.
14	A. Yeah.
15	Q. Did you believe do you believe that
16	any of the HMIT entities owes a fiduciary duty to
17	the Dallas Foundation?
18	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
19	A. Again, I'll just restate what I said.
20	If it's starting to impact the
21	economic interest for the value of the
22	Crown Global policies, I do think there's a
23	fiduciary obligation to have a conversation to
24	inform of any investment decisions that are being
25	made that could have significant impact to that

1 value. 2 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 3 Okay. Are you aware that HMIT filed a Ο. 4 motion in the bankruptcy court a couple of years 5 ago seeking permission to file a lawsuit against 6 Highland Capital Management and others? 7 Yes, sir, I am aware of that. Α. 8 Ο. You are. Did HMIT seek the Dallas Foundation's 9 10 approval before filing that motion? 11 Α. No, sir, not that I'm aware of. 12 Do you believe that HMIT was required Ο. 13 to seek the Dallas Foundation's approval before 14 filing that lawsuit? 15 Again, I think it -- I don't know if Α. 16 "seek approval" is the right language I would 17 But I do think informing the Dallas use. 18 Foundation, that this could impact the economic 19 interests of Empower and Okada Foundation. 20 And nobody ever told you that; is that Ο. 21 right? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 How did you learn about the lawsuit Ο. 24 that HMIT commenced against Highland and others a 25 couple of years ago?

1	A. Well, as we kind of got one, it was
2	kind of some news that was that came about
3	through some other research. Currently, as of
4	today, we have our legal team working, pulling
5	together facts so they kind of reiterated some of
6	the facts that could impact the overall case. So
7	I will just lean on our legal team to kind of
8	bring us up to speed on this fact-finding.
9	Q. Do you know who authorized the filing
10	of that motion?
11	A. From HMIT?
12	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. Which
13	motion do you mean, John?
14	ATTORNEY MORRIS: The same one we're
15	talking about, the motion for permission from the
16	bankruptcy court to sue Highland and other
17	parties.
18	ATTORNEY OKIN: The one he told you he
19	didn't even know about what was filed.
20	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Hey, Matt, he did
21	tell me. He actually did tell me
22	ATTORNEY OKIN: He told you he heard
23	about it after, but go ahead.
24	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
25	Q. So

1	A. Yes, sir, I believe it was
2	Mr. Mark Patrick that filed them.
3	Q. That authorized the filing of that
4	lawsuit?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Do you think he did anything wrong in
7	doing that?
8	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
9	A. What I can say is that it has
10	impact it can potentially have some impact on
11	the it's convoluted. I can't say he did
12	anything wrong with filing that motion. But if
13	it's going to impact the Empower and Okada
14	Foundation, which is why I'm here today, is to
15	represent those supporting orgs, you know, I
16	think we have interest in the decisions that he's
17	making, right?
18	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
19	Q. Okay. But we can agree that he never
20	sought nor obtained the Dallas Foundation's
21	approval to file that motion; correct?
22	A. Yes, sir, we can agree.
23	Q. And can we also agree that you only
24	heard about that lawsuit after it was commenced
25	or after the motion was filed?

1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 Okay. Have you read the settlement Ο. 3 agreement that is the subject of the Dallas 4 Foundation's objection? 5 Α. Yeah, I've got some high-level facts 6 related to the settlement agreement. We had a 7 legal team kind of -- again, we leaned on them to 8 kind of pull out some of the important facts that 9 could impact the Empower and Okada Foundation. 10 Do you know generally what HMIT is Ο. 11 proposing to give and receive under the 12 settlement agreement? 13 Yes, sir, generally, I do know. Α. Ι 14 believe they're trying to release liability on 15 some of the claims. I think that's part of the 16 settlement agreement. I'm also aware that there 17 are future payouts that will be made to Hunter 18 Mountain. 19 And are you aware of other assets that Ο. 20 Hunter Mountain might receive if the settlement 21 agreement is pursued? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And what other assets are you aware 24 of? 25 I know there's a large sum of assets, Α.

1	you know, in the 300 million, I think that's
2	the amount of it that I don't recall. But I
3	couldn't go verbatim.
4	Q. It's not your understanding that
5	there's \$300 million worth of anything that's
6	related to that agreement
7	A. Oh, yeah, yeah, yeah. But, yeah, it's
8	not it's not there I was thinking about
9	there was some data around I apologize if I'm
10	getting my facts confused.
11	Q. That's okay.
12	A. Yeah, actually, there's a as it
13	relates to the Empower and Okada, I believe there
14	was an impact of roughly 23-plus million dollars,
15	potentially.
16	Q. But that has nothing to do with
17	Highland; correct?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And it has nothing to do with this
20	settlement agreement; correct?
21	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
22	A. Well, I think if it has impact,
23	ultimately, again, to Empower and Okada, again,
24	we sold our interest in Highland Capital
25	Management or Hunter Mountain for a million

1	dollars. So based on what we know is if there is
2	a payout of \$23 million, Empower and Okada, we
3	won't benefit from it.
4	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
5	Q. So is it fair to say that the Dallas
6	Foundation's concern is not with the terms of the
7	settlement agreement itself but with the
8	disposition of the assets that Hunter Mountain
9	will receive if the settlement agreement is
10	approved?
11	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
12	A. Well, the only thing I would say about
13	that is we have individuals making some
14	questionable decisions and, you know, with
15	charitable assets. I believe Highland Dallas has
16	entered into a settlement agreement with this
17	individual. I think that's that's what I
18	could say is somewhat problematic.
19	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
20	Q. What's problematic?
21	A. Oh, we have an individual that's
22	shifting around charitable assets out of the
23	responsibility of Empower and Okada; right? And,
24	you know, Highland Capital has entered into an
25	agreement with this individual. I think we all

1	understand the facts that are at play.
2	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
3	the settlement agreement was not the product of
4	an arm's-length, good-faith negotiation between
5	adverse parties?
6	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
7	A. I can't say anything. Yeah, I wasn't
8	a part of those conversations, so I can't opine.
9	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
10	Q. And you don't have any facts that
11	would suggest that the settlement agreement is
12	anything other than the product of good-faith,
13	arm's-length negotiations; correct?
14	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form.
15	A. I wasn't part of the conversation, so
16	I can't opine. But what I can state is that I
17	believe there's significant impact to the Okada
18	and Empower Dallas Foundation.
19	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
20	Q. And that's as not as a result of
21	the settlement agreement, but as a result of what
22	the Dallas Foundation contends was the
23	restructuring that Mr. Patrick engaged in earlier
24	this year; fair?
25	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.

1	A. For the most part, I'd say that's
2	fair.
3	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
4	Q. Okay. Do you have any concerns that
5	the settlement agreement is not the product of
6	arm's-length, good-faith negotiations?
7	A. I'd have to restate that, again, we
8	have an individual who's moving around charitable
9	assets. And, again, I think we understand the
10	facts of restructuring, and the agreement was
11	made to settle with this individual. So from our
12	standpoint, again, it impacts the two supporting
13	organizations that we are here representing.
14	So I think there's two things at play.
15	The negotiations that you had with this
16	individual, I can't opine whether or not that it
17	wasn't done in good faith; but the impact of that
18	settlement agreement does impact Okada and
19	Empower Dallas.
20	Q. And that's because as a result of
21	Mr. Patrick's restructuring, the Dallas
22	Foundation is concerned that it may not have
23	it may not receive as much as it would have
24	indirectly had the restructuring not taken place;
25	fair?

1	A. That's fair.
2	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
3	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
4	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to
5	believe that the proposed settlement is unfair to
6	Highland Capital Management, LP?
7	A. Yeah, I don't have any reason to
8	opine, no.
9	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to opine
10	as to whether or not the proposed settlement
11	agreement is unfair to the Highland Claimant
12	Trust?
13	A. No, sir.
14	Q. Do you have any reason to opine that
15	the proposed settlement agreement is unfair to
16	the Highland Litigation Subtrust?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. Do you have any reason to opine that
19	the settlement agreement is unfair to Hunter
20	Mountain Investment Trust?
21	A. No, sir. We can make good-faith
22	settlements, given that there are facts that
23	certain organizations or entities could be
24	impacted, given what we've already talked about,
25	what Mark Patrick has done with the

1 restructuring. 2 So two things can be true, at the same Ο. 3 time --4 Two things can be true. Α. 5 Ο. Two things can be true at the same 6 time; right, sir? The settlement agreement can 7 be a fair and reasonable settlement that's the 8 product of arm's-length and good-faith 9 negotiations; while at the same time, the Dallas 10 Foundation can still have concerns over the 11 disposition of the assets that Hunter Mountain 12 receives as a result of this restructuring; fair? 13 Α. I think for the most part, that's 14 fair. The only caveat I would add to that is if 15 I'm negotiating with an individual that we know 16 is causing harm to other organizations, I just 17 say maybe we don't care about that. But from our 18 standpoint, we do. 19 Do you have any reason to believe that Ο. 20 the proposed settlement is unfair to any of the 21 HMIT entities? 22 Α. No, sir. 23 Ο. Are you aware that under the 24 settlement agreement, the HMIT entities are 25 releasing the Highland parties from any liability

1	other than that which arises out of the
2	settlement agreement itself?
3	A. Yeah. I believe that was a part of
4	the settlement. That's why we have the
5	settlement. Yeah.
6	Q. Do you have any concern about the
7	scope of the releases that the HMIT entities are
8	providing?
9	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
10	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
11	Q. You can answer, sir.
12	A. No, sir; only as it impacts, how does
13	it impact or potentially can impact lost income
14	for Okada and Empower.
15	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
16	the granting of the releases in the proposed
17	settlement agreement by the HMIT entities will
18	have any impact at all on the supporting
19	organizations?
20	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
21	A. Again, I think there's an economic
22	interest in what does the settlement the loss
23	of income streams to Empower and Okada, which we
24	believe is true.
25	///

1	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
2	Q. I'm just focused on the releases now.
3	A. Sure.
4	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
5	the releases that the HMIT parties are granting
6	under the proposed settlement will have any
7	economic impact on the supporting organizations?
8	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
9	A. No, sir.
10	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
11	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any facts that
12	could give rise to a claim by the Dallas
13	Foundation against Highland?
14	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
15	A. Can you elaborate on that a little bit
16	for me.
17	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
18	Q. Sure.
19	So I'm just I'm wondering if you
20	know of any facts that might give rise to a claim
21	or a cause of action by the Dallas Foundation
22	against Highland Capital Management.
23	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
24	A. Not directly, no, sir.
25	///

1 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 2 Okay. Are you aware of any facts that Ο. 3 might give rise to a claim or cause of action 4 that the Dallas Foundation could assert against 5 the Highland Claimant Trust? ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 6 7 Α. No, sir, not directly, no. 8 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 9 Do you understand the basis for the Ο. 10 Dallas Foundation's objection to the proposed 11 settlement? 12 Α. Absolutely, yes, I do. 13 Ο. Can you describe for me in your own 14 words what your understanding is of the basis of 15 the objection. 16 Α. Yeah. We are aware that we sold Rand 17 PE Fund, which rolls up to the Atlas IDF Fund, 18 sold its interest in the Hunter Mountain for a 19 million dollars; and we believe that we have 20 significant future economic payments that would 21 be paid out to Hunter Mountain that Empower and 22 Okada would no longer benefit from. 23 Is it fair to say that the Dallas Ο. 24 Foundation is concerned, not with the terms of 25 the settlement agreement itself, but with the

1 possibility that it may not get its fair share of 2 the consideration that the HMIT entities are to 3 receive under the settlement agreement? 4 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 5 Α. Yeah, for the most part, that's the key -- that's our concern. 6 7 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 8 Ο. Okay. Do you understand that Mark 9 Patrick has entered into the settlement agreement 10 with the Highland entities on behalf of each of 11 the HMIT entities? 12 That's my understanding. Α. 13 Do you have any reason to believe that Ο. 14 the governing documents for the HMIT entities did 15 not authorize Mr. Patrick to enter into the 16 settlement agreement on behalf of each of the 17 HMIT entities? 18 Object to form. ATTORNEY OKIN: 19 Α. You know, what I would say to that is, 20 you know, regardless of the governing documents, 21 I do believe there's a fiduciary obligation that 22 Mr. Patrick had to the supporting organizations, 23 to inform us of any significant investment 24 decisions that could impact the economic interest 25 in the Crown Global vehicle.

1	So I just believe that, you know, just
2	like any trustee investment advisor/control
3	person, those are just normal conversations that
4	we expect to have; and we not did not have that
5	in this situation.
6	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
7	Q. Okay. Anything else?
8	A. No, sir.
9	Q. Okay. You don't believe that
10	Mr. Patrick was required to obtain the Dallas
11	Foundation's consent before entering into the
12	settlement agreement; fair?
13	A. That's fair.
14	Q. And you don't have any reason to
15	believe that the Dallas Foundation has any right
16	or ability to prevent Mr. Patrick from entering
17	into the settlement agreement on behalf of the
18	HMIT entities; fair?
19	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
20	A. You know, I think there's two things
21	can be true again. I think there's documentation
22	which I haven't seen, but, again, there's a
23	fiduciary obligation to the interested parties, I
24	believe, just as any relationship with an
25	investment advisor or control person.

1 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 2 And in your view, does the fiduciary Ο. 3 duty that you believe Mr. Patrick had as the 4 representative of the HMIT entities, does that 5 extend anywhere beyond the duty to inform? 6 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 7 Α. Well, I'm just trying to think 8 about -- you know, we advised \$650 million of 9 assets under management. We have advisors all 10 the time, before they make any decisions on the 11 sale of investments, we're informed about it. 12 They kind of let us know if it's going to have 13 impact to our portfolios. And that's just an 14 expectation that we have of any investment 15 advisor or control person. 16 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 17 I appreciate that you have that Ο. 18 expectation. Do you have an expectation that the 19 HMIT entities needed to obtain the Dallas 20 Foundation's consent before entering into the 21 settlement agreement? 22 I'm not a legal person. But I would Α. 23 imagine if you have the documentation legally, I 24 don't know if you had to get our consent. It's 25 more so just a fiduciary obligation.

1	Q. And, legally, do you have any reason
2	to believe that the Dallas Foundation has a legal
3	right over transactions that Mark Patrick, in his
4	capacity as the representative of any of the HMIT
5	entities, wanted to enter into?
6	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
7	A. As an interested party, I think we
8	have an ability to have conversations to see if
9	this decision was made that negatively impacted
10	the supporting organizations. I think we do have
11	a right there to really understand why this
12	decision was made. Why are we selling the
13	interest for a million dollars? Why now? Why is
14	the timing that happened now? Because if nothing
15	happened, what we know is we would have benefited
16	from the settlement payouts.
17	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
18	Q. Does the Dallas Foundation have a
19	direct ownership interest in any of the HMIT
20	entities?
21	A. Not a direct ownership, no, sir.
22	Q. Does the Dallas Foundation have any
23	indirect ownership interest in any of the HMIT
24	entities?
25	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.

1	A. Not directly. The Dallas Foundation
2	does not.
3	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
4	Q. So no direct interest withdrawn.
5	No direct ownership interest and no
6	indirect ownership interest; fair?
7	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
8	A. We do have an interest in because
9	the assets roll up to our balance sheet overall,
10	they would present an audited financial
11	statement. So we do have to understand the
12	fluctuations in market value to explain not just
13	to our board but to our auditors what's happened.
14	So we do have indirect interest.
15	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
16	Q. And none of the HMIT entities appear
17	as an asset on the Dallas Foundation's balance
18	sheet; correct?
19	A. Not directly. But if they roll up and
20	they feed into the Atlas IDF Fund, right, and
21	then the Atlas IDF Fund, which is a part of the
22	Crown Global annuities, feeds into our balance
23	sheet, there is an indirect interest in HMIT.
24	Fair to say?
25	Q. Does any Atlas entity appear on the

1	Dallas Foundation balance sheet?
2	A. Yeah, through the Crown Global
3	annuity. So if you look at the Crown Global
4	statement, it lists an Atlas LP as the investment
5	vehicle.
6	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
7	has any right to control any of the HMIT
8	entities?
9	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
10	A. No, we can't directly control the HMIT
11	entities.
12	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
13	Q. Do you know if the Dallas Foundation
14	has any right to approve or disapprove of any
15	potential transaction that an HMIT entity was
16	contemplating entering into?
17	A. Yeah, so with the Atlas IDF Fund, what
18	I can say is the Empower and Okada, they do have
19	the opportunity to consent.
20	We had some notes that were there
21	were some notes that Mark Patrick wanted to sell
22	in February. We had to get the consent of
23	Crown Global in order to sell these notes; and we
24	had we opined with Crown Global to withdraw
25	consent, right?

1	So he did reach out to Crown Global
2	for consent in which the Empower and Okada had an
3	opportunity to provide our recommendation.
4	Q. Let's focus on the settlement
5	agreement.
6	Do you have any reason to believe that
7	Mr. Patrick was required to obtain the consent of
8	the Dallas Foundation before he signed it on
9	behalf of the HMIT entities?
10	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
11	A. No.
12	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
13	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
14	Mr. Patrick was required to obtain the consent of
15	the supporting organizations before entering into
16	the settlement agreement on behalf of the HMIT
17	entities?
18	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
19	A. No, sir.
20	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
21	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
22	Mr. Patrick was required to obtain the consent of
23	Crown Global before entering into the proposed
24	settlement agreement on behalf of each of the
25	HMIT entities?

1 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 2 Α. No, sir. 3 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 4 Do you have any reason to believe that Q. 5 Crown Global has any right to control any of the HMIT entities? 6 7 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 8 Α. Not that I'm aware of, no. 9 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 10 Do you have any reason to believe Ο. 11 that -- we're going to move on. 12 So we're going to put up on the screen 13 the Dallas Foundation's objection. 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 It will just take a moment for my Ο. 16 colleague to do that. 17 While he's doing that, let me just tell you that this can be a little bit of a 18 19 cumbersome process. I'm doing this by Zoom. Τf 20 there's any aspect of the document that you 21 recall that you think you need to review to 22 refresh your recollection or to put it in 23 context, will you just let me know that so that I 24 give you a full and fair opportunity to answer 25 the questions?

1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 ATTORNEY MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to 3 paragraph 32, please. 4 (Previously marked Highland Exhibit 5 1 introduced by counsel.) 6 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 7 So I've put up on the screen, Ο. 8 Mr. Littleton, the portion of the Dallas 9 Foundation's objection that was filed in this 10 case. 11 And you're familiar with that 12 document; is that right? 13 I couldn't repeat it back to Α. Yeah. 14 you verbatim, but I understand some of the 15 high-level facts in this objection. 16 You give me comfort when you say that. Ο. 17 You should not be able to repeat verbatim what this document is. 18 So --19 ATTORNEY OKIN: And, Mr. Littleton, 20 although he didn't say it this time, he did say 21 it in the prior one: If you need to see any more 22 of this in order to be able to answer his 23 questions, be sure to point that out. 24 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 25 I actually did say ATTORNEY MORRIS:

1 that. That's okay. 2 ATTORNEY OKIN: Did you say that this 3 time? I know you said it last time. 4 ATTORNEY MORRIS: Sorry, I must be 5 putting you to sleep. 6 ATTORNEY OKIN: That's a possibility. 7 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 8 Ο. Looking at the third line, I'm just 9 going to read this guote: "Unfortunately, it 10 does not appear, however, that joint official 11 liquidators are parties to or have authorized the 12 settlement." 13 Do you see that sentence? 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 Do you know the entity over which the Ο. 16 joint official liquidators were appointed? 17 Α. Yes, sir. It's the DAF Foundation Holdco. 18 19 And that's in the Cayman Islands; is Ο. 20 that right? 21 Yes, sir; that's correct. Α. 22 Are you aware that all of the HMIT Ο. 23 entities are Delaware organizations? 24 Α. Yes, sir; that's correct. 25 Have you ever communicated with the Q.

1	joint official liquidators?
2	A. No, not officially. We do have a
3	planned communication this week.
4	Q. Do you know if anybody acting on
5	behalf of the Dallas Foundation has ever informed
6	the joint official liquidators of Highland's
7	motion to have the settlement agreement approved?
8	A. No, sir.
9	Q. Do you know if anybody acting on
10	behalf of the Dallas Foundation has provided a
11	copy of the objection that's on the screen to the
12	joint official liquidators?
13	A. Not that I'm aware of, sir.
14	Q. Do you have any reason to believe, as
15	you sit here today, that the joint official
16	liquidators have any knowledge of the settlement
17	agreement that is going to be before the Court on
18	Wednesday?
19	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
20	A. I can't directly say.
21	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
22	Q. Okay. You haven't had any
23	communications with anybody in the world at any
24	time that led you to believe that the joint
25	official liquidators were informed of the

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4277-2 Filed 06/24/25 Entered 06/24/25 10:20:25 Desc Deposition of Torrey Littleton Exhibit 125 Page 62 of 100 In re Highland Capital Management, L.P. 1 proposed settlement agreement or the Dallas Foundation's objection; fair? 2 3 That's fair, sir. Α. 4 Okay. Do you have any reason to Q. 5 believe that Mr. Patrick was required to obtain the authorization of the joint official 6 7 liquidators before entering into this settlement 8 agreement on behalf of the HMIT entities? 9 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 10 Α. No, sir, I can't. I can't speak to 11 that. 12 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 13 Can you speak to whether or not the Ο. 14 joint official liquidators have any right to veto 15 or prevent the HMIT entities from entering into 16 the settlement agreement? 17 Again, I'm not a legal person, so I Α. 18 can't really opine on the official capacity of 19 the joint liquidators and what they're capable of 20 doing and not doing. So I'm going to have to 21 say, no, I can't answer that question. 22

Q. Further down in the paragraph it states that:

24 "Indeed, many of Mr. Patrick's25 actions, including the insertion of

newly created entities into the fund's
structure for the apparent purpose of
diverting charitable assets will now be
subject to the scrutiny of an
independent, Court-appointed fiduciary
and may be subject to clawback or other
avoidance actions in the Cayman
liquidation or such other tribunal as
has jurisdiction."
Have I read that correctly, sir?
A. Yes, sir, you have.
Q. Okay. You're not an expert in Cayman
Islands law; correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. I think you said earlier you're not a
lawyer. Right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you have any understanding as to
what facts must be established in order to
succeed in a clawback or avoidance action?
A. It would just be assumption of mine
that I would have, whether it's a breach of
contract, discovery of various actions
decision-making. But, no, I can't give you a
legal opinion.

