



pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452 and Bankruptcy Rule 9027 by an additional 180 days, up to and including November 3, 2026, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek additional extensions of the Removal Period; and (b) granting related relief.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

2. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Court") has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), and the Debtors confirm their consent, pursuant to rule 9013-1(f) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Local Rules"), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

4. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are section 1452 of title 28 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), rules 9006 and 9027 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Local Rule 9006-2.

### **Background**

5. The Debtors, together with their non-Debtor affiliates (collectively, "Marelli" or the "Company") are one of the largest international automotive parts suppliers in the world and a pioneer in motorsports and in automobile manufacturing and design. With its headquarters in Saitama, Japan and over 46,000 employees located in twenty-four countries around the world, Marelli designs and produces sophisticated technologies for leading automotive manufacturers,

including lighting and sensor integrations, electronic systems, software solutions, and interior design products, and collaborates with motor sports teams and other industry leaders to research and develop cutting-edge, high-performance automotive components.

6. On June 11, 2025 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors are operating their business and managing their property as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. On June 12, 2025, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 102] authorizing the procedural consolidation and joint administration of these chapter 11 cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) and Local Rule 1015-1. On June 25, 2025, the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware (the “U.S. Trustee”) appointed an official committee of unsecured creditors [Docket No. 184] (the “Committee”).<sup>3</sup> No request for the appointment of a trustee or examiner has been made in these chapter 11 cases.

7. On July 10, 2025, the Debtors filed the *Motion of Debtors for Entry of an Order (I) Enlarging the Period Within Which the Debtors May Remove Actions and (II) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 254] (the “First Removal Motion”). On August 4, 2025, the Court entered the *Order (I) Enlarging the Period Within Which the Debtors May Remove Actions and (II) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 464] (the “First Removal Order”), thereby extending the Removal Period to January 7, 2026.

8. On December 11, 2025, the Debtors filed the *Second Motion of Debtors for Entry of an Order (I) Enlarging the Period Within Which the Debtors May Remove Actions and*

---

<sup>3</sup> On July 2, 2025, the U.S. Trustee filed the *Amended Notice of Appointment of Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 230]. On September 10, 2025, the U.S. Trustee filed the *Second Amended Notice of Appointment of Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 922]. On March 5, 2026, the U.S. Trustee filed the *Third Amended Notice of Appointment of Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 1780].

(II) *Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 1341] (the “Second Removal Motion”). On January 5, 2026, the Court entered the *Second Order (I) Enlarging the Period Within Which the Debtors May Remove Actions and (II) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 1488] (the “Second Removal Order”), thereby extending the Removal Period to May 7, 2026.

### **The Actions**

9. The Debtors are currently involved in a number of civil actions commenced prepetition in various forums. The Debtors continue to review their books and records and are in the process of determining whether to remove any Actions to a district court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452 and Bankruptcy Rule 9027. Since entry of the Second Removal Order, the Debtors have worked diligently on a number of critical matters and have not yet decided which, if any, of the Actions they will seek to remove. Specifically, the Debtors and their professionals have been focused on, among other things:

- drafting, reviewing, and coordinating the filing of the various other pleadings and applications;
- negotiating and managing trade agreements, contracts, and leases with certain of the Debtors’ key vendors, landlords, contract counterparties, and customers, and coordinating with taxing authorities, governmental entities, insurance companies, customers, employees, and suppliers regarding various chapter 11 and operational considerations;
- negotiating with various stakeholders to extend the milestones contemplated in the Restructuring Support Agreement;
- obtaining an order authorizing implementation of the key employee retention plan and the key employee incentive plan [Docket No 1541];
- continuing negotiations with OEMs on the go-forward business relationships and resolution of claims;
- developing, formulating, advancing the Debtors’ business plan, progressing negotiations with OEMs, the DIP Lenders, the Committee, and other key stakeholders on the Debtors’ business plan and related workstreams, which require input, analysis, and coordination from the Debtors’ management, employees, and advisors to each of the 26 jurisdictions where they operate

to prepare and develop a top-to-bottom analysis of each Debtor and associated line of business;

- preparing for exit financing workstreams;
- negotiating and reaching consensual resolutions with automatic stay movants;
- obtaining an order authorizing the retention of Ankura Consulting Group, LLC (“Ankura”) as Chief Restructuring Officer to the Debtors [Docket No. 1522];
- assisting in preparing analyses for and participating in discussions regarding the Debtors’ chapter 11 plan of reorganization and disclosure statement, and the transactions and exhibits contemplated therein;
- addressing numerous questions, concerns, and issues raised by employees, vendors, customers, and other parties in interest;
- coordinating with the Committee and the U.S. Trustee to provide requested information on a variety of issues and comply with the reporting requirements under the Bankruptcy Code;
- preparing, monitored, and facilitated the filing of the Debtors’ monthly operating reports; and
- assisting the Debtors in responding to numerous diligence requests from the Committee, the Special Committee (as defined herein), and other interested parties.

10. The Debtors’ time and resources have been productively spent toward (a) reducing interruptions to the Debtors’ operations and ensuring that the Debtors have the resources necessary to continue operations in the ordinary course of business, to the benefit of all stakeholders, and (b) engaging with key stakeholders regarding a consensual restructuring. The Debtors submit that a further extension of the Removal Period is appropriate under the circumstances to provide the Debtors with sufficient time to make fully informed decisions concerning the removal of any Actions.

11. It is also possible that the Debtors may become aware of Actions following their review of proofs of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases, at which point the Debtors will need to

analyze such potential Actions to determine whether to remove any such Actions. Consequently, the Debtors are seeking an extension of the Removal Period to provide them with sufficient time to decide whether to remove any such Actions.

12. In light of the Debtors' focus on time-critical operational restructuring matters, the Debtors need more time to conduct a fulsome analysis on which Actions, if any, to remove.

**Basis for Relief**

13. Section 1452 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 9027 govern the removal of pending civil actions related to chapter 11 cases. Specifically, section 1452(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides:

A party may remove any claim or cause of action in a civil action other than a proceeding before the United States Tax Court or a civil action by a governmental unit to enforce such governmental unit's police or regulatory power, to the district court for the district where such civil action is pending, if such district court has jurisdiction of such claim or cause of action under section 1334 of this title.

28 U.S.C. § 1452(a).

14. Bankruptcy Rule 9027 sets forth the time periods for filing notices to remove claims or causes of action. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a)(2) provides, in pertinent part:

If the claim or cause of action in a civil action is pending when a case under the [Bankruptcy] Code is commenced, a notice of removal may be filed only within the longest of (A) 90 days after the order for relief in the case under the [Bankruptcy] Code, (B) 30 days after entry of an order terminating a stay, if the claim or cause of action in a civil action has been stayed under § 362 of the [Bankruptcy] Code, or (C) 30 days after a trustee qualifies in a chapter 11 reorganization case but not later than 180 days after the order for relief.

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9027(a)(2).

15. Bankruptcy Rule 9006 permits the Court to extend the period to remove actions provided by Bankruptcy Rule 9027. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) provides, in pertinent part:

[W]hen an act is required or allowed to be done at or within a specified period by these rules or by a notice given thereunder or by order of court, the court for cause shown may at any time in its discretion . . . with or without motion or notice order the period enlarged if the request therefor is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by a previous order . . . .

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(b)(1).