Т

1	Q. Do you have any view at all as to
2	likelihood that the supporting organizations or
3	the Dallas Foundation might succeed in clawing
4	back or succeeding in other avoidance actions to
5	set aside the settlement agreement if it's
6	approved by the Court?
7	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
8	And I'll also just remind you,
9	Mr. Littleton, to the extent it's implicated,
10	don't go into attorney-client privileged
11	information that you may have received.
12	A. Yeah, I can't I can't answer your
13	question, Mr. Morris, on that one.
14	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
15	Q. Is it fair to say you'd have to
16	speculate as to whether or not let me just
17	finish the question.
18	Is it fair to say that you would have
19	to speculate as to whether or not the Dallas
20	Foundation or anybody else might succeed in
21	clawing back or avoiding the settlement agreement
22	if it's approved by the Court?
23	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
24	A. It would be my opinion. It would be
25	speculation of how I feel, given the facts that

1	I've seen and just some of the impact that we've
2	seen on our supporting organizations; and I would
3	just leave it there.
4	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Okay. If we could
5	scroll up to paragraph 33, please.
6	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
7	Q. Okay. The last sentence of
8	paragraph 33 says: "Thus, even if approved by
9	this Court, consummation of the settlement is not
10	likely to buy the peace the debtor now seeks."
11	Do you see that?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
14	the Highland parties have done anything wrong in
15	entering into this proposed settlement agreement?
16	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
17	A. You know, what I would say is we have
18	an individual, in Mr. Patrick, that's moving
19	around charitable assets; and we Highland
20	Capital or the Highland entities have settled
21	have made a settlement agreement with this
22	individual, knowing some of the facts of how the
23	charities are being negatively impacted.
24	That's that would be my comment there.
25	///

-

1	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
2	Q. I'm not asking about the impact on the
3	charitable foundations, and I'm not asking about
4	Mr. Patrick. I'm just asking if you have any
5	facts that lead you to believe that Highland has
6	engaged in any wrongdoing with respect to
7	negotiation and entry into the proposed
8	settlement agreement.
9	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. He
10	answered your question.
11	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
12	Q. You can answer, sir.
13	A. No, sir. I'll just leave it as I
14	stated. That was my answer.
15	Q. All right. So I didn't hear any
16	facts, so you don't have any facts. Is that
17	fair?
18	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form;
19	argumentative. Come on, John.
20	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Matt, I'm being
21	ATTORNEY OKIN: You asked him if they
22	did anything wrong. He told you they did
23	something wrong by
24	(Indiscernible cross-talk.)
25	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I

1 can't understand you both when you are talking at 2 the same time. 3 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 4 Mr. Littleton, are you aware of any Q. 5 facts that cause you to believe that the Highland 6 parties have done anything wrong in entering into 7 this agreement? 8 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 9 Α. Again, I would just state that we have 10 an individual who is moving around charitable 11 assets, and I think we all are aware of the facts 12 of what has taken place. Now, whether we want to 13 consider that as anything wrong, in guotations, 14 that's up for opinion. 15 In terms of "facts" facts, I can't --16 no, I'm going to say, no, I don't have facts that 17 I can with share you, Mr. Morris. BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 18 19 And you don't even have any facts that Ο. 20 suggest Highland had any role in moving the 21 assets around that you just described; fair? 22 Yeah, I don't understand that Highland Α. 23 has moved around the assets. But I think when 24 you're making a deal knowing that the individual 25 that I'm settling with has done some things that

Т

1	are questionable, you know, I think that
2	discussion can be up for debate, whether you
3	know, charities are being impacted; significant
4	dollars are not going to be going out to the
5	community; there's a lot of uncertainty with
6	these assets.
7	And we all know the facts. The facts
8	are clearly outlined.
9	Q. Do you know why the Dallas Foundation
10	contends that: "Even if approved by the Court,
11	consummation of the settlement is not likely to
12	buy the peace that the debtor now seeks"?
13	What's the basis for that, if you have
14	any understanding at all?
15	A. That one there, sir, I'm not going to
16	be able to answer that one for you.
17	Q. Are you aware of any potential claims
18	that the Dallas Foundation is considering
19	bringing against Highland Capital Management or
20	the Highland Claimant Trust?
21	ATTORNEY OKIN: I'm going to instruct
22	him not to answer that. To the extent that he
23	would be aware of those, that would be
24	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Why don't we just do
25	"yes" or "no," Matt. Okay?

1 No. I think -- I ATTORNEY OKIN: 2 think whether or not there are any claims and 3 whether we're considering any claims is 4 attorney-client privilege. It's not a "yes" or 5 "no" or detail; it's a not answer. ATTORNEY MORRIS: I'll ask him on 6 7 Wednesday. 8 ATTORNEY OKIN: Okay. 9 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 10 Let's qo to -- yeah, paragraph 34 says Ο. 11 that "there is ample evidence that Mr. Patrick 12 has acted and is acting well outside the scope of 13 his authority and fiduciary obligations." 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 16 Okay. I want to focus solely on the Ο. 17 negotiation and execution of the settlement 18 agreement. 19 Focusing --20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 Focusing solely on the settlement Ο. 22 agreement, do you believe that Mr. Patrick acted 23 outside of the scope of his authority to 24 negotiate and enter into the settlement agreement 25 on behalf of each of the HMIT entities?

1 Object to form of the ATTORNEY OKIN: 2 question. 3 Again, I think it's something that Α. 4 I've stated a few times now. Two things can be 5 I think we've already agreed on that. true. 6 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 7 Which two things can be true here? Q. 8 Α. The good-faith conversation with the 9 settlement; but at the same time, it could have a 10 consequential impact on the Okada and Empower 11 Dallas supporting organizations. 12 Okay. Do you believe -- do you have Ο. 13 any reason to believe that Mr. Patrick acted 14 outside of his fiduciary obligations with respect 15 to his negotiation and execution of the 16 settlement agreement on behalf of the HMIT 17 entities? 18 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. Are 19 you asking him personally or the organization 20 that filed this? 21 ATTORNEY MORRIS: It's not a 30(b)(6) 22 I know the difference. witness. 23 Just so we're clear. ATTORNEY OKIN: 24 Yeah, I do think there was a fiduciary Α. obligation to inform and have a conversation with 25

1	the interested parties.
2	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
3	Q. Okay. So, again, it's a duty to
4	inform but nothing more; is that fair?
5	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
6	A. Yeah, yeah, again, I think it's, you
7	know, something that we all expect to have,
8	again, with our investment advisors, control
9	persons, trustees.
10	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
11	Q. Okay. Can we go to paragraph 16,
12	please.
13	Do you see paragraph 16 refers to
14	material nonpublic information?
15	A. Yes, sir. I see it.
16	Q. Do you know what material nonpublic
17	information is being referred to there?
18	A. No, sir.
19	Q. Did you ever ask anybody?
20	A. No. I didn't want to get involved in
21	that conversation, no, sir. I did not ask
22	anybody.
23	Q. Do you know where withdrawn.
24	Do you know the Dallas Foundation's
25	source of information that enabled it to state,

1 upon information and belief, that the information 2 provided was obtained by Mr. Patrick through his 3 employment at Skyview and constituted MNPI? 4 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 5 Α. No, sir, I was not -- no. BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 6 7 You don't know where that information Ο. 8 came from? 9 Α. No, sir. 10 Ο. The next sentence refers to a put 11 option. 12 Do you see that? 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 Did the foundation have a put option? Ο. 15 Yeah, the Highland Dallas Foundation Α. 16 had a put option agreement with the Dugaboy 17 I think we had 1.5 million Investment Trust. 18 shares of NexPoint Hospitality Trust. I think it was a contribution that was given in 2019 with 19 20 the 7-year put option. 21 And is that option now expired? Ο. 22 I believe it converted into a Α. 23 different investment vehicle now. 24 So the Dallas Foundation never Ο. 25 exercised that option; correct?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Why not?
3	A. We didn't have a we didn't feel we
4	had any reason to exercise the put option.
5	Q. Did you ever do any analysis to try to
6	determine what the economic impact would be on
7	the Dallas Foundation if they actually exercised
8	that put option?
9	A. We had some conversations with our
10	internal investment advisor, and at the time his
11	recommendation was not to make any changes or
12	call the put option.
13	Q. Who was that advisor?
14	A. Madden & Associates at the time
15	excuse me. Madden Asset Management.
16	Q. Do you have the shares to exercise the
17	put today?
18	A. To be honest, Mr. Morris, there's a
19	little bit of discovery we have to understand now
20	that the shares have converted. I believe they
21	converted to a different vehicle in April of this
22	year.
23	Q. Are you aware that the Dallas
24	Foundation lost \$9 million by not exercising the
25	put option before it converted?

1 ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form. 2 Α. I think we -- so we do have some 3 information that we just need to understand 4 thoroughly about what's happened now that the 5 assets transferred into a different vehicle. 6 BY ATTORNEY MORRIS: 7 Well, you're the CFO; right? And I Ο. 8 think you said that your duty is to be 9 responsible for the foundation's assets; right? 10 That's fair; yes, sir. Α. 11 Ο. Were you aware that the put was going 12 to convert before it actually converted? 13 I was not aware of that. I was not Α. 14 informed of that. 15 Were you ever told what the value Ο. 16 would be to the Dallas Foundation if the Dallas 17 Foundation exercised the put? 18 Yeah, I believe you're correct, it was Α. 19 in the ballpark range of \$9 million. 20 So is it fair to say that had the Ο. 21 Dallas Foundation exercised the put, then it 22 would have recovered \$9 million? 23 Yeah, I think that's fair, yeah. Α. 24 What's the value of the shares that it Ο. 25 received upon conversion? Do you know that?

1	A. No, I don't know that. We were just
2	notified recently that the shares had converted.
3	Q. Is one of the reasons that you didn't
4	exercise the put option is because Dugaboy,
5	Mr. Dondero's family trust, was the counterparty?
6	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to the form.
7	A. No, sir, that wouldn't be the reason.
8	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
9	Q. Do you think Mark Patrick breached his
10	fiduciary duty by telling the Dallas Foundation
11	that it should consider exercising a put that was
12	worth \$9 million?
13	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
14	A. You know, I think there was
15	information that was not privy to individuals, so
16	I don't know if we could rely on that information
17	to make a decision.
18	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
19	Q. This was a put that was owned by the
20	Dallas Foundation; do I have that right?
21	A. The Highland Dallas Foundation,
22	supporting organization.
23	Q. And what's the relationship between
24	the Dallas Foundation and the Highland Dallas
25	Foundation?

Т

1	A. Very similar to the relationship
2	between Empower Dallas and Okada Dallas. The
3	Highland Dallas Foundation is the supporting org
4	that we have indirect oversight of.
5	Q. And if the Highland Dallas Foundation
6	had exercised the put, would that have inured to
7	the benefit of the Dallas Foundation?
8	ATTORNEY OKIN: Object to form.
9	A. It ultimately would have. What it
10	would have benefited was the supporting
11	organization to allow for more impactful
12	grant-making. So you would have had \$9 million
13	of liquidity that could be pushed out into the
14	community.
15	ATTORNEY MORRIS: Okay. How much time
16	do I have left, Matt? I know you're watching.
17	ATTORNEY OKIN: I lost track, I was so
18	enraptured by your questions.
19	18 minutes.
20	ATTORNEY MORRIS: You wouldn't be the
21	first one.
22	Why don't we take a short break here.
23	I've got 18 minutes left. If we could just take
24	a five-minute break.
25	///

-

1	(Recess taken from 4:42 p.m. to
2	4:49 p.m.)
3	BY ATTORNEY MORRIS:
4	Q. Mr. Littleton, I think you answered
5	this. If I did, I apologize.
6	Can you confirm for me that you've
7	never communicated with the joint official
8	liquidators?
9	A. Yes, sir. That is correct, other than
10	emails to set up a conversation.
11	Q. Conversations that you did not
12	participate in; is that right?
13	A. Yeah, just for yeah, just for some
14	questions they wanted to ask of the Dallas
15	Foundation's finance team.
16	Q. But did you participate so you
17	spoke with the joint official liquidators'
18	finance team?
19	A. No. They wanted to set up a call.
20	That's the only time the only communication
21	I've had.
22	Q. And you haven't had that call yet; is
23	that right?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. And that call is going to be scheduled

1 for sometime in the future; fair? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 ATTORNEY MORRIS: I have no further 4 questions. 5 ATTORNEY PHILLIPS: No questions. 6 ATTORNEY OKIN: All right. 7 ATTORNEY MORRIS: Mr. Littleton, thank 8 you very, very much for your time and patience. 9 Matt, thank you for arranging this on 10 short notice. 11 Gail, do you need anything else from 12 anybody? 13 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. I have 14 copies for Mr. Morris, Mr. Lang, Mr. Okin, 15 and Mr. Phillips. 16 Anybody else? 17 ATTORNEY OKIN: Gail, we do want to 18 read and sign both transcripts. 19 (Whereupon, at 4:50 p.m. Central Time the proceedings concluded.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

Т

1	INSTRUCTIONS TO DEPONENT
2	After reading this volume of your
3	deposition, indicate any corrections or changes
4	to your testimony and the reasons therefor on the
5	Errata Sheet supplied to you and sign it. DO NOT
6	make marks or notations on the transcript volume
7	itself.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ERRATA
2	
3	I wish to make the following changes,
4	for the following reasons:
5	Page Line
6	CHANGE :
7	REASON:
8	CHANGE :
9	REASON:
10	CHANGE :
11	REASON:
12	CHANGE :
13	REASON:
14	CHANGE :
15	REASON:
16	CHANGE :
17	REASON:
18	CHANGE :
19	REASON:
20	CHANGE :
21	REASON:
22	CHANGE :
23	REASON:
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I hereby certify that I have read the
7	foregoing transcript of my deposition testimony,
8	and that my answers to the questions propounded,
9	with the attached corrections or changes, if any,
10	are true and correct.
11	
12	TORREY LITTLETON
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER I, Gail Inghram, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Realtime Systems Administrator, CA-Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8635, and Notary Public, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. Jugham Gail Inghram, BA, RDR, CRR, RSA, CA-CSR No. 8635

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Deposition of Torrey Littleton
 Doc 4277-2
 Filed 06/24/25
 Entered 06/24/25
 10:20:25
 Desc

 Exhibit 125
 Page 83 of 100
 In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Entiteton	EXHIBIT 125	Fage 85 01 100 mile m	iginand Capital Management, L.F.
WORD INDEX	33 64:5, 8	3,15	25 20:1, 20 21:10, 23,
WORD INDEA	33 68:10	actual 18:2	
< \$ >	34 th 3:15	ADAMS 4:9	25 22:2, 3, 4, 7 24:12
+	34 m 3:13		25:12, 20 29:10, 14,
\$22 19:21	- 4 -	add 46:14	18, 23 54:22
\$23 42:2	< 4 >	addition 13:8	annuity 17:16 20:21
\$29 19:20	4:42 76:1	administrative 20:16	22:17, 24 24:13, 16,
\$300 41:5	4:49 76:2	Administrator 81:7	19,20 28:17 30:5,6
\$650 52:8	4:50 77:19	adverse 43:5	55:3
\$7 19:22		advised 52:8	ANSWER 7:2 9:17,
\$9 72:24 73:19, 22	< 5 >	advisor 51:25 52:15	20 10:19 20:24
74:12 75:12	51 3:23	72:10, 13	47:11 57:24 58:22
	58 6:13	advisor/control 51:2	61:21 63:12 65:12,
<1>		advisors 52:9 70:8	14 67:16, 22 68:5
1 6: <i>13</i> 58:5	< 6 >	affiliated 11:4	answered 65:10 76:4
1.5 71:17	67 7:4	affiliates 9:5 12:18	answers 80:8
10010 3:24		13:13, 18	Anybody 14: <i>3</i> 19: <i>2</i>
10017-2024 3:16	<7>	affirmed 8:6	34:7 60:4, 9, 23
11 1:8	70801 5:10	afternoon 8:23	63:20 70:19, 22
1113 4:10	713.228.4100 4:12	ago 12:8 37:5, 25	77:12, 16
13 11: <i>14</i> 32: <i>23</i>	75201 4:19	agree 39:19, 22, 23	anyway 10:5, 20
16 70:11, 13	77002 4:11	agreed 22:16 69:5	apologies 27:13
1600 5:9	780 3:15	agreed-upon 30:10	apologize 41:9 76:5
1700 4: <i>18</i>	7-year 71:20	agreement 9:4 12:17	apologizing 8:13
18 75:19, 23	, year , 1120	13: <i>3</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> 27:21	apparent 62:2
19-34054-sgj11 1:5	< 8 >	31:15 40:3, 6, 12, 16,	appear 54:16, 25
1st 11:13	8 6:7	21 41:6, 20 42:7, 9,	59:10
	8635 1:22 81:8, 21	16, 25 43:3, 11, 21	appeared 3:2 23:2
< 2 >	0000 1.22 01.0, 21	44:5, 10, 18 45:11, 15,	appointed 59:16
2015 19:18, 19	< 9 >	19 46:6, 24 47:2, 17	appreciate 8:16
2019 71: <i>19</i>	918,000 29:5	49:25 50:3, 9, 16	52:17
2022 11: <i>13</i>	22.0	51:12, 17 52:21 56:5,	approval 37:10, 13,
2025 1:14 2:10 29:4	< A >	<i>16, 24</i> 60:7, <i>17</i> 61: <i>1</i> ,	<i>16</i> 39:21
21 7:4	ability 51:16 53:8	8, 16 63:5, 21 64:15,	approve 55:14
212.849.7615 3:25	able 32:5, 13 33:25	21 65:8 66:7 68:18,	approved 13:24 14:1
214.817.4500 4:20	58:17, 22 67:16	22, 24 69:16 71:16	27:19 28:1 42:10
22 1:14 2:10	absolutely 30:3	ahead 27:14 38:23	60:7 63:6, 22 64:8
225.381.9643 5:11	33:22 49:12 58:24	ahurt@kellyhart.com	67:10
223.301.9010 5.111 22nd 3:23	accommodate 11:1	5:7	approximately 2:11
2390 4:18	accounts 21:15, 21,	allow 9:19 31:22	April 72:21
23-plus 41:14	<i>22, 24</i> 22: <i>1, 20</i> 29: <i>3</i>	75:11	argumentative 65:19
23-pius 41.14 240 4:10	34:13	allows 29:22	arises 47:1
240 4.10	acted 68:12, 22 69:13	alternative 29:22	arm's-length 43:4, 13
< 3 >	acting 34:8 60:4,9	AMELIA 5:6	44:6 46:8
3:30 1:15 2:11	68:12	amount 20:8, 10	arranging 77:9
30(b)(6 69:21	action 48:21 49:3	22:22 41:2	aside 63:5
300 41: <i>1</i>	62:20	amounts 20:2	asked 15:9 65:21
301 5:9	actions 61:25 62:7,	ample 68:11	asking 65:2, 3, 4
310.277.6910 3:17	<i>23</i> 63:4	analysis 72:5	69:19
32 58:3	activity 14:25 15:2,	annuities 17:6, 12, 25	aspect 57:20
JZ J J J J J J J J J J		18:3, 8 19:16, 17, 19,	
	I	10.5, 0 17.10, 17, 17,	I

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 84 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Entreton			ginand Capital Management, L.I.
aspects 11:21	75:8, 15, 17, 20 76:3	believe 13:5 19:18,	41:24 42:24 45:6
aspects 11.21 assert 49:4	77:3, 5, 6, 7, 17	<i>22</i> 20:9, <i>11</i> 21:17, <i>18</i>	48:22 64:20 67:19
		22:3 23:4 25:10	
asset 54:17 72:15	attorney-client 63:10		care 46:17
assets 11:19 21:14	68:4	26:12 27:8, 23 28:5	carefully 27:4
24:24 27:20, 25 29:7,	audited 54:10	29:2, 7, 16 35:18, 22,	carry 26:3
19 30:9 32:2, 6, 10,	auditors 33:23 54:13	24 36:7, 15 37:12	Case 1:5 38:6 58:10
13, 16 33:6, 10, 15	authority 68:13, 23	39:1 40:14 41:13	81:16
40:19, 23, 25 42:8, 15,	authorization 61:6	42:15 43:2, 17 45:5	cash 27:20, 25
22 44:9 46:11 52:9	authorize 50:15	46:19 47:3, 15, 24	casual 8:14
54:9 62:3 64:19	authorized 38:9	48:4 49:19 50:13, 21	cause 48:21 49:3
66: <i>11</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>23</i> 67:6	39:3 59:11	51:1, 9, 15, 24 52:3	66:5
73:5, 9	Avenue 3:15, 23 4:18	53:2 56:6, 13, 21	causing 19:7 46:16
associated 20:20	avoidance 62:7, 20	57:4, 10 60:14, 24	caveat 46:14
Associates 72:14	63: <i>4</i>	61:5 64:13 65:5	Cayman 16:1 59:19
assumed 35:10	avoiding 63:21	66:5 68:22 69:12, 13	62:7, <i>12</i>
assumption 32:1	aware 12:7, 10, 13	71:22 72:20 73:18	Central 1:15 2:11
62:21	13:7, 12 15:15 16:2,	beneficial 24:2	77:19
Atlas 15:5 18:4	4, 8, 24 21:21 27:17	benefit 28:8 42:3	certain 9:3 12:17, 18
21:17 22:3, 10, 12, 13	37:3, 7, 11 40:16, 19,	49:22 75:7	13:12 15:25 45:23
29:20, 21 30:23 31:1,	23 46:23 48:11 49:2,	benefited 53:15	CERTIFICATE 81:2
14 32:11 49:17	<i>16</i> 57:8 59:22 60:13	75:10	Certified 2:14, 15
54:20, 21, 25 55:4, 17	66:4, 11 67:17, 23	best 18:10	81:6
attached 80:9	72:23 73:11, 13	beyond 25:20 52:5	certify 80:6 81:10
Attorney 6:7 8:11,		billion 22:14	CFO 11:8, 10, 12, 16,
24 15:22, 23 16:16,	< B >	bit 13:22 15:9	17 23:5 32:8, 17
23 21:4, 6 23:13, 14,	BA 1:22 81:21	23:15 48:15 57:18	73:7
<i>23</i> 24:10 25:13, 19,	back 19:18 20:18	72:19	chance 10:15
	58:13 63:4, 21	board 11:23 15:13,	CHANGE 79:6, 8, 10,
24 26:1, 16 27:2, 12,		-	
14, 16 28:2, 13 35:21	balance 26:4 54:9,	17 54:13	12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22
36:4, 18 37:2 38:12,	17, 22 55:1	boards 14:24	changes 72:11 78:3
14, 18, 20, 22, 24 39:8,	ballpark 73:19	breach 62:22	79:3 80:9
18 41:21 42:4, 11, 19	BANKRUPTCY 1:1	breached 74:9	Chapter 1:8
43:6, 9, 14, 19, 25	12:8, 15 23:3, 7	break 10:24 75:22,	charitable 11:19
44:3 45:2, 3 47:9, 10,	31:11 37:4 38:16	24	24:24 42:15, 22 44:8
20 48:1, 8, 10, 14, 17,	BARTLETT 4:9	bring 38:8	62:3 64:19 65:3
23 49:1, 6, 8 50:4, 7,	based 16:21 28:3, 9,	bringing 67:19	66:10
<i>18</i> 51: <i>6</i> , <i>19</i> 52: <i>1</i> , <i>6</i> ,	<i>17, 24</i> 42: <i>1</i>	buy 64:10 67:12	charities 64:23 67:3
<i>16</i> 53:6, <i>17</i> , <i>25</i> 54: <i>3</i> ,	basis 28:22 49:9, 14		charts 31:6
7, 15 55:9, 12 56:10,	67:13	< C >	claim 23:7 48:12, 20
12, 18, 20 57:1, 3, 7, 9	Baton 5:10	CA-Certified 81:7	49:3
58:2, 6, 19, 25 59:2, 4,	Beacon 18:6	CA-CSR 1:22 81:21	Claimant 3:5 9:1
6, 7 60:19, 21 61:9,	beginning 2:11	call 14:10 20:17	23:11, 18, 22 26:5, 9,
12 63:7, 14, 23 64:4,	behalf 3:4, 19 4:3,	72:12 76:19, 22, 25	14, 25, 27:10, 30:14,
6, 16 $65:1, 9, 11, 18,$	<i>14</i> 5:3 13:3, 25 16:5,	called 12:4 23:17	15 36:8 45:11 49:5
20, 21 66:3, 8, 18	9, 25 34:8 50:10, 16	capable 61:19	67:20
67:21, 24 68:1, 6, 8, 9	51:17 56:9, 16, 24	capacity 11:7, 22	claims 40:15 67:17
69:1, 6, 18, 21, 23	60:5, 10 61:8 68:25	53:4 61:18	68:2, 3
70:2, 5, 10 71:4, 6	69:16	CAPITAL 1:6 3:4	CLARITY 7:22 30:7
73:1, 6 74:6, 8, 13, 18	belief 71: <i>1</i>	8:25 12:5 26:9, 13,	clawback 62:6, 20
		25 27:9 36:8 37:6	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 85 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Entreton	EXHIBIT 123 P		ginand Capital Management, L.F.
clawing 63:3, 21 clear 32:14 69:23 clearly 36:6 67:8 colleague 57:16 57:16 combination 14:23 14:23 Come 65:19 66:19 comfort 58:16 58:16 comfort 58:16 16:6 37:24 39:24 39:24 comment 64:24 64:24 communicate 34:7, 15 59:25 76:7 76:7 59:25 60:3 76:20 76:20	contemplating 55:16 contends 43:22 67:10 context 57:23 contract 17:12, 19 22:24 62:23 contracts 24:14, 16, 19, 21 contractual 20:6 26:8 contribution 71:19 contributions 19:20 control 51:25 52:15 55:7, 10 57:5 70:8 controlled 9:6 12:11, 19 conversation 11:25	64:9 65:25 67:10 77:13 Court-appointed 62:5 CRAWFORD 4:17 create 31:21 created 31:19, 24 62:1 62:1 cross-talk 65:24 Crown 4:3 16:25 17:3, 5, 11, 14, 22 18:24 19:1, 24 20:7, 9 21:1, 2, 10, 16, 18 22:5, 14, 23 24:7 25:3, 6, 11, 15, 21 28:21 29:17, 25 30:3, 10 31:8, 10 35:23	74:10, 20, 21, 24 75:2, 3, 5, 7 76:14 D'AMBRA 5:14 data 41:9 DAVID 4:7 day 8:18 13:21 dcurry@okinadams.co m 4:8 deal 66:24 debate 67:2 Debtor 1:8 64:10 67:12 decided 16:21 decision 53:9, 12 74:17 decision-making
60:3 76:20 communications $34:9$ 60:23 community $18:22$ 67:5 75:14 company $12:4$ compensate $20:19$ compensates $20:12$ complicated $9:14$ complies $22:23$ computer $19:6$ concern $42:6$ $47:6$ 50:6 concerned $44:22$ 49:24 concerns $15:2$ $44:4$ 46:10 concluded $77:20$ conference $14:10$ confirm $76:6$ confused $41:10$ connection $9:2$ consent $51:11$ $52:20$, 24 $55:19$, 22 , 25 $56:2$, 7 , 14 , 22 consequential $69:10$ consider $66:13$ $74:11$ consideration $50:2$	conversation $11:25$ $36:23$ $43:15$ $69:8, 25$ $70:21$ $76:10$ conversations $43:8$ $51:3$ $53:8$ $72:9$ $76:11$ conversion $73:25$ converted $71:22$ $72:20, 21, 25$ $73:12$ $74:2$ converted $79:14$ copycopy $60:11$ correct $15:18, 20, 21$ $16:10, 11$ $17:24$ $18:12$ $20:3$ $21:7, 8, 17, 24$ $24:16, 19$ $30:6$ $33:9$ $37:22$ $39:21$ $41:17, 18, 20$ $43:13$ $54:18$ $59:21, 24$ $22:7, 8, 17, 71:25$ $72:1$ $73:18$ $76:9, 24$ $80:10$ $81:11$ correctly $62:10$ corresponding $18:19$ counsel $16:22$ $58:5$	10 $31:8, 10$ $35:23$ $36:22$ $50:25$ $54:22$ $55:2, 3, 23, 24$ $56:1, 23$ 23 $57:5$ CRR $1:22$ $81:21$ cumbersome $57:19$ current $28:5$ Currently $38:3$ CURRY $4:7, 9$ < D > DAF $0:18$ $59:17$ DAF $30:18$ $9:3$ $11:4, 8, 11$ $13:25$ $14:13$ $13:25$ $14:13$ $13:25$ $14:13$ $10, 13, 22$ $18:9, 14, 16, 19, 25$ $14, 16, 19, 25$ $23:1, 6, 9, 20$ $24:5, 8, 15, 18$ $25:7, 11, 15, 22$ $25:7, 11, 15, 22$ $26:3, 7, 15, 18, 23$ $27:11, 24$ $30:18$ $32:9$ $31:4, 22$ $35:2, 6, 12, 20, 25$ $36:9, 17$ $37:9, 13, 17$ $39:20$ $40:3$ $42:5, 15$ $43:18, 22$ $42:4:19, 21$ $46:9$ $48:12, 21$ $49:4, 10, 23$ $49:4, 10, 23$	decision-making 62:24 decisions 26:25 36:1, 24 39:16 42:14 50:24 52:10 Defendant 4:3, 14 Delaware 59:23 delivered 28:1 DEMO 3:8 depends 23:24 DEPONENT 78:1 deposed 9:9 DEPOSITION 1:12 2:9 7:1 9:2 78:3 80:7 depositions 8:14 describe 49:13 described 29:1 66:21 describing 29:13 detail 68:5 details 13:1 determine 72:6 device 19:5 DIAZ 5:21 14:2 15:13 16:20 difference 69:22 different 31:22 71:23 72:21 73:5
considering 67:18 68:3 constituted 71:3 consummation 64:9 67:11 Cont'd 4:1 5:1	81:15 counterparty 74:5 couple 29:16 37:4, 25 course 34:6, 21 COURT 1:1 8:18 9:25 12:15 37:4 38:16 60:17 63:6, 22	51:10, 15 52:19 53:2, 18, 22 54:1, 17 55:1, 6, 13 56:8 57:13 58:8 60:5, 10 61:1 63:3, 19 67:9, 18 69:11 70:24 71:15, 24 72:7, 23 73:16, 21	Diplomate 2:14 81:5 DIRECT 7:23 25:14, 22 53:19, 21 54:4, 5 direction 2:16 directly 17:22 23:21 25:25 35:8, 9, 13

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 86 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Entiteton	EXHIBIT 123		igniand Capital Management, L.F.
48:24 49:7 54:1, 19	earlier 29:1 43:23	entry 65:7	43:24 44:2, 25 45:1
55:10 60:20	62:15	Errata 78:5	46:7, 12, 14 49:23
director 11:23	easier 13:22	ESQ 3:6, 8, 10, 12, 20	50:1 51:12, 13, 18
directs 10:18	economic 15:6 24:4	4:5, 7, 15 5:4, 6	54:6, 24 57:24 61:2,
disapprove 55:14	28:8 35:23 36:2, 21	established 62:19	3 63:15, 18 65:17
discovery 62:23	37:18 47:21 48:7	event 20:23	66: <i>21</i> 70: <i>4</i> 73: <i>10</i> , <i>20</i> ,
72:19	49:20 50:24 72:6	evidence 68:11	23 77:1
discussion 67:2	elaborate 48:15	exact 22:21	faith 44:17
disposition 42:8	emails 76:10	EXAMINATION 6:5	familiar 12:4 13:2
46:11	EMANUEL 3:22	8:10	23:17 28:14 30:13,
distribute 25:3	employed 81:15	examined 8:8	16, 18, 20, 22 58:11
distributions 17:17,	employment 71:3	example 22:10	Family 12:1 16:13
18 25:6, 8 30:11	Empower 11:25	exchange 21:10	17:10, 13, 23 18:9, 17
DISTRICT 1:2	14:24 16:12 17:10,	excuse 72:15	74:5
diverting 62:3	13, 22 18:9, 17 24:2,	execution 68:17	fan 19:7, 9
dividends 17:16	8 25:9, 17 26:21, 22	69:15	February 29:4 55:22
DIVISION 1:3	28:12, 15 30:17	exercise 72:4, 16	fee 20:16
document 57:20	37:19 39:13 40:9	74:4	feed 54:20
58:12, 18	41:13, 23 42:2, 23	exercised 71:25 72:7	feedback 19:8
documentation 51:21	43:18 44:19 47:14,	73:17, 21 75:6	feeds 54:22
52:23	23 49:21 55:18 56:2	exercising 72:24	feel 63:25 72:3
DOCUMENTS 7:7	69:10 75:2	74:11	felt 16:19
30:25 31:2, 4 50:14,	enabled 70:25	Exhibit 6:13 58:4	fiduciary 11:18, 20
20	engaged 43:23 65:6	EXHIBITS 6:11	24:22 35:24 36:9, 16,
doing 39:7 57:17, 19	enraptured 75:18	expect 51:4 70:7	23 50:21 51:23 52:2,
61:20	ensure 11:17	expectation 52:14, 18	25 62:5 68:13 69:14,
dollar 22:13	enter 50:15 53:5	expense 20:12, 17	24 74:10
dollars 22:14 28:5	68:24	expenses 20:14	file 14:21 16:14, 21
41:14 42:1 49:19	entered 42:16, 24	expert 62:12	37:5 39:21
53:13 67:4	50:9	expired 71:21	filed 12:7, 14, 22
Dondero 12:11 14:7,	entering 51:11, 16	explain 54:12	16:25 23:3, 6 37:3
16 15:16 16:4 19:22	52:20 55:16 56:15,	explained 20:18	38:19 39:2, 25 58:9
Dondero's 74:5	23 61:7, 15 64:15	exposure 14:15	69:20
donor-advised 18:20,	66:6	extend 52:5	filing 13:24 14:17
21	entire 34: <i>3</i>	extent 63:9 67:22	37:10, 14 38:9 39:3,
dressing 8:15	entities 9:5 12:18		12
due 29:5	13:2, 19 16:19 27:18,	< F >	finance 76:15, 18
Dugaboy 4:14 71:16	19 31:14, 16, 19 33:2,	fact-finding 38:8	financial 11:21 25:1
74:4	11, 16 35:4, 14, 19	facts 28:3 38:5, 6	26:23 54:10 81:17
duly 8:6	36:16 45:23 46:21,	40:5, 8 41:10 43:1,	financially 24:23
duration 20:8	24 47:7, 17 50:2, 10,	10 44:10 45:22	finish 9:16, 20 63:17
duties 11:15 26:14,	11, 14, 17 51:18 52:4,	48:11, 20 49:2 58:15	firm 8:24
17 27:10 32:8 33:19	19 53:5, 20, 24 54:16	62:19 63:25 64:22	first 8:6 9:11 15:1,
34:6	55:8, 11 56:9, 17, 25	65:5, 16 66:5, 11, 15,	11 75:21
duty 11:17 25:3, 6,	57:6 59:23 61:8, 15	16, 19 67:7	five-minute 75:24
11, 22 35:19 36:9, 16	62:1 64:20 68:25	fail 9:21	fixed 17:19, 21 20:2,
52:3, 5 70:3 73:8	69:17	fair 20:25 23:5	7, 10, 22 21:11 22:16
74:10	entity 12:7, 10 23:17	28:12, 14, 16, 22, 24	Floor 3:15, 23
	54:25 55:15 59:15	29:4, 8, 9, 14 30:11,	flow 18:14, 15, 18
< E >		12 34:19, 20 42:5	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 87 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Littleton	EXHIBIT 123 F		ginand Capital Management, L.F.
26:21 30:11 31:5	foundations 15:13	55.2 2 22 24 56.1	HIGHLAND 1:6 3:4,
		55:2, 3, 23, 24 56:1,	
flows 29:14 30:23	18: <i>17</i> 65: <i>3</i>	23 57:5	19 6:13 8:25 9:1,4
fluctuations 54:12	Foundation's 9:3	go 17:21 25:20 27:7,	12:5, 17 23:2, 7, 11,
focus 56:4 68:16	14:13 15:24 18:10	14 38:23 41:3 58:2	18, 22 26:4, 8, 9, 13,
focused 48:2	26:23 37:9, 13 39:20	63:10 68:10 70:11	14, 24 27:9, 18 30:14,
Focusing 68:19, 21	40:4 42:6 49:10	goal 10:4	15 31:10 36:7,8
following 79: <i>3</i> , <i>4</i>	51:11 52:20 54:17	going 8:18 9:14	37:6, 24 38:16 41:17,
follows 8:8	57:13 58:9 61:2	10:3, 19 13:21 25:25	24 42:15, 24 45:6, 11,
foregoing 80:7 81:9,	70:24 73:9 76:15	27:3 35:22 36:2	16 46:25 48:13, 22
10	full 57:24	39:13 52:12 57:11,	49:5 50:10 58:4
form 15:22 16:16	Fund 15:4, 5 17:19	12 59:9 60:17 61:20	64:14, 19, 20 65:5
23:13, 23 25:13, 24	18:4, 5, 20 21:17, 19	66:16 67:4, 15, 21	66:5, 20, 22 67:19, 20
26:16 27:12 28:2	22:4 24:15, 17 28:21	73:11 76:25	71:15 74:21, 24 75:3,
35:21 36:18 38:12	30:23 49:17 54:20,	Good 8:23 21:4, 5	5
39:8 41:21 42:11	21 55:17	44:17	Highland's 12:15
43:6, 14, 25 45:2	funded 19:17, 19	good-faith 43:4, 12	60:6
	funding 16:5, 8	44:6 45:21 46:8	
47:9, 20 48:8, 14, 23	0		high-level 40:5 58:15
49:6 50:4, 18 51:19	funds 18:21 26:20	69:8	historical 28:9
52:6 53:6, 25 54:7	30:25 31:1	governing 30:24	HMIT 13:9, 13, 17,
55:9 56:10, 18 57:1,	fund's 62:1	31:2, 4 50:14, 20	19 18:6 27:18, 19
7 60:19 61:9 63:7,	Further 61:22 77:3	granting 47:16 48:5	28:1, 4 30:20, 25
23 64:16 65:9,18	future 21:12 28:25	grant-making 75:12	31:16, 18 32:2, 6, 10
66:8 69:1, 18 70:5	30:11 40:17 49:20	grants 18:21	33:2, 6, 11, 15 34:8,
71:4 73:1 74:6, 13	77:1	GREGORY 3:8	10, 18, 24 35:4, 7, 14,
75:8		grow 24:24	<i>19</i> 36: <i>11</i> , <i>16</i> 37: <i>3</i> , <i>9</i> ,
formal 9:13 10:14	< G >	guaranteed 22:21	12, 24 38:11 40:10
formed 23:11	Gail 1:21 2:13 9:25	guy 34:23	46:21, 24 47:7, 17
forth 27:20	77:11,17 81:5,21		48:5 50:2, 11, 14, 17
Foundation 4: <i>3</i> 11: <i>5</i> ,	gdemo@pszjlaw.com	< H >	51:18 52:4, 19 53:4,
9, 11, 22 12:1, 14	3:9	half 32:20	19, 23 54:16, 23 55:7,
13:25 14:24 16:10,	generally 40:10, 13	HALL 5:18	10, 15 56:9, 16, 25
13, 15, 18, 20, 21 17:8,	gentleman 12:11	HALLMAN 5:8	57:6 59:22 61:8, 15
10, 14, 23 18:14, 16,	getting 21:2 41:10	happen 18:16	68:25 69:16
19, 25 23:2, 6, 10, 16,	give 10:13 12:25	happened 53:14, 15	hold 17:11 21:22, 24
20 24:3, 5, 15, 18	31:6 40:11 48:12, 20	54: <i>13</i> 73: <i>4</i>	22:2, 3 30:1, 2
25:7, 12, 15, 22 26:3,	49:3 57:24 58:16	hard 34:11	Holdco 30:18 59:18
7, 15, 18 27:11, 24	62:24	harm 46:16	holdings 32:14
		HART 5:8	8
32:9 33:5, 14, 20	given 45:22, 24		holds 22:4
34:4, 22 35:3, 6, 12,	63:25 71:19	hat 34:18	honest 72:18
20, 25 36:9, 17 37:18,	gives 10:14, 16	hats 34:19	Hospitality 71:18
19 39:14 40:9 43:18,	glance 31:3	HAYLEY 3:12	Houston 4:11
22 44:22 46:10	Global 4:3 15:7	head 34:24 35:3	Hunter 5:3 13:5, 8,
48:13, 21 49:4, 24	16:25 17:3, 5, 11, 14,	hear 8:21, 22 65:15	9 15:3 18:6 23:25
51:15 53:2, 18, 22	22 18:24 19:1, 24	heard 36:5 38:22	29:6, 12 31:14 40:17,
54:1 55:1, 6, 13 56:8	20:7, 9 21:2, 10, 16,	39:24	20 41:25 42:8 45:19
59:17 60:5, 10 63:3,	18 22:5, 14, 23 24:7	held 2:9 15:7 30:9	46:11 49:18, 21
20 67:9, 18 71:14, 15,	25:3, 6, 11, 15, 21	33:6, 10	HURT 5:6
24 72:7, 24 73:16, 17,	28:21 29:17, 25 30:3,	helped 14: <i>4</i>	hwinograd@pszjlaw.c
21 74:10, 20, 21, 24,	10 31:8, 10 35:23	Hey 38:20	om 3:13
25 75:3, 5, 7	36:22 50:25 54:22	high 31:3 34:14	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 88 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Littleton	EXHIBIT 123		iginand Capital Management, L.F
	25 71.1 7 72.2	its 22:23 26:4 49:18	knowledge 19.11
<i></i>	25 71:1,7 73:3	118 22:23 20:4 49:18 50:1 81:17	knowledge 18: <i>11</i> 22: <i>2</i> 31: <i>9</i> 60: <i>16</i>
	74:15, 16	30:1 81:17	22:2 31:9 60:70
idea 14:17, 18, 21	informed 33:6, 14		
15:10 31:6	52:11 60:5, 25 73:14	$\langle J \rangle$	<l></l>
identify 32:2, 5	informing 37:17	JAMES 5:19	L.P 1:7
IDF 18:4 21:17	Inghram 1:21 2:13	January 11:13	LANG 4:15, 17
22:3 30:23 49:17	81:5, 21	JEFFREY 3:10	77:14
54:20, 21 55:17	inquired 33:22	Jim 12:11 14:7	language 37:16
imagine 31:23 35:11	inquiry 32:9, 11	jmorris@pszjlaw.com	large 40:25
52:23	insertion 61:25	3:7	late 21:2
impact 11:22 28:11	insight 31:25	JOHN 3:6 8:24	law 8:24 62:13
29:2, 7 35:23 36:2,	instruct 67:21	38:13 65:19	lawsuit 37:5, 14, 23
20, 25 37:18 38:6	INSTRUCTION 7:2	joint 59:10, 16 60:1,	39:4, 24
39:10, 13 40:9 41:14,	INSTRUCTIONS	6, 12, 15, 24 61:6, 14,	lawyer 10:12, 14
22 43:17 44:17, 18	78:1	19 76:7, 17	12:2 62:16
47:13, 18 48:7 50:24	Insurance 4: <i>4</i> 17: <i>1</i> ,	JONES 3:14 5:18	lay 23:15
52:13 64:1 65:2	4, 5, 6, 12 20:20	jpomerantz@pszjlaw.c	lead 65:5
69:10 72:6	28:17, 18, 20 29:18,	om 3:11	lean 38:7
impacted 15:6 45:24	23	JULIE 5:21 14:2	leaned 40:7
53:9 64:23 67:3	interest 23:10, 21	15:13 16:20	learn 37:23
impactful 75:11	24:2, 4, 6, 11, 13	June 1:14 2:10	leave 64:3 65:13
impacts 24:3 44:12	25:18 26:4, 19, 24	jurisdiction 62:9	led 60:24
47:12	28:4, 10 29:6, 11, 25		left 75:16, 23
implicated 63:9	35:23 36:3, 21 39:16	< K >	legal 10:14, 16 11:21
important 9:15, 19	41:24 47:22 49:18	KELLY 5:8	12:24 14:4 16:22
40:8	50:24 53:13, 19, 23	key 50:6	17:12 38:4, 7 40:7
including 61:25	54:4, 5, 6, 8, 14, 23	kind 12:25 14:4	52:22 53:2 61:17
income 18:10, 13, 15,	81:17	15:14 31:6, 25 34:11	62:25
24,25 21:11 22:16	interested 51:23	38:1, 2, 5, 7 40:7, 8	legally 52:23 53:1
28:25 47:13, 23	53:7 70:1	52:12	level 31:3 34:14
increased 29:5	interests 15:4 21:18	know 9:22 10:10, 25	liability 40:14 46:25
independent 62:5	37:19	13:24 14:6, 12, 16, 17	Life 4:4 16:25 17:3,
INDEX 7:1	internal 72:10	16:12 17:25 18:2, 3	5
indicate 78:3	introduced 58:5	19:17 23:1, 6, 9	likelihood 63:2
indirect 25:17 26:24	inured 75:6	25:21 26:7 29:3	Limited 17:1, 4, 6
53:23 54:6, 14, 23	invest 19:24	30:22 31:18, 19, 21,	LINE 7:3, 8, 13, 17
75:4	invested 18:1	23 33:10, 21 34:11	59:8 79:5
indirectly 23:21	Investment 4:14 5:3	35:6, 12, 16 36:12	liquidation 62:8
24:1, 5 44:24	13:6,9 14:25 33:24	37:15 38:9, 19 39:15	liquidators 59:11, 16
Indiscernible 65:24	36:1, 24 45:20 50:23	40:10, 13, 25 41:1	60:1, 6, 12, 16, 25
individual 42:17, 21,	51:2, 25 52:14 55:4	42:1, 14, 24 46:15	61:7, 14, 19 76:8, 17
25 44:8, 11, 16 46:15	70:8 71:17,23 72:10	48:20 50:19, 20 51:1,	liquidity 75:13
64:18, 22 66:10, 24	investments 11:20	20 52:8, 12, 24 53:15	listen 27:4
individuals 42:13	18:3 22:6 29:17, 22	55:6, 13 57:23 59:3,	lists 55:4
74:15	31:23 52:11	15 60:4,9 64:17	Litigation 3:19
infirmity 10: <i>16</i>	involved 70:20	67:1, 3, 7, 9 69:22	15:25 16:5, 9 45:16
inform 36:24 50:23	Islands 16: <i>1</i> 59: <i>19</i>	70:7, 16, 23, 24 71:7	little 13:22 15:9
52:5 69:25 70:4	62:13	73:25 74:1, 14, 16	23:15 48:15 57:18
information 33:21	issuer 17:6	75:16	72:19
34:1 63:11 70:14, 17,		knowing 64:22 66:24	
, - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , -			1