16. It is well-settled that the Court is authorized to enlarge the Removal Period. *See Pacor, Inc. v. Higgins*, 743 F.2d 984, 996 n.17 (3d Cir. 1984), *overruled on other grounds by Things Remembered, Inc. v. Petrarca*, 516 U.S. 124, 134–35 (1995) (holding the bankruptcy court’s power to grant an extension of the removal period pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b) is “clear”); *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., Inc.*, 251 B.R. 322, 325 (S.D.W. Va. 2000); (Bankruptcy Rule 9006 provides authority to enlarge time periods for removing actions under Bankruptcy Rule 9027); *In re Jandous Elec. Constr. Corp.*, 106 B.R. 48 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (period in which to file motion to remove may be expanded pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006); *In re World Fin. Servs. Ctr., Inc.*, 81 B.R. 33, 39 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1987) (United States Supreme Court intended to give bankruptcy judges the power to enlarge the filing periods under Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a) pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)); *Raff v. Gordon*, 58 B.R. 988, 990 (E.D. Pa. 1986) (an expansion of time to file notices of removal is authorized under the Bankruptcy Rules).

17. The Debtors are seeking to extend the deadline established by the Second Removal Order, which would otherwise expire on May 7, 2026,<sup>4</sup> to ensure the Debtors’ right to remove is preserved and that creditors are on notice of such extended removal deadline. The Debtors believe they have additional time to remove the Actions under Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a)(2)(C) and, to the

---

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Local Rule 9006-2, the filing of this Motion prior to the current deadline shall serve to automatically extend the current deadline without the necessity for the entry of a bridge order, until the Court rules on this motion. *See* Del. Bankr. LR 9006-2.

extent such Actions are subject to the automatic stay under Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a)(2)(B), but nevertheless seek entry of this motion out of an abundance of caution.

18. The Debtors' decision regarding whether to seek removal of any particular Action depends on a number of factors, including: (a) the importance of the Action to the resolution of these chapter 11 cases; (b) the time required to complete the Action in its current venue; (c) the presence of federal subject matter jurisdiction in the proceeding that may allow for one or more aspects thereof to be heard by a federal court; (d) the relationship between the Action and matters to be considered in connection with the Debtors' bankruptcy process, a plan of reorganization, the claims allowance process, and the assumption or rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases; and (e) the progress made to date in the Action. To make the appropriate determination, the Debtors must analyze each Action in light of such factors.

19. To date, the Debtors' review of their books and records to determine whether any additional Actions exist remains ongoing. As discussed herein, since entry of the Second Removal Order, the Debtors and their advisors have focused on, among other things, addressing numerous questions, concerns, and issues raised by employees, vendors, customers, and other parties in interest, negotiating and managing trade agreements, contracts, and leases with certain of the Debtors' key vendors, landlords, contract counterparties, and customers, and coordinating with taxing authorities, governmental entities, insurance companies, customers, employees, and suppliers regarding various chapter 11 and operational considerations, negotiating with various stakeholders to extend the milestones contemplated in the Restructuring Support Agreement, obtaining an order authorizing implementation of the key employee retention plan and the key employee incentive plan, engaging with parties to continue preparing a business plan, continuing negotiations with OEMs on the go-forward business relationships and resolutions of claims,

advancing negotiations and discussions with OEMs, the DIP Lenders, the Committee, and other key stakeholders on terms of the business plan and overall restructuring, preparing for exit financing workstreams, negotiating and reaching consensual resolutions with automatic stay movants, obtaining an order authorizing the retention of Ankura Consulting Group, LLC as Chief Restructuring Officer to the Debtors, and negotiating and drafting the Debtors chapter 11 plan and disclosure statement. The Debtors believe that the extension requested herein will provide the Debtors with the ability to make fully-informed decisions concerning the removal of any Actions, and will ensure that the Debtors' rights provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1452 can be exercised in an appropriate manner. Alternately, if such an extension is not granted, the Debtors may not become aware of Actions until after the Removal Period expires.

20. Moreover, the rights of parties to the Actions will not be unduly prejudiced by the Debtors' requested extension of the Removal Period. If the Debtors ultimately seek to remove Actions pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9027, parties will retain their rights to have such Actions remanded pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(b). Accordingly, the Debtors submit that cause exists for the relief requested herein.