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 89 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Littleton	EXHIBIT 125	Page 89 01 100 In re Hi	Igniand Capital Management, L.P.
LITTLETON 1:13	matter 30:8	20.6 12 21.14 40.10	abject 10.12 15.22
		29:6, 12 31:14 40:18,	object 10:13 15:22
2:9 6:6 8:5, 17 58:8,	matters 30:10	20 41:25 42:8 45:20 46:11 49:18, 21	16:16 23:13, 23
<i>19</i> 63:9 66:4 76:4	MATTTHEW 4:5	2	25:13, 24 26:16 28:2
77:7 80: <i>12</i>	mean 38:13	move 27:3 57:11	35:21 36:18 38:12
LLP 3:22 4:9 5:8	means 11:19	moved 66:23	39:8 41:21 42:11
LOIGMAN 3:20	meet 8:19	moving 44:8 64:18	43:6, 14, 25 45:2
long 11:1, 10 22:22	member 15:16	66:10, 20	47:9, 20 48:8, 14, 23
33:1	met 14:6, 8	multiple 33:23	49:6 50:4, 18 51:19
longer 49:22	MICHAEL 4:15		52:6 53:6, 25 54:7
look 55:3	million 19:20, 21, 23	< N >	55:9 56:10, 18 57:1,
looked 16:17	28:4 41:1, 5, 14, 25	name 8:23 16:14	7 60:19 61:9 63:7,
looking 14:25 19:14	42:2 49:19 52:8	named 12:11	23 64:16 65:9,18
59:8	53:13 71:17 72:24	NATHAN 5:18	66:8 69:1, 18 70:5
loss 47:22	73:19, 22 74:12	NECESSARILY 7:22	71:4 73:1 74:6, 13
lost 47:13 72:24	75:12	34:17	75:8
75:17	mine 62:21	need 10:24 34:23	objecting 15:10
lot 34:19 67:5	minutes 75:19, 23	35:3 57:21 58:21	objection 9: <i>3</i> 12: <i>14</i> ,
LOUIS 5:4	missed 20:13	73:3 77:11	<i>16, 21</i> 13:25 14:5, <i>14</i> ,
Louisiana 5:10	mlang@cwl.law.com	needed 33:20 52:19	18, 22 15:24 16:14,
LP 8:25 12:5 15:5	4:16	negatively 36:3 53:9	24 23:3 27:12 40:4
18:4 22:3 45:6 55:4	MNPI 71: <i>3</i>	64:23	49:10, 15 57:13 58:9,
lphillips@kellyhart.co	mokin@okinadams.co	negotiate 68:24	15 60:11 61:2
m 5:5	m 4:6	negotiating 46:15	objections 12:23
	moment 57:15	negotiation 43:4	obligation 20:7, 11
< M >	money 21:1, 9 22:22	65:7 68:17 69:15	22:15 35:20, 24
M&E 20:11, 14, 17	MORRIS 3:6 6:7	negotiations 43:13	36:23 50:21 51:23
Madden 72:14, 15	8:11, 24 15:23 16:23	44:6, 15 46:9	52:25 69:25
Madison 3:23	21:6 23:14 24:10	neither 81:15	obligations 22:23
Main 5:9 11:17	25:19 26:1 27:2, 16	never 14:8 23:6	26:15, 18 27:10
making 39:17 42:13	28:13 36:4 37:2	33:25 34:25 39:19	68:13 69:14
66:24	38:14, 20, 24 39:18	71:24 76:7	obtain 51:10 52:19
MANAGEMENT 1:6	42:4, 19 43:9, 19	New 3:16, 24	56:7, 14, 22 61:5
3:4 8:25 12:5 26:9,	44:3 45:3 47:10	newly 62:1	obtained 39:20 71:2
13 27:9 36:8 37:6	48:1, 10, 17 49:1, 8	news 38:2	occasions 33:23
41:25 45:6 48:22	50:7 51:6 52:1, 16	NexPoint 71:18	officer 81:8
52:9 67:19 72:15	53:17 54:3, 15 55:12	nice 8:19	official 59:10, 16
Mark 9:6 33:1	56:12, 20 57:3, 9	nonpublic 70:14, 16	60:1, 6, 12, 15, 25
34:10 39:2 45:25	58:2, 6, 25 59:4, 7	normal 51:3	61:6, 14, 18 76:7, 17
50:8 53:3 55:21	60:21 61:12 63:13,	NORTHERN 1:2	officially 60:2
74:9	14 64:4, 6 65:1, 11,	Notary 81:8	Oh 41:7 42:21
MARKED 6:11 7:16	20 66:3, 17, 18 67:24	notations 78:6	Okada 12: <i>1</i> 14: <i>24</i>
58:4	68:6, 9 69:6, 21 70:2,	NOTE 7:21	16:13 17:10, 13, 23
market 20:4 28:12,	10 71:6 72:18 73:6	notes 19:13 55:20,	18:9, 17 19:23 24:2,
14, 16, 23, 24 29:4, 8,	74:8, 18 75:15, 20	21, 23	8 25:9, 16 26:21, 22
9,14 54:12	76:3 77:3, 7, 14	notice 77:10	28:12, 15 37:19
MARKS 7:22 78:6	motion 37:4, 10	notified 74:2	39:13 40:9 41:13, 23
material 70:14, 16	38:10, 13, 15 39:12,	November 19:19	42:2, 23 43:17 44:18
Matt 38:20 65:20	21, 25 60:7	NUMBER 6:12 12:8	47:14, 23 49:22
67:25 75:16 77:9	Mountain 5:3 13:6,		55:18 56:2 69:10
······································	8, 9 15:3 18:6 24: <i>1</i>	< 0 >	75:2
	0,7 10.0 10.0 27.1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 90 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Entiteton			ginand Capital Management, L.I.
$a_{1} = \frac{9}{2} \frac{21}{2} \frac{22}{2} \frac{9}{2} \frac{12}{2}$	organizations 11.21	nantics 2.2 28.17	70:12
okay 8:21, 23 9:12,	organizations 11:24 16:6 20:2, 5 21:1, 9	parties 3:2 38:17 43:5 46:25 48:5	PLLC 4:17
<i>17</i> 10:8, 20, 21 11:2			
12:13 13:21 14:12	22:15, 21 24:9, 25	51:23 59:11 64:14	point 58:23
17:3 19:11, 16 20:25	25:9 28:6 29:15, 24	66:6 70:1 81:16	policies 17:11 30:5,
21:14 22:19 23:1, 20	30:2 44:13 45:23	partnership 21:18	6 36:22
26:2 33:4, 18 34:6	46:16 47:19 48:7	party 13:13, 18 53:7	policy 24:7 30:1, 2, 4
35:1 37:3 39:19	50:22 53:10 56:15	patience 77:8	POMERANTZ 3:10
40:2 41:11 44:4	59:23 63:2 64:2	Patrick 9:6 12:19	portfolio 15:6
45:4,9 48:11 49:2	69:11	13:3 33:1 34:10	portfolios 52:13
50:8 51:7,9 58:2	orgs 39:15	39:2 43:23 45:25	portion 15:2 18:13
59:1 60:22 61:4	originally 26:20	50:9, 15, 22 51:10, 16	58:8
62:12 64:4, 7 67:25	originated 14:18	52:3 53:3 55:21	positive 36:3
68:8, <i>16</i> 69: <i>12</i> 70: <i>3</i> ,	outcome 81:17	56:7, 14, 22 61:5	possibility 50:1 59:6
11 75:15 77:13	outlined 67:8	64:18 65:4 68:11, 22	potential 55:15 67:17
OKIN 4:5, 9 15:22	outside 68:12, 23	69:13 71:2 74:9	potentially 39:10
16:16 21:4 23:13, 23	69:14	Patrick's 44:21 61:24	41:15 47:13
25:13, 24 26:16	overall 38:6 54:9	PAUL 5:14	preparation 14:13
27:12, 14 28:2 35:21	overseeing 12:15	pay 17:16 20:1, 7, 10	present 2:16 5:17
36:18 38:12, 18, 22	oversight 11:18, 20	22:15	54:10
39:8 41:21 42:11	34:13 75:4	payments 17:19, 21	preserve 24:23
43:6, 14, 25 45:2	owes 25:11 26:14	49:20	President 14: <i>1</i> 16:20
47:9, 20 48:8, 14, 23	27:10 35:19 36:9, 16	payout 28:8 42:2	prevent 51:16 61:15
49:6 50:4, 18 51:19	owned 21:15 32:6,	payouts 40:17 53:16	PREVIOUSLY 6:11
52:6 53:6, 25 54:7	10 33:16 74:19	PE 15:4 49:17	58:4
55:9 56:10, 18 57:1,	ownership 21:20	peace 64:10 67:12	primarily 15:13
7 58:19 59:2,6	24:6 29:25 34:13	pending 11:2 15:25	prior 58:21
60: <i>19</i> 61: <i>9</i> 63: <i>7</i> , <i>23</i>	53:19, 21, 23 54:5, 6	percentage 20:19	privilege 68:4
64:16 65:9, 18, 21	owns 31:6 32:3	period 20:22 21:12	privileged 63:10
66:8 67:21 68:1,8		permission 37:5	privy 74:15
69: <i>1</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>23</i> 70:5	< P >	38:15	probably 34:14
71:4 73:1 74:6, 13	p.m 1:15 2:11 76:1,	person 34:15 51:3,	36:12
75:8, 17 77:6, 14, 17	2 77:19	25 52:15, 22 61:17	problematic 42:18, 20
once 34:22	PACHULSKI 3:14	personally 14:8	proceeding 13:15
opine 43:8, 16 44:16	5:18	69:19	proceedings 2:12
45: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> 61: <i>18</i>	Pacific 4:18	persons 70:9	77:20 81:9, 11, 12
opined 55:24	PAGE 6:5, 12 7:3, 8,	pertaining 12:16	process 9:13, 14
opinion 62:25 63:24	13, 17 79:5	pertinent 13:1	10:23 57:19
66:14	paid 21:1,9 49:21	PHILLIPS 5:4 77:5,	produce 28:21
opportunity 10:17	paragraph 58:3	15	produced 21:11
55:19 56:3 57:24	61:22 64:5, 8 68:10	piece 20:13	product 43: <i>3</i> , <i>12</i>
option 71: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> ,	70:11, 13	place 44:24 66:12	44:5 46:8
20, 21, 25 72:4, 8, 12,	part 11:25 12:24	plan 23:12	PRODUCTION 7:7
25 74:4	20:14 23:11 33:19	planned 60:3	projected 28:25
order 55:23 58:22	40:15 43:8, 15 44:1	platform 29:20, 21	proper 11:18
62:19	46:13 47:3 50:5	play 17:7 43:1	proposed 9:4 12:16
org 75:3	54:21	44:14	45:5, 10, 15 46:20
organization 11:14	participate 76:12, 16	played 31:10	47:16 48:6 49:10
16:18 30:8 32:21, 25	particular 20:23	plays 17:9	56:23 61:1 64:15
69:19 74:22 75:11	21:19	please 58:3 64:5	65:7
organizational 31:5			

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 91 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

proposing 40:1/1 propounded 80:8 read 12:23 40:2 59:9 62:10 77:12 movide 56:3 read 12:23 40:2 movide 56:3 read 12:23 70:2 movide 56:3 read 12:23 40:2 movide 56:3 read 12:23 40:2 movide 56:3 read 12:23 70:2 movide 56:3 read 12:2 movide 56:15 read 12:2 movide 56:16 read 12:2 movide 56:16 read 12:2 movide 56:17	Deposition of Torrey Littleton	Exhibit 125	Page 91 of 100 In re H	ighland Capital Management, L.P
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	proposing 40:11	read 12:23 40:2	related 29:2 40:6	result 43:20. 21
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
provide $66:3$ providedreading $19:1/4$ $78:2$ really $30:17$ $41:1/3$ relationshipreviewed $30:2/4$ $31:1/6$ Public $81:8$ pull $40:8$ 23 $26:1/2$ $27:8,23$ $25:1/6$ $75:1/7$ $26:8$ $51:2/7$ $74:2/3$ $25:6/6/7$ $75:1/7$ $20:5/6/17$ $75:1/7$ $20:5/6/17$ $75:1/7$ $20:5/6/17$ $75:1/7$ $20:6/775:1/720:6/775:1/720:6/775:1/720:6/775:1/720:6/775:1/720:6/775:1/720:6/775:1/720:7/775:1/720:7/775:1/720:7/775:1/720:7/711:4/711:5/775:1/720:7/711:4/775:1/720:7/711:4/711:5/775:1/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/711:7/7/711:7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7/7$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1			
providing 47:8 Realfime 2:/4 81:6 24:8, 22 25:16, 17 19.3, 6 21:12 27:24 Public 81:8 reason 10:24 25:10, 24:8, 22 25:16, 17 29:10 30:1 31:16 pull 938:4 35:18 43:2 45:4, 7, 9, relax 9:12 75:1 32:22 33:2, 8 34:1, 2 pull 938:4 35:18 43:2 45:4, 7, 9, relax 9:12 76:16, 21 39:17 72:16, 21 39:17 pused 75:13 53:1 56:6, 13, 21 48:4 50:13 51:14 48:2, 5 74:17 60:14 61:16 53:3, 11 54:20 55:7, 12 put 14:5 57:12, 22 57:4, 10 60:14 61:4 63:15 73:7, 9 74:20 75:14 62:16 65:15 73:7, 9 74:20 73:11, 71, 72, 174:4, 11, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23 reasonable 46:7 regranization 23:12 reise 48:12, 20 49:3 risk 20:4, 10, 12, 20 7:6 risk 20:4, 10, 12, 20 robertoigman@guinn quarterly 20:15 receive 13:57, 13 receive 46:12 receive 46:12 robertoigman@guinn robertoigman@guinn question 9:16, 21 20:42:9 44:23 50:3 receive 46:12 receive 46:12 receive 46:12 role 14:12 17:7,9 21:2 receive 46:12 receive 46:12 receive 46:12 receive 46:12 robertoigma@		0		
Public 81:8 pulling reason 10:24 25:10, 23 26:8 51:24 74:23 29:10 30:1 31:16 pulling 38:4 23 26:12 27:8,23 37:16,21 37:16,21 37:16,21 37:16,21 37:16,21 39:17 purpose 31:19 66:13,21 relasse 40:24 74:7 57:4,10 60:14 61:4 42:25 57:5 58:17 71:4 42:25 57:25 58:17 71:4 42:25 57:20 55:7,1 put 14:5 57:17,19,21 74:7 79:7,9,11,13, 71:7,19,21,23 71:6 71:8,22 71:6 71:8,22 71:6 71:8,22 71:6 71:7,0 71:6 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:6 71:8,23 71:6 71:8 71:6 71:7,0 71:6 71:8 71:6 71:7,0 71:6 71:7,0 71:6 71:7,0 71:6 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:7,0 71:10			-	0
pull 22 22:12 27:8, 23 75:1 32:22 33:18 43:2, 45:4, 7, 9, 71:10, 21 32:12 33:16, 21 33:17 75:1 32:22 33:17 75:17 purpose 31:19 62:2 35:18 43:2, 45:4, 7, 9, 71:10, 21 75:1 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10, 21 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 71:10 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
pulling 38:4 35:18 43:2 45:4,7.9, relax 9:12 37:16,21 39:17 purpose 31:19 62:2 14, 18 46:19 47:15 relaxs 40:14 37:16,21 39:17 pursued 40:21 48:4 50:13 51:14 relaxse 40:14 53:3,11 54:20 55:7, put 14:5 57:12,22 53:1 56:6,13,21 53:1 56:6,13,21 relaxses 47:7,16 53:3,11 54:20 55:7, put 14:5 57:12,22 57:4 10 60:14 61:4 relaxses 47:7,16 63:15 73:7,9 74:20 74:7 79:7,9,11,13, restandbl 46:7 restandbl 46:7 restandbl 46:7 restandbl 46:7 restandbl 46:7 guarter 15:1 j9:4 recasons 74:3 78:4 reorganization 23:12 reorganization 23:12 quarterly 20:15 receive 20:15 22:11 Reported 1:20 remunel.com 3:21 robel 14:12 17:7,9 question 9:16,21 20:42:9 44:23 50:3 81:2,6,8 REPORTER'S 7:21 rol 14:2 17:7,9 questionable 42:14 receives 46:12 receives 46:12 represent 39:15 rol 14:5: 5 35:10 guicker 13:22 record 81:11 record 81:11 record 81:12 roue 13:2 rol 14:22 rol 14:2 quotations 66:13 received 81:13 resporting 30:4 resporet17:8 22:6,10 say 64:8 68:10<		-		
purpose 31:/9 62:2 14, 18 46:19 47:15 release 40:14 42:23 46:6 51:15 purpose 31:1/6 33:1 55:13 55:13 17 release 40:14 42:23 46:6 51:15 put 14:5 57:12, 22 57:4, 10 60:14 61:4 release 47:7, 76 17:4, 25 57:5 58:12 59:20 61:14 62:16 57:7, 79, 79, 79, 79, 79, 79, 79, 79, 79, 7	1			
pursued 40:21 put 14:5 57:12, 23 58:7 T1:10, 14, 16, 2048:4 50:13 51:14 53:1, 21 57:4, 10 60:14 61:4 64:13 69:13 72:4 relasing 46:25 relasing 46:25 rely 12:24 74:16 rely 12:24 74:17 reganization 23:12 reganization 23:12 reganization 23:12 reganization 23:12 reganization 23:12 reganization 23:12 reganization 23:12 reganization 23:12 rephrase 10:10,17 Reported 1:20 Reported 1:20 Reported 1:20 Reported 1:20 Reported 1:20 receives 46:12 reporting 11:21 represent 39:15 receives 46:12 receives 46:12 recommendation represent 39:15 receives 46:12 recorded 2:11 fo:1/ 34:16 fo:1/ 34:16relases 47:7, 16 fit 46:27 receives 46:12 receives 46:12 reporting 11:21 represent 8:25 fo:18 18:5, 7 49:17 roll 14:55 74:9, 19 roll 14:55 74:9, 19 roll 14:57 74:17 reporting 11:21 roll 14:57 74:17 represent 8:25 	1 8			
pushed 75:13 53:1 56:6, 13, 21 48:2, 5 14, 25 57:5 58:12 put 14:5 57:4, 10 60:14 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:4 61:	1 1	-		
put 14:557:12, 2257:4, 1060:1461:4releasing 46:2559:2061:1462:1658:771:10, 14, 16, 2064:1369:1372:415, 17, 19, 21, 23rely 12:2474:665:1573:7, 974:2072:4, 8, 17, 2174:779:7, 9, 11, 1315, 17, 19, 21, 23reasonable 46:7resonable 42:1272:6, 9, 1122:6, 9, 1122:6, 9, 1170:17, 922:6, 9, 1170:17, 922:6, 9, 1170:17, 970:14, 12, 2022:6, 9, 1170:17, 970:14, 12, 2022:6, 9, 1170:17, 970:14, 12, 2022:6, 9, 1170:14, 12, 2022:6, 9, 1170:14, 12, 2022:6, 9, 1170:14, 12, 2022:6, 9, 1170:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 2070:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 1270:14, 12, 12 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1			
72:4, 8, 12, 17, 2574:779:7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23remind 63:8 REMOTE 1:1276:12, 2377:6 rise 48:12, 2049:331975:6reasonable 46:7 reasons 74:3reorganization 23:12 reparts 78:4reorganization 23:12 reparts 10:10, 17 rephrase 10:10, 1778:478:4 research 38:3, 17 rephrase 10:10, 17 $< Q >$ quarterly 20:15receive 20:1522:21 27:20, 24Reported 1:20 Reported 1:20 Reported 2:14, 15ROBERT 3:20 robertloigman@quinn emanuel.com 3:21 role 14:12question 9:16, 21 21:720:42:942:350:3 70:1281:2, 6, 8 10:6, 20role 14:12 10:177:9 65:2510:8, 16, 20 21:721:775:6 63:1173:11 73:2573:17 receive 35:7, 13 receives 46:12 represent 39:1581:2, 6, 8 81:2, 6, 8role 14:12 10:175:25QUESTIONS 7:16 9:15recommendation recommendationsrepresenting 44:13 represent 8:25 required 37:12role 14:12 role 15:574:9:17 role 15:5QUESTIONS 7:16 9:1516:2256:3 72:11record 81:11 record 21:12role 17:8 23:10roley 24:1 rouley 24:1QUOTATION 7:22 quotations 66:13 QUOTATION 7:22 quotations 66:13 QUOTATION 7:22 quotations 66:13 QUITA 12:30:17, 25refered 31:15 70:17 refered 31:15respectively 17:23 respectively 1			e e	
73: 1/1, 17, 21 74:4, 11, 19, 77:6 15, 17, 19, 21, 23 REMOTE 1:12 2:8 rise 48:12, 20 49:3 19 75:6 reasonable 46:7 reasonable 46:7 reasonable 46:7 quarter 15:1 79:4 recall 14:11 41:2 repart 58:13, 17 robert 13:20 quarter 15:1 57:21 recall 14:11 41:2 repart 58:13, 17 robert 13:20 quarter 15:1 77:4 recall 14:11 41:2 repart 58:13, 17 robert 13:20 quarter 15:1 77:2 recall 14:11 41:2 repart 58:13, 17 robert 13:20 question 9:16, 21 20: 42:9 44:23 50:3 report 27:14, 15 role 14:12 17:7, 9 21:7 77:5 61:21 63:17, 13 received 35:7, 13 reporting 11:21 role 14:12 52:66:22 questionable 42:14 receives 46:12 reporting 11:21 reporting 11:21 rolled 15:5: 57:10 rolled 15:5: 55:10 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 recommendation representing 44:13 required 37:12 roughy 41:14 75:25 78:27 record 81:11 51:10 56:7, 14, 22:6, 10 roughy 41:14 rooge 51:0 9:15 10:13 34:16 record 81:11				-
19 75:6 reasonable 46:7 3:2 risk 20:4, 10, 12, 20 putting 59:5 reasons 74:3 78:4 reorganization 23:12 role 14:12 17:7,9 13:16 reorganization 23:12 reorganization 23:12 reorofa 13:12 reorganization 23:12				-
putting 59:5 reasons 74:3 78:4 79:4 reorganization 23:12 reparts 58:13, 17 22:6, 9, 11 < Q > quarter 15:1 quarterly 20:15 reasons 74:3 78:4 79:4 reorganization 23:12 rephrase 10:10, 17 Reported 1:20 22:6, 9, 11 quarterly 20:15 57:21 receive 20:15 22:21 20:42:9 44:23 50:3 rephrase 10:10, 17 Reported 1:20 reoertlogman@quinn remanuel.com 3:21 role 14:12 17:7, 9 question 9:16, 21 10:8, 16, 20 11:1 received 35:7, 13 received 35:7, 13 REPORTER'S 7:21 reporting 11:21 represent 39:15 roll 24:25 26:22 questionable 42:14 67:1 receives 46:12 received 35:7, 13 represent 39:15 represent 39:15 roll 14:12 roll 24:25 26:22 QUESTIONS 7:16 9:15 10:13 34:16 recommendation 16:22 56:3 72:11 recoimendations representing 44:13 represent 8:25 roughly 41:14 room 19:2 quicker 13:22 quicker 13:22 record 81:11 referred 31:15 70:17 51:10 56:7, 14, 22 63:1 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 saw 29:6 < R > Rand 15:4 18:5 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 31:13 49:16 REFERENCED 6:11 referring 30:4 referred 31:15 70:17 referring 30:4 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibility 24:22 responsibility 24:22 restructuring 43:23 sec 53:8 58:21 re				
< Q > quarter 15:1 quarter 15:1 quarterly 20:15 28:2279:4 recail 14:11 41:2 57:21 receive 20:15 22:21 27:20, 24 28:7 40:11, 20 42:9 44:23 50:3 receive 35:7, 13 erecive 36:12 erecive 36:12 ereporting 11:21 ropersent 39:15 represent 39:15 roll 24:25 26:22 roll 24:27 roll 24:21 17:0 roll 24:21 roll 24:22 roll 24:21 roll 24:22 roll 24:21 roll 24:22 roll 24:22 roll 24:22 roll 24:22 research 38:3 responsibility 24:22 responsibility 24:22 responsibility 24:22 responsibility 24:22 responsibility 24:22 responsibility 24:22 responsibility 24:22 restrue 21:7 36:19 sech 31:26 sociel 38:54 res	putting 59:5		reorganization 23:12	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			0	
quarter 15:1 57:21 Reported 1:20 emanuel.com 3:21 quarter 1y 20:15 27:20, 24 28:7 40:11, Reporter 2:14, 15 role 14:12 17:7, 9 question 9:16, 21 20 42:9 44:23 50:3 81:2, 6, 8 role 14:12 17:7, 9 question 9:16, 21 20 42:9 44:23 50:3 81:2, 6, 8 role 14:12 17:7, 9 question 9:16, 21 63:11 73:25 received 35:7, 13 63:12 receive 46:12 reporting 11:21 role 14:12 17:7, 9 question 9:16, 12 63:11 73:25 receives 46:12 represent 39:15 roll 24:25 26:22 70:1 role 15:5 35:10 questionable 42:14 recollection 57:22 recommendation representing 44:13 rolls 18:5, 7 49:17 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 representing 44:13 room 19:2 quicker 13:22 record 81:11 record 81:11 record 81:11 rouging 37:12 quotations 66:13 record 81:11 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 saw 29:4 quest 5:20 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibility 21:23 screen 57:12 58:7 9:11, 12 30:17, 25 refers 57:22 responsibility 24:22 screen 57:12 58:7 9:11, 12 30:17, 25 <t< td=""><td>< 0 ></td><td>recall 14:11 41:2</td><td> ·</td><td>robertloigman@quinn</td></t<>	< 0 >	recall 14:11 41:2	·	robertloigman@quinn
quarterly 20:15 receive 20:15 22:21 Reporter 2:14, 15 role 14:12 17:7, 9 28:22 27:20, 24 28:7 40:11, 10:1 65:25 77:13 31:10 33:1 66:20 question 9:16, 21 20 42:9 44:23 50:3 81:2, 6, 8 roll 24:25 26:22 10:8, 16, 20 11:1 20 42:9 44:23 50:3 received 35:7, 13 REPORTER'S 7:21 31:10 33:1 66:20 question 9:16, 21 63:11 73:25 received 35:7, 13 reporting 11:21 roll 24:25 26:22 questionable 42:14 63:11 73:25 receives 46:12 representing 14:13 roll 15:5 35:10 questionable 42:14 recommendation representing 44:13 room 19:2 rouge 5:10 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 record 81:11 record 81:11 record 81:12 required 37:12 Rouge 5:10 quotations 66:13 quotations 66:13 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 save 64:8 68:10 quotations 66:13 refered 31:15 70:17 refered 31:15 70:17 responsibility 27:3 schedule 76:25 Rand' 52:4 18:5 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibility 24:22 screen 57:12 58:7 screen 57:12 58:7 11:13 49:16 71:10 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22	-	57:21	1 -	U U U
28:22 27:20, 24 28:7 40:11, 10:1 65:25 77:13 31:10 33:1 66:20 question 9:16, 21 20 42:9 44:23 50:3 81:2, 6, 8 81:2, 6, 8 71:13 71:15 71:15 71:15 71:17 71:5 61:21 63:11 73:25 received 35:7, 13 71:16 71:17 71:5 61:21 71:17 71:5 71:17 71:5 71:17 71:5 71:17 71:5 71:17 71:5 71:17 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:18 71:17 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18 71:18		receive 20:15 22:21	-	role 14:12 17:7, 9
10:8, 16, 20 11:1 received 35:7, 13 REPORTER'S 7:21 31:7 54:9, 19 21:7 27:5 61:21 63:11 73:25 reporting 11:21 rolled 15:5 35:10 questionable 42:14 receives 46:12 receives 46:12 represent 39:15 rolled 15:5 35:10 67:1 receives 46:12 receives 46:12 represent 39:15 rolled 15:5 749:17 67:1 recommendation representing 44:13 representing 44:13 room 19:2 Rouge 5:10 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 representing 44:13 Regues 5:10 76:14 77:4, 5 80:8 18:18 record 81:11 represent 38:3 required 37:12 RSA 1:22 81:21 QUINN 3:22 record 81:11 record 81:13 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 sale 15:3 29:5, 11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 respectfully 27:3 respect 17:8 says 64:8 68:10 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibilities 11:16 33:19 sceldul 76:25 sceldul 76:25 <td></td> <td>27:20, 24 28:7 40:11,</td> <td>_ <u>+</u> ·</td> <td></td>		27:20, 24 28:7 40:11,	_ <u>+</u> ·	
21:7 27:5 61:21 63:11 73:25 reporting 11:21 rolled 15:5 35:10 questionable 42:14 receives 46:12 representative 52:4 rolled 15:5 35:10 questionable 42:14 receives 46:12 representative 52:4 rolled 15:5 35:10 questionable 42:14 receives 46:12 representative 52:4 rolled 15:7 49:17 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 representative 52:4 roughly 41:14 roughly 41:14 roughly 41:14 roughly 41:14 Rouge 5:10 roughly 41:14 Royal 21:2 Royal	question 9:16, 21		81:2, 6, 8	roll 24:25 26:22
63:13, 17 65:10 69:2 receives 46:12 represent 39:15 rolls 18:5, 7 49:17 questionable 42:14 67:1 Recess 76:1 representative 52:4 53:4 room 19:2 QUESTIONS 7:16 recommendation represents 8:25 Rouge 5:10 room 19:2 57:25 58:23 75:18 recommendations represents 8:25 Rouge 5:10 roughly 41:14 Royal 21:2 Royal 2	10:8, 16, 20 11:1	received 35:7, 13	REPORTER'S 7:21	31:7 54:9, 19
questionable 42:14 67:1Recess 76:1 recollection 57:22 recommendationrepresentative 52:4 53:4roll-up 24:1 room 19:2QUESTIONS 7:16 9:15 10:13 34:16 57:25 58:23 75:18 76:14 77:4, 5 80:8 quicker 13:22 QUINN 3:22Recemmendations 18:18 record 81:11 record 2:12represents 8:25 roughly 41:14 REQUEST 7:7 research 38:3Rouge 5:10 roughly 41:14QUINN 3:22 QUOTATION 7:22 quotations 66:13 QUOTE 7:23 59:9record 81:11 refered 31:15 70:17 refer 13:8,17 REFERENCED 6:11 refered 31:15 70:17 refer 13:8,17 refer 15:25 70:13 refers 15:25 70:13 refers 15:25 70:13 refers 57:22research 38:3 respect 17:8 22:6,10 52:11 says 64:8 68:10 respectively 17:23 scope 47:7 68:12,23 scope 47:7 68:12,23 sc	21:7 27:5 61:21	63:11 73:25	reporting 11:21	rolled 15:5 35:10
67:1 recollection 57:22 53:4 room 19:2 QUESTIONS 7:16 recommendation 16:22 56:3 72:11 representing 44:13 Rouge 5:10 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 recommendations 16:22 56:3 72:11 represents 8:25 Rouge 5:10 76:14 77:4, 5 80:8 18:18 record 81:11 record 2:12 required 37:12 State 15:3 29:5, 11 QUINN 3:22 recorded 2:12 recorded 2:12 61:5 sale 15:3 29:5, 11 QUOTATION 7:22 refer 13:8, 17 refered 31:15 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refered 31:15 70:17 refered 31:15 70:17 respectfully 27:3 save 64:8 68:10 < R > REFERENCED 6:11 respectively 17:23 respect 17:23 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibilities 11:16 33:19 71:10 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scrutiny 62:4 RAVER 5:20 Registered 2:13 81:5 responsibility 73:9 sec 53:8 58:21 Registered 2:13 81:5 reitrated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16	63:13, 17 65:10 69:2	receives 46:12	represent 39:15	rolls 18:5, 7 49:17
QUESTIONS 7:16 recommendation representing 44:13 Rouge 5:10 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 representing 44:13 Rouge 5:10 57:25 58:23 75:18 recommendations 16:22 56:3 72:11 represents 8:25 Royal 21:2 9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 recommendations 18:18 represents 8:25 Royal 21:2 9:16 13:22 9:17 record 81:11 recorded 2:12 61:5 < \$> 9:00TATION 7:22 recorded 2:12 recorded 31:13 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 9:00TE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 25:12 33:2 65:6 saw 29:4 REFERENCED 6:11 referred 31:15 70:17 respectfully 27:3 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 9:11, 12 30:17, 25 71:10 responsibilities 11:16 33:19 11:13 49:16 71:10 responsibility 24:22 scrutiny 62:4 RAVER 5:20 Refered 57:22 regardless 22:19 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 Registered 2:13 81:5 reitrated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16	questionable 42:14	Recess 76:1	representative 52:4	roll-up 24: <i>1</i>
9:15 10:13 34:16 16:22 56:3 72:11 represents 8:25 roughly 41:14 57:25 58:23 75:18 recommendations REQUEST 7:7 Royal 21:2 quicker 13:22 record 81:11 51:10 56:7, 14, 22 61:5 < \$> QUOTATION 7:22 recorded 2:12 61:5 < \$> sale 15:3 29:5, 11 quotations 66:13 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 0:14 says 64:8 68:10 REFERENCED 6:11 respectfully 27:3 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 refers 15:25 70:13 respectively 17:23 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 31:13 49:16 71:10 33:19 60:11 screen 57:12	67:1	recollection 57:22	53:4	room 19:2
57:25 58:23 75:18 recommendations REQUEST 7:7 Royal 21:2 76:14 77:4,5 80:8 18:18 required 37:12 RSA 1:22 81:21 quicker 13:22 record 81:11 51:10 56:7, 14, 22 61:5 < S > QUOTATION 7:22 recorded 2:12 research 38:3 sale 15:3 29:5, 11 quotations 66:13 reduced 81:13 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 25:12 33:2 65:6 saw 29:4 RAM 15:4 18:5 referring 30:4 respectfully 27:3 scheduled 76:12, 23 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibilities 11:16 33:19 60:11 Rand's 29:6 REFLECT 7:23 regardless 22:19 scrutiny 60:11 RAVER 5:20 Registered 2:13 81:5 restructuring	QUESTIONS 7:16	recommendation	representing 44:13	Rouge 5:10
76:14 77:4, 5 80:8 18:18 required 37:12 RSA 1:22 81:21 quicker 13:22 QUINN 3:22 record 81:11 51:10 56:7, 14, 22 61:5 < S > quotations 66:13 recorded 2:12 research 38:3 sale 15:3 29:5, 11 QUOTATION 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:17 70:17 respectfully 27:3 save 64:8 68:10 RAM 15:4 18:5 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibilities 11:16 screen 57:12 58:7 31:13 49:16 71:10 33:19 60:11 60:11 screen 57:12 58:7	9:15 10:13 34:16	16:22 56:3 72:11	represents 8:25	roughly 41:14
quicker 13:22 record 81:11 51:10 56:7, 14, 22 QUINN 3:22 record 2:12 61:5 sale 15:3 29:5, 11 quotations 66:13 reduced 81:13 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 < R > REFERENCED 6:11 referred 31:15 70:17 respectfully 27:3 says 64:8 68:10 < R > refers 15:25 70:13 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibilities 11:16 screen 57:12 58:7 31:13 49:16 71:10 33:19 60:11 screen 57:12 58:7 RAVER 5:20 REFLECT 7:23 regardless 22:19 responsibility 24:22 scrutiny 62:4 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 Registered 2:13 81:5 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 Registered 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16	57:25 58:23 75:18	recommendations	REQUEST 7:7	Royal 21:2
QUINN 3:22 recorded 2:12 61:5 <8> QUOTATION 7:22 recovered 73:22 research 38:3 sale 15:3 29:5, 11 quotations 66:13 refer 13:8, 17 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 52:11 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 25:12 33:2 65:6 saw 29:4 <r> REFERENCED 6:11 referred 31:15 70:17 referring 30:4 respectfully 27:3 refers 15:25 70:13 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibilities 11:16 screen 57:12 58:7 31:13 49:16 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scroll 64:5 RAVER 5:20 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 regardless 22:19 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 Registered 2:13 81:5 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16</r>	76:14 77:4, 5 80:8	18:18	required 37:12	RSA 1:22 81:21
QUOTATION 7:22 quotations 66:13 QUOTE 7:23 59:9 recovered 73:22 reduced 81:13 refer 13:8, 17 REFERENCED 6:11 referred 31:15 70:17 referring 30:4 refers 15:25 70:13 31:13 49:16 research 38:3 respect 17:8 22:6, 10 25:12 33:2 65:6 sale 15:3 29:5, 11 52:11 saw 29:4 Rand 15:4 18:5 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 31:13 49:16 refers 15:25 70:13 71:10 refers 15:25 70:13 71:10 responsibilities 11:16 33:19 screen 57:12 58:7 60:11 Rand's 29:6 range 73:19 RAVER 5:20 RDR 1:22 81:21 reach 56:1 REFLECT 7:23 regardless 22:19 responsibility 24:22 75:14 42:23 screen 57:12 58:7 60:11 RDR 1:22 81:21 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 see 37:9, 13, 16	1	record 81:11	51:10 56:7, 14, 22	
quotations 66:13 QUOTE 7:23 59:9reduced 81:13 refer 13:8, 17 REFERENCED 6:11 referred 31:15 70:17 referring 30:4 refers 15:25 70:13 31:13 49:16respect 17:8 22:6, 10 25:12 33:2 65:6 69:1452:11 saw 29:4 says 64:8 68:10 scheduled 76:25 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 screen 57:12 58:7 60:11Rand 15:4 18:5 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 31:13 49:16refers 15:25 70:13 71:10respectfully 27:3 responsibilities 11:16 33:19scheduled 76:25 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 screen 57:12 58:7 60:11Rand's 29:6 range 73:19 REFLECT 7:23 regardless 22:19 Fo:20REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 25:14 42:23 responsible 73:9 restate 21:7 36:19scroll 64:5 see 53:8 58:21 59:13 64:11 68:14 70:13, 15 71:12RAVER 5:20 RDR 1:22 81:21 reach 56:1Registered 2:13 81:5 reiterated 38:5restructuring 43:23seek 37:9, 13, 16	-			
QUOTE 7:23 59:9 refer 13:8, 17 25:12 33:2 65:6 saw 29:4 < R > Rand 15:4 18:5 referring 30:4 respectfully 27:3 sope 47:7 68:12, 23 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 refers 15:25 70:13 respectfully 27:3 sope 47:7 68:12, 23 31:13 49:16 71:10 33:19 responsibilities 11:16 33:19 screen 57:12 58:7 RANd's 29:6 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scroll 60:11 RAVER 5:20 REgriftees 57:22 responsibility 24:23 scroll 60:11 Registered 2:13 81:5 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 50:20 Registered 2:13 81:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16	-			-
< R > REFERENCED 6:11 69:14 says 64:8 68:10 < R > referred 31:15 70:17 respectfully 27:3 scheduled 76:25 Rand 15:4 18:5 refers 15:25 70:13 responsibilities 11:16 screen 57:12 58:7 31:13 49:16 71:10 responsibility 24:22 screen 57:12 58:7 Rand's 29:6 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scroll 64:5 range 73:19 refresh 57:22 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 RAVER 5:20 50:20 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 Registered 2:13 81:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16	1			
< R > referred 31:15 70:17 respectfully 27:3 scheduled 76:25 Rand 15:4 18:5 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 30:4 refers 15:25 70:13 respectfully 27:3 scheduled 76:25 31:13 49:16 refers 15:25 70:13 respectfully 27:3 scheduled 76:25 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 Rand's 29:6 refersh 57:22 responsibilities 11:16 33:19 screen 57:12 58:7 RAVER 5:20 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scroll 64:5 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 59:13 64:11 68:14 ro:13, 15 71:12 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16	QUOTE 7:23 59:9			
Rand 15:4 18:5 referring 30:4 respectively 17:23 scope 47:7 68:12,23 29:11, 12 30:17, 25 refers 15:25 70:13 respectively 17:23 scope 47:7 68:12, 23 31:13 49:16 71:10 33:19 60:11 screen 57:12 58:7 Rand's 29:6 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scroll 60:11 RAVER 5:20 refresh 57:22 25:14 42:23 scrutiny 62:4 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 70:13, 15 71:12 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16				
29:11, 12 30:17, 25 31:13 49:16 Rand's 29:6 range 73:19 RAVER 5:20 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 reach 56:1 reach 56:1 reach 56:1 reach 56:1 reach 56:1				
31:13 49:16 71:10 33:19 60:11 Rand's 29:6 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scroll 64:5 range 73:19 refresh 57:22 25:14 42:23 scroll 64:5 RAVER 5:20 regardless 22:19 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 70:13, 15 71:12 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16 16		e		· ·
Rand's 29:6 REFLECT 7:23 responsibility 24:22 scroll 64:5 range 73:19 refresh 57:22 25:14 42:23 scrutiny 62:4 RAVER 5:20 regardless 22:19 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 70:13, 15 71:12 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16				
range 73:19 refresh 57:22 25:14 42:23 scrutiny 62:4 RAVER 5:20 regardless 22:19 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 70:13, 15 71:12 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16				
RAVER 5:20 regardless 22:19 responsible 73:9 see 53:8 58:21 RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 70:13, 15 71:12 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16				
RDR 1:22 81:21 50:20 restate 21:7 36:19 59:13 64:11 68:14 reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 70:13, 15 71:12 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16	8			
reach 56:1 Registered 2:13 81:5 44:7 70:13, 15 71:12 reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16		0		
reiterated 38:5 restructuring 43:23 seek 37:9, 13, 16				
0 , ,	reach 56:1	8		
44:10, 21, 24 46:1, 12		reiterated 38:5	e	seek 57:9, 13, 16
			44:10, 21, 24 46:1, 12	