21. Further, the rights of any party to the Actions will not be unduly prejudiced by the Debtors' requested extension. Inasmuch as section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code automatically stays actions against the Debtors, most of the Actions will not proceed in their respective courts during these chapter 11 cases, even absent the relief requested herein.

22. Courts in this jurisdiction have regularly granted the relief requested herein in other large chapter 11 cases. *In re Yellow Corporation*, No. 23-11069 (CTG) (Bankr. D. Del. July 16, 2024) (granting a third extension, for a total of 361 days, without prejudice to the debtors' ability to seek further extensions); *In re The Hertz Corp.*, No. 20-11218 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. July 12,

2021) (granting a third extension, for a total of 480 days without prejudice to the debtors' right to seek further extensions); *In re Destination Maternity Corporation*, No. 19-12256 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Oct. 5, 2020) (granting a third 120-day extension, without prejudice to the debtors' ability to seek further extensions); *In re Forever 21, Inc.*, No. 19-12122 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 10, 2020) (same); *In re Emerald Oil, Inc.*, No. 16-10704 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. March 3, 2017) (same). The 180-day extension requested herein is reasonable in light of the nature of these chapter 11 cases and the extensions granted by this and other courts in this District under similar circumstances. Accordingly, the Debtors' requested extension is reasonable and should be granted.

#### **Reservation of Rights**

23. Nothing contained in this motion or any order granting the relief requested in this motion, and no action taken by the Debtors pursuant to the relief requested or granted (including any payment made in accordance with any such order), is intended as or shall be construed or deemed to be: (a) an admission as to the amount, validity, or priority of, or basis for, any claim against the Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable nonbankruptcy law; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's rights to dispute any claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication, admission, or finding that any particular claim is an administrative expense claim, other priority claim, or otherwise of a type specified or defined in this motion or any order granting the relief requested by this motion; (e) a request or authorization to assume, adopt, or reject any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; (f) an admission as to the validity, priority, enforceability, or perfection of any lien on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property of the Debtors' estates; or (g) a waiver or limitation of any claims, causes of action, or other rights of the Debtors

or any other party in interest against any person or entity under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

**Notice**

24. The Debtors will provide notice of this motion to: (a) the U.S. Trustee; (b) Paul Hastings LLP and Morris James LLP, as co-counsel to the Committee; (c) the office of the attorney general for each of the states in which the Debtors operate; (d) United States Attorney's Office for the District of Delaware; (e) the Internal Revenue Service; (f) the United States Securities and Exchange Commission; (g) the United States Department of Justice; (h) Mayer Brown LLP, as counsel to the DIP Agent; (i) Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, as counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in all capacities other than as Prepetition Agent; (j) Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, as counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in its capacity as Prepetition Agent; (k) Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and Cole Schotz P.C., as counsel to the Ad Hoc Group of Senior Lenders; (l) Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, as counsel to the Sponsors; (m) all parties to the Actions; and (n) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002 (the "Notice Parties"). The Debtors submit that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors request entry of the Order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, (a) granting the relief requested herein and (b) granting such other relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated: April 20, 2026  
Wilmington, Delaware

*/s/ Laura Davis Jones*

**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP**

Laura Davis Jones (DE Bar No. 2436)  
Timothy P. Cairns (DE Bar No. 4228)  
Edward A. Corma (DE Bar No. 6718)  
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 8705  
Wilmington, Delaware 19899 (Courier 19801)  
Telephone: (302) 652-4100  
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400  
Email: ljones@pszjlaw.com  
tcairns@pszjlaw.com  
ecorma@pszjlaw.com

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP**

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Nicholas M. Adzima (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Evan Swager (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900  
Email: joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com  
nicholas.adzima@kirkland.com  
evan.swager@kirkland.com