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 92 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

secking37:5 seckingsigned13:331:15 56:8spoke76:17 spokensupporting11:24 16:6, 1820:2, 521:1,seen31:5 56:856:8sindlar36:25 43:1743:1749:2050:23 46:1857:1656:856:825:928:6029:15, 24 22:922:9 23:4022:5928:6029:15, 24 22:923:928:6029:15, 24 22:923:1523:15, 12, 33 23:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:1223:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:523:11:11:1223:1523:11:11:1223:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:1523:15 <td< th=""><th>Deposition of Torrey Entireton</th><th></th><th></th><th>Iginand Capital Management, L.F.</th></td<>	Deposition of Torrey Entireton			Iginand Capital Management, L.F.
secks64:1067:1256:8spoken14:916:6, 1220:2, 521:1, 2seen31:551:22significant36:25standpoint44:12922:15, 2024:9, 25SEERY5:19similar75:1similar51:15STANG31:1451:1830:2, 230:2, 230:1, 244:1222, 2422:1, 20similarsi:19, 219:10, 18, 3start ing36:2053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:1053:10				11.24
seen 31:5significant 36:25sinulpoint 44:12922:15, 2024:9, 25 $64:1, 2$ $43:17$ $49:20$ $50:23$ $46:18$ $25:9$ $28:6$ $29:5, 24$ SEERY 5:19similar 75:1similar 75:1starting 36:20 $53:10$ $56:15$ $63:2$ sell $55:27, 23$ 20 $16:7$ $17:2, 20$ $66:9$ $75:3, 10$ $56:15$ $63:2$ sent 19:24 20 $16:7$ $17:2, 20$ $66:9$ $70:25$ $75:3, 10$ $56:15$ $63:2$ sertes 9:15 $30:12$ $31:4, 7:16, 15$ $53:10$ $56:15$ $63:2$ $56:15$ $53:10$ $56:22$ serve 11:23 $33:3, 7, 12, 17$ $34:5, 77, 11$ $35:5, 15$ $57:7, 11$ $56:19$ $51:22$ $52:12$ $26:22$ $22:29, 11$ $51:12$ serve 11:20 $55:5, 15$ $37:7, 11$ $39:1, 5, 22$ $40:1, 13$ $51:12$ $51:13$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $52:29, 21:3$ $51:15$ $51:15$ $51:12, 13$ $52:29, 21:5$ $51:15$ $52:29, 21:5$ $51:2, 13:15$ $52:29, 21:5$ $51:2, 13:15$ $52:29, 21:5, 15:7, 1552:29, 21:5, 15:7, 1552:29, 21:5, 15:7, 1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 11:57:1552:29, 22:9, 1$		e		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-	
SFERY5:1967:3STANG3:143:0:2,83:154:12sequated21:15,20,similar75:1start8:123:14.518:123:14.5seling55:21,232311:511:2,6,6,9,12start8:1223:11.512:2,6,9,12sent19:2418:1219:4,1520:33start8:125:4.13:12.0serve31:2035:5,1537:7,1126:6,1127:6,155:4.15:4.48:13,188:8:23serve11:2333:3,7,12,1734:53:5.15:4.14:3.188:8:23surprised10:22settled4:2049:7,51.224:7,17,2124:6,223:1.2Strattement20:15Systems8:7settled4:2049:7,51.224:7,17,2124:6,223:1.45:1.111:18Systems8:1.7settled4:2049:7,51.25:2,15:2.17:1.23:2.211:19,1212:2,218:1.111:1611:1222:7,9,1667:1.568:1.5,2077:1.817:123:2.211:19,1211:19,1212:1222:4,51.05,12,17sit60:1.53:1.16:1.211:19,1213:13,45:2413:2112:1445:1,630:14,1631:2445:1,631:2414:19,1213:1413:12,17sit33:1073:1074:776:230:14,1633:17,1176:18,19,1213:1413:1413:16,1256:1251:16 <td></td> <td>e</td> <td></td> <td></td>		e		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			46:18	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SEERY 5:19	67:3	STANG 3:14 5:18	30:2, 8 39:15 44:12
sell55:21, 232311:512:3, 6, 9, 12, 20state $28:22$ 43:16 $64:2$ $69:11$ $74:22$ senting53:122016:717:2, 20 $51:4$ $66:7$ $75:3, 10$ supposed $26:21$ sentence59:13 $64:7$ $22:25$ $23:4, 8$ $25:8$ $51:4$ $65:14$ $69:4$ $81:23$ $51:12$ $71:10$ $26:6, 11$ $27:6, 15$ $30:12$ $33:3, 7, 12, 17$ $34:5$ $51:42$ $81:8$ $81:8$ $81:8$ served $31:20$ $35:5, 15$ $37:7, 11$ $55:7, 17, 21.46:6, 22$ $51:7, 21.4$ $81:7$ $81:7$ $81:7$ settle $44:11$ $47:11, 1, 21.48:9, 24$ $51:42:17$ $56:19$ $57:2, 14$ $81:7$ $81:7$ $51:82:12, 22:2, 23$ $51:15$ $51:12:17:15$ settle $44:11$ $47:11, 12, 12.44:6, 22$ $51:42:17$ $51:42:17, 21:24:60:27$ $71:12$ $71:12:22:23$ $71:12:22:23:23:33:17:22:23:33:17:23:33:17:2171:12:22:23:33:17:23:22:23:33:17:23:33:17:2171:12:22:23:33:17:23:22:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:33:17:23:$	segregated 21:15, 20,	similar 75:1	start 8:12	47:18 48:7 50:22
selling $53:12$ $20:16:7:17:2, 20$ $66:9:70:25$ $75:3, 10$ sentence $59:13$ $64:7$ $71:2, 20$ $54:14:69:4$ $65:14:69:4$ $50:14:69:4$ sertence $59:13$ $64:7$ $71:2, 17:32:4, 19$ $52:12:52:4$ $54:11:55:4$ $54:11:55:4$ serve 1:23 $30:12:31:12:32:4, 19$ $25:5:1:26:32$ $54:11:55:4$ $54:11:55:4$ $48:3, 18:58:23$ serve 1:23 $30:12:31:12:32:4, 19$ $25:1:26:32$ sworn $8:6$ serve 1:23 $35:5, 15:37:7, 11$ $35:5, 15:37:7, 11$ $51:42:25:24:3$ setted $64:20$ $35:5, 15:37:7, 11$ $51:19:55:21:4:58:1$ $51:29:29:11:16:123$ settled $64:20$ $49:7:51:8:53:21$ $51:19:55:2, 14:58:1$ $71:12$ settle $64:20$ $49:7:51:16:52:14:58:1$ $71:12$ $71:22:21:3:81:13$ settle $64:20$ $49:7:51:8:53:21$ $51:19:55:2, 14:58:1$ $71:12:21:6:23$ settle $64:20$ $51:4:17, 21:2, 24:60:8, 15:20$ $71:12:21:6:23$ $71:12:21:6:23:52:2, 23:51:15:55:52:2:2:55:53:52:1:55:53:52:1:55:53:52:1:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:51:55:53:51:1:6:51:55:53:51:1:6:52:55:55:53:50:1:6:1:55:51:55:55:55:50:50:1:6:51:72:51:55:55:55:55:55:55:55:55:55:55:55:55:$	22, 24 22:1, 20	sir 8:19, 21 9:10, 18,	starting 36:20	53:10 56:15 63:2
selling $53:12$ $20 \cdot 16.7 \cdot 17:2, 20$ $66:9 \cdot 70:25$ stated $75:3, 10$ supposedsentence $59:13 \cdot 64:7$ $18:1/2 \cdot 19:4, 15 \cdot 20:3$ stated $55:14 \cdot 69:4$ statement $28:27 \cdot 20 \cdot 65:17 \cdot 22:53 \cdot 15$ series $9:15$ $30:12 \cdot 31:12 \cdot 32:4, 19$ $23:33, 7, 12, 17 \cdot 34:5$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ statement $28:27 \cdot 85:4$ statement $28:27 \cdot 85:4$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ statement $28:27 \cdot 85:4$ statement $28:27 \cdot 85:4$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ statement $28:27 \cdot 85:4$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ $51:12 \cdot 25:4$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ $51:12 \cdot 25:4$ $54:11 \cdot 55:4$ $51:12 \cdot 25:4$ $55:12 \cdot 25:21 \cdot 25:23$ $50:12 \cdot 25:4$ $51:12 \cdot 21:7 \cdot 15:7$ $51:12 \cdot 25:4$ $51:12 \cdot 21:7 \cdot 15:7$ $51:12 \cdot 25:4$ $51:12 \cdot 21:7 \cdot 15:7 \cdot 15$ $51:12 \cdot 25:4$ $51:12 \cdot 55:12 \cdot 22:7 \cdot 15:7 \cdot 1551:12 \cdot 25:451:12 \cdot 21:7 \cdot 15:7 \cdot 15:7$	sell 55:21, 23	23 11:5 12:3, 6, 9, 12,	state 28:22 43:16	64:2 69:11 74:22
sent 19:2418:1219:4, 1520:3stated 65:1/4supposed 26:21sentence 59:1322:2523:4, 825:8statement 28:21stare 13:2315:12series 9:1530:1231:2, 32:4, 19statement 28:21stare 13:2315:12servel 31:2033:3, 7, 12, 1734:525:161:23surprised 10:22serve 41:2333:3, 7, 12, 1734:525:161:23Systems 81:7sette 44:1135:1, 5, 37:7, 11STATES 1:161:23Systems 81:7settle 64:2049:751:853:21Stenographically1:20settle 64:2049:751:853:21Stenographically1:7>settle 64:2049:751:853:21Stream 21:1122:16settle 64:2049:751:853:21Stream 21:1122:1613:1423:2524:359:14, 7, 21, 2460:8;Stream 21:1122:1614:2042:7, 9, 1667:1568:15, 20Street 4:105:9take 44:2441:2044:2, 46:1271:1, 59,strake 20:922:5takes 20:922:543:3, 11, 2144:5, 1870:15, 18, 2171:5strike 27:4takes 20:922:543:3, 21, 55, 10, 15, 191371:771:271:271:222:48:649:11, 25sit 40:1751:535:1162:150:3, 9, 1651:535:1762:132:1535:1751:2160:15sit 40:1440:37171:18	selling 53:12	20 16:7 17:2, 20	66:9 70:25	75:3, 10
sentence59:1364:722:2523:4, 825:8statement28:21Sure13:2315:1271:1026:6, 1127:6, 1554:1155:454:1155:454:1354:2154:1654:21serve11:2330:1231:1232:4, 1954:1155:454:1654:1755:454:1655:17serve11:2035:5, 1537:7, 1135:525:125:126:23Systems81:7settel64:1045:13, 17, 2146:6, 2235:3, 1535:7, 1535:1135:1135:1135:1135:11settle64:1045:13, 17, 2146:6, 2235:1135:1125:1121:1122:1635:7175:22, 2316:1116:1116:1275:22, 2316:1116:1275:22, 2317:1575:22, 2317:1575:22, 2317:1575:22, 2317:1575:22, 2317:1616:1217:1275:22, 2316:1116:1217:1275:22, 2316:1617:1316:1517:1275:22, 2316:1616:1217:1317:1217:1217:1217:1317:1417:13, 12:1217:1417:13, 12:1217:1417:1417:1417:1417:13, 12:1217:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1517:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417:1417	8	-		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
series $9:15$ $30:12$ $31:12$ $32:4, 19$ statements $20:15$ surprised $10:22$ served $31:20$ $33:3, 7, 12, 17$ $34:5$ $35:7, 12, 17$ $35:5, 15$ $37:7, 11$ $STATES$ $1:1$ $61:23$ settle $44:11$ $47:11, 12$ $46:6, 22$ $1:20$ $21:3$ $81:13$ $Stemographically$ $1:20$ $2:13$ $81:13$ settle $64:20$ $49:7$ $51:8$ $53:21$ $STIPULATIONS$ 19 $22:9, 11$ $57:15$ settlement $9:4$ $12:17$ $56:19$ $57:2, 14$ $58:1$ $71:12$ $75:22, 23$ $75:22, 23$ settle $64:20$ $49:7$ $51:4, 26:10, 11, 29:16$ $76:1$ $81:9, 12$ $76:1$ $81:9, 12$ $41:20$ $42:7, 9, 16$ $61:3, 70:10$ $67:15$ $68:15, 20$ $76:1$ $81:9, 12$ $76:1$ $81:9, 12$ $41:20$ $42:7, 9, 16$ $67:15$ $68:15, 20$ $81:42$ $57:19, 14:43$ $81:6$ $76:1$ $81:9, 12$ $41:20$ $42:7, 9, 16$ $70:15, 18, 21$ $71:5, 9$ $81:42, 10$ $59:14, 10, 25$ $76:1$ $81:9, 12$ $50:3, 9, 16$ $51:12, 17$ sit $60:15$ sit $81:3$ $32:15$ $38:4, 7$ $40:7$ $52:21$ $53:16$ $56:4, 16, 21$ sit $31:32:17$ $31:16, 22$ $81:43$ $32:15$ $51:7, 16$ $51:22, 17$ sit sit $81:42:6$ $81:24:42$ $66:12$ $51:7, 1651:22, 17:18sit81$		-		
serve 11:23 $33:3, 7, 12, 17$ $34:5$ $25:1$ $26:23$ sworn $8:6$ served $31:20$ $35:5, 15$ $37:7, 11$ $STATES$ $1:1$ $61:23$ $Systems$ $81:7$ set 27:20 $63:5$ $39:1, 5, 22$ $40:1, 13$ $Stemographically$ $1:20$ $2:13$ $81:13$ $stem artsingstiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdystiflicholdy<$				
served $31:20$ $35:5, 15 \ 37:7, 11$ STATES $1:1 \ 61:23$ Systems $81:7$ set $27:20 \ 63:5$ $39:1, 5, 22 \ 40:1, 13$ $5tenographically$ $:20 \ 2:13 \ 81:13$ $T >$ settle $44:11$ $47:11, 12 \ 48:9, 24$ $1:20 \ 2:13 \ 81:13$ $take \ 15:10 \ 20:11, 16, 19 \ 22:9, 11 \ 57:25 \ 22:2 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:5 \ 23 \ 22:5 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22:7 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22 \ 23 \ 22$				-
set 27:20 $63:5$ $76:10, 19$ $39:1, 5, 22$ $40:1, 13$ $45:13, 17, 21$ Stenographically $1:20$ $< T >$ take 15:10settle 44:11 settle 64:20 $45:13, 17, 21$ $46:6, 22$ $49:7$ $51:8$ $53:21$ $51:14$ $51:10$ $< T >$ take 15:10 $< T >$ take 15:10settle 64:20 $49:7$ $51:8$ $53:21$ $51:4$ $51:10$ $51:12$ $71:2$ $< T >$ take 15:10 $< T >$ take 15:13 $< T >$ take 15:10 $< T >$ take 20:9 $< T >$ <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>				
76:10, 1945:13, 17, 2146:6, 221:20 $2:I3$ $81:I3$ $$ settle44:1147:11, 1248:9, 24stewardship11:18take15:10 $20:11, 16, 19$ settle44:1251:12, 1756:1957:2, 1458:157:22, 1458:157:22, 23take15:10 $20:11, 16, 19$ settle44:1251:1, 21, 12, 24:3059:14, 17, 21, 2460:8, 17:12stream $21:11$ $22:16$ $22:2, 11$ $57:22, 23$ 13:14 $23:25$ $24:3$ 59:14, 17, 21, 2460:8, 15stream $21:11$ $22:16$ $75:22, 23$ 41:2042:7, 9, 1667:1568:15, 20stream $21:11$ $22:16$ $29:1$ $76:1$ $81:9, 12$ 43:3, 11, 2144:5, 1870:15, 18, 2171:5, 9, 17:7stream $47:23$ stream $21:24$ $14:4$ $43:3, 11, 21$ $44:5, 16$ 13 $73:10$ $74:7$ $76:9$ $77:40:7$ $76:15, 13$ $22:4$ $8:6$ $9:12, 25$ sit 60:15 $35:11$ $66:15$ $8:14:33:13$ $34:4, 24$ $22:4$ $8:6$ $8:17, 21, 24$ $8iep$ $59:5$ $8ibpect$ $35:12, 35:16$ $56:4, 49:12, 24$ $8i:14$ $21:33:13$ $34:4, 21:33:13$ $24:5$ $9:12$ $9:24$ $8i:4$ $9:12, 23:32:12$ $8ibpect$ $8i:14$ $8i:13, 32:15$ $8i:14$ $22:4$				Systems 81:7
settle $44:11$ $47:11, 12$ $48:9, 24$ stewardship $11:18$ take $15:10$ $20:11, 16, 19$ settle $64:20$ $49:7$ $51:8$ $53:21$ $51:14$ $51:19$ $57:2, 14$ $58:1$ $7:12$ $7:12$ $7:22, 23$ $13:14$ $23:25$ $24:3$ $59:14, 17, 21, 24$ $60:8, 29:1$ $7:12$ $7:12$ $7:22, 23$ $13:14$ $23:25$ $24:3$ $59:14, 17, 21, 24$ $60:8, 29:1$ $7:12$ $7:12$ $7:22, 23$ $41:20$ $42:7, 9, 16$ $67:15$ $65:15, 20$ $5tream$ $21:11$ $22:16$ $22:5$ $41:20$ $47:2, 4, 5, 17$ $77:2$ $77:2$ $5tream$ $21:2, 17$ $76:18, 19, 12$ $43:3, 11, 21$ $44:5, 18$ $70:15, 18, 21$ $71:7, 92:1, 23$ $32:12$ $38:15$ $66:12$ $20:4$ $47:2, 4, 5, 17$ $77:2$ $77:2$ $51:12, 17$ $5ttuation$ $51:5$ $51:17, 19, 21, 23$ $32:12$ $50:3, 9, 16$ $51:12, 17$ $5ttuation$ $51:5$ $51:14, 21, 72:3$ $32:15$ $38:21$ $57:18$ $52:21$ $53:16$ $56:4, 16, 52$ $5ttuation$ $51:5$ $5ttuation$ $51:2, 12, 17$ $5ttuation$ $51:2, 12, 17$ $52:21$ $53:16$ $51:2, 17, 16$ $5ttuation$ $51:5$ $5ttuation$ $51:2, 12, 17$ $5ttuation$ $51:16, 12, 12$ $52:1$ $51:2, 12, 12$ $51:6, 12, 12$ $51:6, 12, 12$ $51:6, 12, 12$ $51:6, 12, 12$ $51:6, 12, 12$ $51:6:6:20$ $50:15$ $50:15, 10$				
settled $49:7$ $51:8$ $53:21$ $511PULATIONS$ 19 $22:9, 11$ $57:15$ $31:14$ $23:25$ $24:3$ $56:19$ $57:2, 14$ $58:1$ $7:12$ $7:12$ $7:12$ $13:14$ $23:25$ $24:3$ $59:14, 17, 21, 24$ $66:8, 15$ $29:1$ $7:12$ $7:12$ $7:12$ $40:2, 6, 12, 16, 20$ 14 $64:12$ $65:12, 13$ $51:62 = 2:10, 11$ $29:1$ $51:9$ $51:9$ $7:12$ $41:20$ $42:7, 9, 16$ $67:15$ $68:15, 20$ $51:eet 4:10$ $5:9$ $7:14eet 44:24$ $66:12$ $43:3, 11, 21$ $44:5, 18$ $70:15, 18, 21$ $71:5, 9$ $51:teet 4:10$ $51:9$ $7:14eet 44:24$ $66:12$ $43:3, 11, 21$ $44:5, 18$ $70:15, 18, 21$ $71:5, 9$ $51:teet 4:10$ $51:9$ $71:41eet 24:12.4$ $14:4$ $45:5, 10, 15, 19$ $46:0, 15$ $51:10$ $71:9, 21, 23$ $32:12$ 18 $18:13, 32:15$ $50:3, 9, 16$ $51:12, 17$ $51:4$ $51:16$ $51:5$ $51:16:22$ $18:14 = 3:22.15$ $38:21 = 57:18$ 24 $59:12$ $60:7, 16$ $51:yyiew$ $71:3$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:22$ $51:16:$	-			
settlement $9:4$ $12:17$ $56:19$ $57:2, 14$ $58:1$ $7:12$ $75:22, 23$ $13:14$ $23:25$ $24:3$ $59:14, 17, 21, 24$ $60:8,$ $29:1$ $14 cender A 4:24$ $66:12$ $27:1, 17$ $28:1, 8, 11$ 13 $61:3, 10$ $62:10, 11,$ $29:1$ $14 cender A 4:24$ $66:12$ $40:2, 6, 12, 16, 20$ 14 $64:12$ $65:12, 13$ $5tream$ $47:23$ $5tream$ $47:23$ $41:20$ $42:7, 9, 16$ $67:15$ $68:15, 20$ $5treat$ $51:29$ $take$ $20:1$ $43:3, 11, 21$ $44:5, 18$ $70:15, 18, 21$ $71:2$ $29:18, 20$ $20:1$ $take$ $31:13$ $52:2, 15, 10, 15, 19$ $46:6, 17$ $77:2$ $29:18, 20$ $30:14, 16, 16$ $38:4, 7$ $40:7$ $76:18, 19, 12$ 22 $48:6$ $49:11, 25$ $5it$ $60:15$ $51:12, 17$ $5it$ $51:12, 17$ $5it$ $51:16, 21$ $35:11$ $62:2$ 18 $12:17$ $38:21$ $57:18$ 24 $59:12$ $60:7, 16$ $5kyriew$ $71:3$ $5tuff$ $10:14$ $tellig$ $74:10$ $terme$ $32:15$ $52:21$ $53:16$ $56:4, 16, 18$ $5002:16:25$ $5002:16:25$ $50:16:12$ $50:16:17$ $50:4$ $61:2, 20$ $50:16:12$ $50:4$ $61:25$ $50:4$ $51:20$ $50:4$ $51:26$ $50:25$ $50:12:6:25$ $50:12:6:25$ $50:12:6:25$ $50:12:6:25$ $50:12:6:25$ $50:12:6:25$ $50:12:6:25$ $50:12:6:25 - 50:4, 51:2, 13$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13:14 23:25 24:3	59:14, 17, 21, 24 60:8,	stream 21:11 22:16	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27:1,17 28:1,8,11	13 61:3, 10 62:10, 11,	29:1	76:1 81:9, 12
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40:2, 6, 12, 16, 20	14 64:12 65:12,13	streams 47:23	takes 20:9 22:5
45:5, 10, 15, 1946:6,1373:1074:776:9structure28:5, 9team12:2414:47, 20, 2447:2, 4, 5, 17,77:229:18, 2030:14, 16,38:4, 740:776:15,2248:649:11, 25sit60:1517, 19, 21, 2332:121850:3, 9, 1651:12, 17situation51:535:1162:2tell8:1332:152459:1260:7, 16Skyview71:3stuff10:14telling74:102459:1260:7, 16Skyview71:3stuff10:14telling74:1061:1, 7, 1663:5, 21sleep59:5sub29:3tenure33:1334:4,64:9, 15, 2165:8smoothly27:7subject13:1440:32135:267:1168:17, 21, 24sold22:1728:462:4, 6succeed62:2063:3,2135:2settlements26:20sole18:824:6succeed ing63:4testified8:8testified8:8share50:166:17Sorry19:1121:3succeeding63:480:7TEXAS1:24:11, 192073:2474:259:465:25suggest43:1166:20Thank26:232:24sharing19:6South39:20Suite41:0, 185:9Thank26:232:24sheet26:454:9, 18,source<	41:20 42:7, 9, 16	67:15 68:15, 20	Street 4:10 5:9	talked 31:13 45:24
45:5, 10, 15, 1946:6,1373:1074:776:9structure28:5, 9team12:2414:47, 20, 2447:2, 4, 5, 17,77:229:18, 2030:14, 16,38:4, 740:776:15,2248:649:11, 25sit60:1517, 19, 21, 2332:121850:3, 9, 1651:12, 17situation51:535:1162:2tell8:1332:152459:1260:7, 16Skyview71:3stuff10:14telling74:102459:1260:7, 16Skyview71:3stuff10:14telling74:1061:1, 7, 1663:5, 21sleep59:5sub29:3tenure33:1334:4,64:9, 15, 2165:8smoothly27:7subject13:1440:32135:267:1168:17, 21, 24sold22:1728:462:4, 6succeed62:2063:3,2135:2settlements26:20sole18:824:6succeed ing63:4testified8:8testified8:8share50:166:17Sorry19:1121:3succeeding63:480:7TEXAS1:24:11, 192073:2474:259:465:25suggest43:1166:20Thank26:232:24sharing19:6South39:20Suite41:0, 185:9Thank26:232:24sheet26:454:9, 18,source<	43:3, 11, 21 44:5, 18	70:15, 18, 21 71:5, 9,	strike 27: <i>4</i>	talking 38:15 66:1
7, 20, 2447:2, 4, 5, 17, 2277:2 sit $60:15$ 29:18, 20 $30:14, 16,$ $17, 19, 21, 2338:4, 740:776:15,182248:649:11, 25sit 60:15sit 60:15sit 32:2235:1162:235:1138:4, 740:776:15,182459:1260:7, 1661:1, 7, 16Skyview 71:3sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep59:5sleep50:1sleep62:16sleep62:20sleep62:4, 6sleep62:16sleep62:16sleep62:16sleep62:16sleep62:16sleep62:16sleep62:16sleep62:16sleep71:10sleep71:18sleep71:18sleep71:18sleep71:18sleep71:18sleep71:7, 971:1871:16sleep71:12sleep71:12sleep71:16sleep71:7,$				e
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-			8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		_		-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
settlements 26:20 sole 18:8 24:6 succeed 62:20 63:3, testified 8:8 28:7 45:22 solely 68:16, 21 20 30:7 18:8 20 testified 8:8 settling 66:25 somewhat 42:18 succeeding 63:4 testified 8:8 share 50:1 66:17 Sorry 19:11 21:3 succeesful 32:15 80:7 shares 71:18 72:16, 28:18 36:5, 10, 11 successful 32:15 80:7 20 73:24 74:2 59:4 65:25 suggest 43:11 66:20 Thank 26:2 32:24 sharing 19:6 sought 39:20 Suite 4:10, 18 5:9 77:7, 9 SHAWN 5:20 source 18:9 70:25 summary 12:25 18:4 18:24:4 46:2, 4, 4 46:2, 4, 5 51:20 66:25 69:4, 7 23 55:1 78:5 speculation 63:25 supervision 81:14 5 51:20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td>			,	
28:7 45:22 solely 68:16, 21 20 testify 8:6 settling 66:25 somewhat 42:18 succeeding 63:4 80:7 share 50:1 66:17 28:18 36:5, 10, 11 21:3 succeessful 32:15 80:7 shares 71:18 72:16, 28:18 36:5, 10, 11 59:4 65:25 suggest 43:11 66:20 Thank 26:2 32:24 sharing 19:6 sought 39:20 Suite 4:10, 18 5:9 77:7, 9 SHAWN 5:20 source 18:9 70:25 speak 34:23 35:3 51:10, 13 summary 12:25 12:4:14 46:2, 4, short 75:22 77:10 speculate 63:16, 19 8:14, 18 5 51:20 66:25 69:4, 7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 think 10:15 14:10		-		
settling 66:25 somewhat 42:18 succeeding 63:4 testimony 9:24 78:4 share 50:1 66:17 somewhat 42:18 succeeding 63:4 successful 32:15 80:7 shares 71:18 72:16, 28:18 36:5, 10, 11 59:4 65:25 suggest 43:11 66:20 Thank 26:2 32:24 sharing 19:6 sought 39:20 Suite 4:10, 18 5:9 77:7, 9 SHAWN 5:20 source 18:9 70:25 speak 34:23 35:3 Summary 12:25 Thanks 8:14 short 75:22 77:10 speculation 63:25 supervision 81:14 5 51:20 66:25 69:4, 7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 think 10:15 14:10				
share50:166:17Sorry19:1121:3successful32:1580:7shares71:1872:16,28:1836:5, 10, 11successful32:1580:72073:2474:259:465:25suggest43:1166:20sharing19:6sought39:20Suite4:10, 185:9SHAWN5:20source18:970:25sum 40:25Thanks8:14sheet26:454:9, 18,source18:970:25sum 40:25Thanks8:142355:178:5speak34:2335:3summary12:25thing29:342:12short75:2277:10speculate63:16, 198:14, 18551:2066:2569:4,7speed38:8supplied78:5think10:1514:10				
shares71:1872:16, 28:1828:1836:5, 10, 11 59:4sue38:16 suggestTEXAS1:24:11, 19 Thank2073:2474:2 59:459:465:25 soughtsuggest43:1166:20 SuiteThank26:232:24 77:7, 9SHAWN5:20 sheet26:454:9, 18, 2355:178:5 speak34:2335:3 61:10, 13 speculationSULLIVAN3:22 summaryThanks8:14 thereforshort75:2277:10 Speculation51:10, 13 speculationSupervision81:14 supervision551:2066:2569:4, 77581:2, speed38:8supplied78:510:1514:10	8		0	
20 73:24 74:2 59:4 65:25 suggest 43:11 66:20 Thank 26:2 32:24 sharing 19:6 sought 39:20 Suite 4:10, 18 5:9 SHAWN 5:20 source 18:9 70:25 speak 34:23 35:3 shifting 42:22 61:10, 13 speculate 63:16, 19 supervision 81:14 16:20 Thank 26:2 32:24 7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 Thanks 8:14				
sharing 19:6 sought 39:20 Suite 4:10, 18 5:9 77:7, 9 SHAWN 5:20 Sounds 21:4, 5 Suite 4:10, 18 5:9 77:7, 9 sheet 26:4 54:9, 18, source 18:9 70:25 sum 40:25 sum 40:25 23 55:1 78:5 speak 34:23 35:3 61:10, 13 summary 12:25 thing 29:3 42:12 short 75:22 77:10 speculate 63:16, 19 supervision 81:14 5 51:20 66:25 69:4, 7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 think 10:15 14:10	shares 71:18 72:16,	28:18 36:5, 10, 11	sue 38:16	TEXAS 1:2 4:11, 19
SHAWN 5:20 Sounds 21:4, 5 SULLIVAN 3:22 Thanks 8:14 sheet 26:4 54:9, 18, source 18:9 70:25 sum 40:25 sum 40:25 23 55:1 78:5 speak 34:23 35:3 61:10, 13 summary 12:25 sumary 12:25 short 75:22 77:10 speculate 63:16, 19 sil4, 18 5 51:20 66:25 69:4, 7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 think 10:15 14:10	20 73:24 74:2	59:4 65:25	suggest 43:11 66:20	Thank 26:2 32:24
sheet26:454:9, 18, 23source18:970:25 speaksum40:25 summarytherefor78:4 thing2355:178:5 speakspeak34:2335:3 61:10, 13summary12:25 Sunday1:142:10 thingstherefor78:4 thingshort75:2277:10 speculationspeculate63:16, 19 speculation8:14, 18 supervision551:2066:2569:4, 69:4, 77speed38:8supplied78:5think10:1514:10	sharing 19:6	sought 39:20	Suite 4:10, 18 5:9	77:7,9
sheet26:454:9, 18, 23source18:970:25 speaksum40:25 summarytherefor78:4 thing2355:178:5 speakspeak34:2335:3 61:10, 13summary12:25 Sunday1:142:10 thingstherefor78:4 thingshort75:2277:10 speculationspeculate63:16, 19 speculation8:14, 18 supervision551:2066:2569:4, 69:4, 77speed38:8supplied78:5think10:1514:10		Sounds 21:4, 5	SULLIVAN 3:22	Thanks 8:14
23 55:1 78:5 speak 34:23 35:3 summary 12:25 thing 29:3 42:12 shifting 42:22 61:10, 13 summary 12:25 Sunday 1:14 2:10 short 75:22 77:10 speculate 63:16, 19 8:14, 18 5 51:20 66:25 69:4, 7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 think 10:15 14:10	sheet 26:4 54:9, 18,	-	sum 40:25	therefor 78:4
shifting 42:22 short 75:22 77:1061:10, 13 speculate 63:16, 19 speculation 63:25 7Sunday 1:14 2:10 8:14, 18 supervision 81:14 supervision 81:14 supplied 78:5things 44:14 46:2, 4, 5 51:20 66:25 69:4, 7				
short75:2277:10speculate63:16, 198:14, 18551:2066:2569:4,Shorthand2:1581:2,speculation63:25supervision81:1477speed38:8supplied78:5think10:1514:10		-	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0
Shorthand 2:15 81:2, speculation 63:25 supervision 81:14 7 7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 think 10:15 14:10	8			
7 speed 38:8 supplied 78:5 think 10:15 14:10		· ·		
		-	-	,
SULLOKI /.1 13.12 10.1/ 19:21		speca 50.0		
	sign //.10 /0.J	 		13.12 10.1/ 19.21

Case 19-34054-sgj11Doc 4277-2Filed 06/24/25Entered 06/24/2510:20:25DescDeposition of Torrey LittletonExhibit 125Page 93 of 100In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Deposition of Torrey Littleton	EXHIBIT 123	-age 93 of 100 milen	igiliand Capital Management, L.F.
21:19 24:4 26:19, 24 29:18 33:18 34:10 36:22 37:15, 17 39:6, 16 40:15 41:1, 22 42:17, 25 44:9, 14 46:13 47:21 51:20, 21 52:7 53:7, 10 57:21 62:15 66:11, 23 67:1 68:1, 2 69:3, 5, 24 70:6 71:17, 18 73:2, 8, 23 74:9, 14 76:4 thinking 15:14 41:8 Third 3:15 59:8 thoroughly 73:4 thought 36:10 three 15:19 32:17 tied 20:21, 22 time 1:15 2:12 9:11 10:12, 25 14:11 20:22 21:12 22:22 3:4, 13 34:3, 11 35:2 46:3, 6, 9 52:10 58:20 59:3 60:24 66:2 69:9 72:10, 14 75:15 76:20 77:8, 20	true 34:3 46:2, 4, 5 47:24 51:21 69:5, 7 80:10 81:11 Trust 3:5 4:14 5:3 9:1 13:6, 9 23:11, 18, 22 26:5, 10, 14, 25 27:10 30:14, 15 36:8 45:12, 20 49:5 67:20 71:17, 18 74:5 Trustee 3:19 34:12 51:2 trustees 70:9 truth 8:7 try 10:10, 25 72:5 trying 40:14 52:7 two 16:19 28:6 44:12, 14 46:2, 4, 5 51:20 69:4, 7 types 31:22 typewriting 81:14 typically 18:23 <u> ultimately 15:5 18:5, 7, 20 19:23 24:24 28:11 31:7 41:23 75:9 uncertainty 67:5 underlying 18:2 21:20 32:13, 16 understand 9:7, 24 10:6, 9 29:21 34:12 43:1 44:9 49:9 50:8 53:11 54:11 58:14 66:1, 22 72:19 73:3 understanding 20:6 21:8 22:18 25:2, 5 31:4 32:14, 16 41:4 49:14 50:12 62:18 67:14 unfair 45:5, 11, 15, 19 46:20</u>	<pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> < V > valuations 34:16 value 15:6 22:10, 12, 19 28:15, 16, 23, 24 29:5, 8, 9, 14 30:9 35:7, 11, 13 36:21 37:1 54:12 73:15, 24 values 28:12 values 28:12 values 28:12 values 28:12 values 28:12 values 28:12 values 18:24 19:1 33:24 50:25 55:5 71:23 72:21 73:5 vehicles 31:22 verbatim 10:4 41:3 58:14, 17 veto 61:14 video-conferencing 3:2 video-conferencing 3:2</pre></pre>	69:5 WINOGRAD 3:12 wish 79:3 WISHNEW 4:17 withdrawn 55:24 withdrawn 17:15 21:23 23:15 25:4 35:17 54:4 70:23 witness 8:13 21:5 27:13 58:24 69:22 wondering 48:19 words 49:14 wore 34:18 working 33:19 38:4 working 33:19 38:4 world 60:23 worries 8:16 worth 22:13 41:5 74:12 wrapped 29:23 written 10:4 wrong 39:6,12 64:14 65:22,23 66:6, 13 wrongdoing 65:6 < Y > Yeah 12:23 13:5 14:1 15:12 17:9,18 19:11 20:9 21:5 29:13 30:1 33:22 36:14 40:5 41:7,12 43:7 45:7 47:3,5 49:16 50:5 55:2,17 58:13 63:12 66:22 68:10 69:24 70:6 71:15 73:18,23 76:13 year 43:24 72:22 years 11:14 12:8 32:12,17 37:4,25 York 3:16,24
		8	
transcribed 2:15	unfair 45:5, 11, 15,		
transcript 78:6 80:7	Unfortunately 59:9	41:22 42:12 52:7	< Z >
81:10	UNITED 1:1	68:12 73:7	ZIEHL 3:14 5:18
transcripts 77:18	unpack 15:8	we're 8:18 9:1 19:6	Zoom 57:19
transfer 34:12	unsuccessfully 32:12	24:6 38:14 52:11	
transferred 73:5	URQUHART 3:22	57:11, 12 68:3 69:23	
transpired 15:1	use 28:22 37:17	we've 31:13, 14	
tribunal 62:8		34:25 45:24 64:1	
tried 34:15			

Deposition of Torrey Entreton		Fage 94 01 100 mile m	iginand Capital Management, E.I
WORD LIST	34 (<i>1</i>)	advisor (4)	asking (4)
	34th(1)	advisor/control (1)	aspect (1)
< \$ >		advisors (2)	aspects (1)
\$22 (<i>1</i>)	<4>	affiliated (1)	assert (1)
\$22 (1) \$23 (1)	4:42 (1)	affiliates (4)	asset (2)
\$29 (1)	4:49 (<i>1</i>)	affirmed (1)	assets (34)
\$300 (1)	4:50 (<i>1</i>)	afternoon (1)	associated (1)
\$650 (1)	4.50 (1)	and $ago (3)$	Associates (1)
\$7 (1)	< 5 >	agree (3)	assumed (1)
\$9 (5)	51 (<i>l</i>)	agreed (2)	assumption (2)
\$7 (5)	51 (1) 58 (1)	agreed-upon (1)	Atlas (19)
<1>	30 (1)	agreement (57)	attached (1)
	< 6 >	ahead (2)	
1 (2) 15 (1)	67 (<i>l</i>)	ahurt@kellyhart.com	Attorney (143) attorney-client (2)
1.5 (l)	07 (1)	j i	
10010 (l) 10017 2024 (l)	< 7 >	(l)	audited (1)
10017-2024 (<i>l</i>)		allow (3)	auditors (2)
11(l)	70801 (<i>l</i>)	allows (1)	authority (2)
1113 (l)	713.228.4100 (<i>l</i>)	alternative (1)	authorization (1)
13 (3)	75201 (<i>l</i>)	AMELIA (1)	authorize (1)
16(2)	77002 (l)	amount (4)	authorized (3)
1600 (<i>l</i>)	780 (1)	amounts (1)	Avenue (3)
1700 (<i>l</i>)	7-year (1)	ample (1)	avoidance (3)
18 (2)		analysis (1)	avoiding (1)
19-34054-sgj11 (<i>l</i>)	< 8 >	annuities (26)	aware (32)
1st (<i>l</i>)	$ 8 (l) \rangle$	annuity (12)	
	8635 (3)	ANSWER (16)	< B >
< 2 >		answered (2)	BA (2)
2015 (2)	< 9 >	answers (1)	back (5)
2019 (<i>l</i>)	918,000 (<i>1</i>)	Anybody (11)	balance (5)
2022 (<i>1</i>)		anyway (2)	ballpark (1)
2025 (3)	< A >	apologies (1)	BANKRUPTCY (9)
21 (<i>l</i>)	ability (2)	apologize (2)	BARTLETT (1)
212.849.7615 (<i>1</i>)	able (6)	apologizing (1)	based (6)
214.817.4500 (<i>1</i>)	absolutely (4)	apparent (1)	basis (4)
22 (2)	accommodate (1)	appear (3)	Baton (1)
225.381.9643 (<i>1</i>)	accounts (8)	appeared (2)	Beacon (1)
22nd (1)	acted (3)	appointed (1)	beginning (1)
2390 (<i>1</i>)	acting (4)	appreciate (2)	behalf (22)
23-plus (<i>1</i>)	action (3)	approval (4)	belief (1)
240 (<i>1</i>)	actions (4)	approve (1)	believe (62)
	activity (4)	approved (10)	beneficial (1)
< 3 >	actual (1)	approximately (1)	benefit (4)
3:30 (2)	ADAMS (1)	April (1)	benefited (2)
30(b)(6 (<i>1</i>)	add (1)	argumentative (1)	best (1)
300 (<i>1</i>)	addition (1)	arises (1)	beyond (2)
301 (<i>1</i>)	administrative (1)	arm's-length (4)	billion (1)
310.277.6910 (<i>l</i>)	Administrator (1)	arranging (1)	bit (7)
32 (<i>1</i>)	adverse (1)	aside (1)	board (4)
33 (2)	advised (1)	asked (2)	boards (1)

breach (1)	comfort (1)	correct (36)	detail (1)
breached (1)	comfortable (1)	corrections (2)	details (1)
break (3)	commenced (3)	correctly (1)	determine (1)
bring (1)	comment (1)	corresponding (1)	device (1)
bringing (1)	communicate (2)	counsel (3)	DIAZ (4)
buy (2)	communicated (2)	counterparty (1)	difference (1)
	communication (3)	couple (3)	different (4)
< C >	communications (2)	course (2)	Diplomate (2)
CA-Certified (1)	community (3)	COURT (13)	DIRECT (7)
CA-CSR (2)	company (1)	Court-appointed (1)	direction (1)
call (6)	compensate (1)	CRAWFORD (1)	directly (12)
called (2)	compensates (1)	create (1)	director (1)
capable (1)	complicated (1)	created (3)	directs (1)
capacity (4)	complicated (1)	cross-talk (1)	disapprove (1)
CAPITAL (16)			discovery (2)
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{computer} & (l) \\ \text{concern} & (3) \end{array}$	Crown (45) CRR (2)	discussion (1)
care (l)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{concern} (3) \\ \text{concorred} (2) \end{array}$		
carefully (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{concerned} (2) \\ \text{concerns} (3) \end{array}$	cumbersome (1)	disposition (2)
carry (1)	concerns (3)	current (1)	distribute (1)
Case (4)	concluded (1)	Currently (1)	distributions (5)
$\cosh (2)$	conference (1)	CURRY (2)	DISTRICT (l)
casual (1)	confirm (l)		diverting (1)
cause (3)	confused (1)	< D >	dividends (1)
causing (2)	connection (1)	DAF (2)	DIVISION (1)
caveat (1)	consent (10)	DALLAS (116)	document (3)
Cayman (4)	consequential (1)	D'AMBRA (1)	documentation (2)
Central (3)	consider (2)	data (1)	DOCUMENTS (6)
certain (6)	consideration (1)	DAVID (1)	doing (5)
CERTIFICATE (1)	considering (2)	day (2)	dollar (1)
Certified (3)	constituted (1)	dcurry@okinadams.co	dollars (7)
certify (2)	consummation (2)	m (<i>l</i>)	Dondero (6)
CFO (9)	Cont'd (2)	deal (1)	Dondero's (1)
chance (1)	contemplating (1)	debate (1)	donor-advised (2)
CHANGE (9)	contends (2)	Debtor (3)	dressing (1)
changes (4)	context (1)	decided (1)	due (1)
Chapter (1)	contract (4)	decision (3)	Dugaboy (3)
charitable (9)	contracts (4)	decision-making (1)	duly (1)
charities (2)	contractual (2)	decisions (7)	duration (1)
charts (1)	contribution (1)	Defendant (2)	duties (7)
claim (4)	contributions (1)	Delaware (1)	duty (13)
Claimant (16)	control (6)	delivered (1)	
claims (4)	controlled (3)	DEMO (1)	< E >
CLARITY (2)	conversation (7)	depends (1)	earlier (3)
clawback (2)	conversations (5)	DEPONENT (1)	easier (1)
clawing (2)	conversion (1)	deposed (1)	economic (12)
clear (2)	convert (1)	DEPOSITION (6)	elaborate (1)
clearly (2)	converted (6)	depositions (1)	emails (1)
colleague (1)	convoluted (1)	describe (1)	EMANUEL (1)
combination (1)	copies (1)	described (2)	employed (1)
Come (1)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{copies} (I) \\ \text{copy} (I) \end{array}$	describing (1)	employment (1)
Come (1)	cohà (1)	ucscribing (1)	inprovincit (1)

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Deposition of Torrey Littleton

Deposition of Toney Entreton			iginana Supitar Management, E.i.
Empower (33)	fan (2)	(1)	holdings (1)
enabled (1)	February (2)	generally (2)	holds (1)
engaged (2)	fee (1)	gentleman (1)	honest (1)
enraptured (1)	feed (1)	getting (2)	Hospitality (1)
ensure (1)	feedback (1)	give (10)	Houston (1)
enter (3)	feeds (1)	given (4)	Hunter (18)
entered (3)	feel (2)	gives (2)	HURT (1)
entering (10)	felt (1)	glance (1)	hwinograd@pszjlaw.c
entire (1)	fiduciary (16)	Global (44)	om (l)
entities (48)	file (5)	go (10)	
entity (6)	filed (12)	goal (1)	< I >
entry (1)	filing (7)	going (23)	idea (5)
Errata (1)	finance (2)	Good (4)	identify (2)
\mathbf{ESQ} (10)	financial (5)	good-faith (6)	\mathbf{IDF} (8)
established (1)	financially (1)	governing (5)	imagine (3)
event (1)	finish (3)	granting (2)	impact (29)
evidence (1)	firm (1)	grant-making (1)	impacted (5)
exact (1)	first (5)	grants (1)	impactful (1)
EXAMINATION (2)	five-minute (1)	GREGORY (1)	impacts (3)
examined (1)	fixed (8)	grow (1)	implicated (1)
example (1)	Floor (2)	guaranteed (1)	important (3)
exchange (1)	flow (7)	guy (1)	including (1)
excuse (1)	flows (2)		income (10)
execution (2)	fluctuations (1)	< H >	increased (1)
exercise (3)	focus (2)	half (1)	independent (1)
exercised (5)	focused (1)	HALL (1)	INDEX (1)
exercising (2)	Focusing (2)	HALLMAN (1)	indicate (1)
Exhibit (2)	following (2)	happen (1)	indirect (7)
EXHIBITS (1)	follows (1)	happened (4)	indirectly (4)
expect (2)	foregoing (3)	hard (1)	Indiscernible (1)
expectation (3)	form (53)	harm (1)	individual (11)
expense (2)	formal (2)	HART (1)	individuals (2)
expenses (1)	formed (1)	hat (1)	infirmity (1)
expert (1)	forth (1)	hats (1)	inform (5)
expired (1)	Foundation (101)	HAYLEY (1)	information (12)
explain (1)	foundations (3)	head (2)	informed (6)
explained (1)	Foundation's (20)	hear (3)	informing (1)
exposure (1)	full (1)	heard (3)	Inghram (4)
extend (1)	Fund (18)	held (5)	inquired (1)
extent (2)	funded (2)	helped (1)	inquiry (2)
	funding (2)	$\begin{array}{c c} Hey & (1) \\ High & (2) \end{array}$	insertion (1)
$\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$	funds (4)	high (2)	insight (1)
fact-finding (1)	fund's (1)	HIGHLAND (59)	instruct (l)
facts (28)	Further (2)	Highland's (2)	INSTRUCTION (1)
fail (l)	future (6)	high-level (2)	INSTRUCTIONS (1)
fair (42)	<g></g>	historical (1)	Insurance (12)
faith (1) familiar (10)	Gail (7)	HMIT (65) hold (7)	interest (34) interested (3)
Family (8)	gdemo@pszjlaw.com	Holdco (2)	interested (3)
ranny (0)	guemowpszjiaw.com		

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Deposition of Torrey Littleton

reposition of Toney Entiteton			iginana Capital Management,
internal (1)	learn (1)	Matt (5)	normal (1)
introduced (1)	leave (2)	matter (1)	NORTHERN (1)
inured (1)	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	matters (1)	Notary (1)
invest (1)	left (2)	MATTTHEW (1)	notations (1)
invested (1)	legal (14)	mean (1)	NOTE (1)
Investment (18)	legally (2)	means (1)	notes (4)
investments (7)	level (2)	meet (1)	notice (1)
involved (1)	liability (2)	member (1)	notified (1)
Islands (3)	Life (4)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{met } (2) \end{array} $	November (1)
issuer (1)	likelihood (1)	MICHAEL (1)	NUMBER (2)
its (5)	Limited (3)	million (18)	
	LINE (6)	mine (1)	< 0 >
< J >	liquidation (1)	minutes (2)	object (53)
JAMES (1)	liquidators (12)	missed (1)	objecting (1)
	-		objecting (1) objection (22)
January (1) JEFFREY (1)	liquidity (1) listen (1)	mlang@cwl.law.com (1)	objection (22) objections (1)
Jim (2)	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{lists} & (l) \\ \text{Litigation} & (5) \end{bmatrix}$	MNPI (1)	obligation (10)
jmorris@pszjlaw.com	Litigation (5)	mokin@okinadams.co	obligations (6)
(l)	little (7)	\mathbf{m} (l)	obtain (6)
JOHN (4)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{LITTLETON} & (12) \\ \text{LIP} & (2) \end{array}$	moment (1)	obtained (2)
joint (12)	LLP (3)	money (3)	occasions (1)
JONES (2)	LOIGMAN (1)	MORRIS (79)	officer (1)
jpomerantz@pszjlaw.c	long (4)	motion (9)	official (12)
om (1)	longer (1)	Mountain (19)	officially (1)
$\mathbf{JULIE}_{(4)}$	look (1)	move (2)	Oh (2)
June (2)	looked (1)	moved (1)	Okada (33)
jurisdiction (1)	looking (3)	moving (4)	okay (51)
	loss (l)	multiple (1)	OKIN (71)
< K >	lost (3)		once (1)
KELLY (1)	lot (2)	< N >	opine (8)
key (1)	LOUIS (1)	name (2)	opined (1)
kind (13)	Louisiana (1)	named (1)	opinion (3)
know (76)	LP (7)	NATHAN (1)	opportunity (4)
knowing (2)	lphillips@kellyhart.co	NECESSARILY (2)	option (11)
knowledge (4)	m (<i>l</i>)	need (7)	order (3)
		needed (2)	org (1)
< L >	< M >	negatively (3)	organization (8)
$\mathbf{L.P} (1)$	M&E (3)	negotiate (1)	organizational (1)
LANG (3)	Madden (2)	negotiating (1)	organizations (27)
language (1)	Madison (1)	negotiation (4)	orgs (1)
large (1)	Main (2)	negotiations (4)	originally (1)
late (1)	making (3)	neither (1)	originated (1)
law (2)	MANAGEMENT	never (7)	outcome (1)
lawsuit (5)	(15)	New (4)	outlined (1)
lawyer (4)	Mark (9)	newly (1)	outside (3)
lay(l)	$\mathbf{MARKED} (3)$	news (1)	overall (2)
lead (1)	market (11)	NexPoint (1)	overseeing (1)
lean (l)	MARKS (2)	nice (1)	oversight (4)
leaned (1)	material (2)	nonpublic (2)	owes (6)

owned (5)	policy (4)	quarterly (2)	relax (1)
ownership (9)	POMERANTZ (1)	question (13)	release (1)
owns (2)	portfolio (1)	questionable (2)	releases (4)
	portfolios (1)	QUESTIONS (11)	releasing (1)
< P >	portion (3)	quicker (1)	rely (2)
p.m (5)	positive (1)	QUINN (1)	remind (1)
PACHULSKI (2)	possibility (2)	QUOTATION (1)	REMOTE (3)
Pacific (l)	potential (2)	quotations (1)	reorganization (1)
PAGE (7)	potentially (3)	QUOTE (2)	repeat (2)
paid (3)	preparation (1)		rephrase (2)
paragraph (7)	present (3)	< R >	Reported (1)
part (13)	preserve (1)	Rand (8)	Reporter (10)
participate (2)	President (2)	Rand's (1)	REPORTER'S (1)
particular (2)	prevent (2)	range (1)	reporting (1)
parties (11)	PREVIOUSLY (2)	RAVER (1)	represent (1)
partnership (1)	primarily (1)	RDR (2)	representative (2)
party (3)	prior (1)	reach (1)	representing (1)
patience (1)	privilege (1)	read (6)	represents (1)
Patrick (27)	privileged (1)	reading (2)	REQUEST (1)
Patrick's (2)	privy (1)	really (2)	required (6)
PAUL (1)	probably (2)	Realtime (3)	research (1)
pay (5)	problematic (2)	reason (39)	respect (7)
payments (3)	proceeding (1)	reasonable (1)	respectfully (1)
payout (2)	proceedings (5)	reasons (3)	respectively (1)
payouts (2)	process (4)	recall (3)	responsibilities (2)
PE (2)	produce (1)	receive (10)	responsibility (3)
peace (2)	produced (1)	received (4)	responsible (1)
pending (2)	product (4)	receives (1)	restate (3)
percentage (1)	PRODUCTION (1)	Recess (1)	restructuring (6)
period (2)	projected (1)	recollection (1)	result (4)
permission (2)	proper (1)	recommendation (3)	review (2)
person (6)	proposed (13)	recommendations (2)	reviewed (2)
personally (2)	proposing (1)	record (1)	Right (38)
persons (1)	propounded (1)	recorded (1)	rise (3)
pertaining (1)	protect (1)	recovered (1)	risk (7)
pertinent (1)	provide (1)	reduced (1)	ROBERT (1)
PHILLIPS (3)	provided (2)	refer (2)	robertloigman@quinn
piece (1)	providing (1)	REFERENCED (1)	emanuel.com (1)
place (2)	Public (1)	referred (2)	role (6)
plan (1)	pull (1)	referring (1)	roll (5)
planned (1)	pulling (1)	refers (3)	rolled (2)
platform (2)	purpose (2)	REFLECT (1)	rolls (3)
play (3)	pursued (1)	refresh (1)	roll-up (1)
played (1)	pursued (1)	regardless (2)	room (1)
plays (1)	pushed (1) put (20)	Registered (2)	Rouge (1)
please (3)	putting (1)	reiterated (1)	roughly (1)
PLLC (1)	Purme (1)	related (4)	Royal (1)
point (1)	< Q >	relates (4)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{RSA} (2) \end{array}$
policies (4)	quarter (1)	relationship (9)	
Policico (7)	Yuai (1)	i ciacionsnip (2)	

Deposition of Torrey Entreton			iginand Capital Management, E.i.
< \$ >	sole (2)	supposed (1)	tribunal (1)
			tried (1)
sale (4)	solely (2)	Sure (6)	
saw (1)	somewhat (1)	surprised (1)	true (10)
says (2)	Sorry (9)	sworn (l)	Trust (24)
scheduled (1)	sought (1)	Systems (1)	Trustee (3)
scope (3)	Sounds (2)		trustees (1)
screen (3)	source (2)	< T >	truth (3)
scroll (1)	speak (4)	take (9)	try (3)
scrutiny (1)	speculate (2)	taken (5)	trying (2)
see (8)	speculation (1)	takes (2)	two (10)
seek (3)	speed (1)	talked (2)	types (1)
seeking (1)	spoke (1)	talking (2)	typewriting (1)
seeks (2)	spoken (1)	team (7)	typically (1)
seen (4)	standpoint (2)	tell (5)	
SEERY (1)	STANG (2)	telling (1)	< U >
segregated (6)	start (1)	tenure (4)	ultimately (10)
sell (2)	starting (1)	terms (3)	uncertainty (1)
selling (1)	state (4)	testified (1)	underlying (4)
sent (1)	stated (2)	testify (1)	understand (17)
sentence (3)	statement (3)	testimony (3)	understanding (13)
series (1)	statements (3)	TEXAS (3)	unfair (5)
serve (1)	STATES (2)	Thank (4)	Unfortunately (1)
served (1)	Stenographically (3)	Thanks (1)	UNITED (1)
set (4)	stewardship (1)	therefor (1)	unpack (1)
settle (1)	STIPULATIONS (1)	thing (2)	unsuccessfully (1)
settled (1)	stream (3)	things (8)	URQUHART (1)
settlement (68)	streams (1)	think (50)	use (2)
settlements (3)	Street (2)	thinking (2)	
settling (1)	strike (1)	Third (2)	< V >
share (2)	structure (13)	thoroughly (1)	valuations (1)
shares (5)	structures (1)	thought (1)	value (21)
sharing (1)	stuff (2)	three (2)	values (1)
SHAWN (1)	sub (1)	tied (2)	various (1)
sheet (<i>6</i>)	subject (4)	time (31)	vehicle (8)
shifting (1)	Subtrust (1)	times (1)	vehicles (1)
short (2)	succeed (3)	timing (1)	verbatim (4)
Shorthand (3)	succeeding (1)	today (12)	veto (1)
sign (2)	successful (1)	told (5)	videoconferencing (1)
signed (3)	sue (1)	TORREY (5)	video-conferencing
significant (5)	suggest (2)	total (1)	(1)
similar (1)	Suite (3)	track (1)	VIDEOGRAPHER
sir (87)	SULLIVAN (1)	transaction (1)	(1)
sit (1)	sum (1)	transactions (1)	VIDEO-RECORDED
situation (1)	summary (1)	transcribed (2)	(2)
six (1)	Sunday (4)	transcript (3)	view (2)
Skyview (1)	supervision (1)	transcripts (1)	Vine (1)
sleep (1)	supplied (1)	transfer (1)	volume (2)
smoothly (1)	SUPPORT (1)	transferred (1)	
sold (5)	supporting (30)	transpired (1)	< W >

1 V	3	
walk (1)		
want (6)		
wanted (4)		
watching (1)		
way (2)		
wearing (l)		
Wednesday (2)		
week (1)		
Well (10)		
we're (10)		
we've (6)		
WINOGRAD (1)		
wish (1)		
WISHNEW (1)		
withdraw (1)		
withdrawn (7)		
witness (5)		
wondering (1)		
words (1)		
wore (1)		
working (2)		
world (1)		
worries (1)		
worth (3)		
wrapped (1)		
written (1)		
wrong (7)		
wrongdoing (1)		
wrongdoing (1)		
< Y >		
Yeah (41)		
year (2)		
years (6) North (4)		
York (4)		
< 7 \		
$\langle Z \rangle$		
$\mathbf{ZIEHL} (2)$		
Zoom (l)		