-and-

Ross M. Kwasteniet, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Spencer A. Winters, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
333 West Wolf Point Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Telephone: (312) 862-2000  
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200  
Email: ross.kwasteniet@kirkland.com  
spencer.winters@kirkland.com

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors  
and Debtors in Possession*

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors  
and Debtors in Possession*



**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that at the same time, you must also serve a copy of the response or objection upon: (a) the Debtors, Marelli Automotive Lighting USA LLC, 26555 Northwestern Highway, Southfield, Michigan 48033, Attn.: Marisa Iasenza (marisa.iasenza@marelli.com); (b) counsel to the Debtors, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 333 West Wolf Point Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60654, Attn.: Spencer A. Winters, P.C. (spencer.winters@kirkland.com), and Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 601 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10022, Attn.: Nicholas M. Adzima (nicholas.adzima@kirkland.com) and Evan Swager (evan.swager@kirkland.com); (c) co-counsel to the Debtors, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 919 North Market Street, 17th Floor, P.O. Box 8705, Wilmington, Delaware 19899 (Courier 19801), Attn.: Laura Davis Jones (ljones@pszjlaw.com), Timothy P. Cairns (tcairns@pszjlaw.com), and Edward A. Corma (ecorma@pszjlaw.com); (d) the U.S. Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Lockbox 35, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn.: Jane Leamy (Jane.M.Leamy@usdoj.gov) and Timothy J. Fox, Jr. (timothy.fox@usdoj.gov); (e) counsel to the DIP Agent, Mayer Brown LLP, 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10020-1001, Attn.: Jason Elder (jason.elder@mayerbrown.com); (f) counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in all capacities other than as Prepetition Agent, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017, Attn.: Timothy Graulich (timothy.graulich@davispolk.com) and Richard J. Steinberg (richard.steinberg@davispolk.com); (g) counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in its capacity as Prepetition Agent, Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, 1000 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn.: Robert S. Brady (rbrady@ycst.com) and Andrew L. Magaziner (amagaziner@ycst.com); (h) counsel to the Ad Hoc Group of Senior Lenders, (i) Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, One Bryant Park, New York, New York 10036, Attn.: Ira S. Dizengoff (idizengoff@akingump.com) and Anna Kordas (akordas@akingump.com), (ii) Akin

Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 2001 K Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20006, Attn.: Scott Alberino (salberino@akingump.com), Kate Doorley (kdoorley@akingump.com), and Alexander F. Antypas (aantypas@akingump.com); and (iii) Cole Schotz P.C., 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 600, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn.: Justin R. Alberto (jalberto@coleschotz.com) and Stacy L. Newman (snewman@coleschotz.com); (i) counsel to the Sponsors, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10019-6064, Attn.: Brian S. Hermann (bhermann@paulweiss.com) and Jacob Adlerstein (jadlerstein@paulweiss.com); and (j) co-counsel to the Committee, (i) Paul Hastings LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166. Attn.: Kristopher M. Hansen (krishansen@paulhastings.com), Jonathan D. Canfield (joncanfield@paulhastings.com), Gabriel E. Sasson (gabesasson@paulhastings.com), and Marcella Leonard (marcellaleonard@paulhastings.com), and (ii) Morris James LLP, 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500, Wilmington, Delaware 19801. Attn.: Eric J. Monzo (emonzo@morrisjames.com), Jason S. Levin (jlevin@morrisjames.com), and Siena B. Cerra (scerra@morrisjames.com).

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT, IF AN OBJECTION IS PROPERLY FILED AND SERVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROCEDURES, A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON A DATE TO BE DETERMINED BEFORE THE HONORABLE CRAIG T. GOLDBLATT, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE, 824 MARKET STREET, COURTROOM #7, THIRD FLOOR, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801. ONLY OBJECTIONS MADE IN WRITING AND TIMELY FILED WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT AT SUCH HEARING.**

IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT  
MAY GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED BY THE MOTION WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE  
OR HEARING.

Dated: April 20, 2026  
Wilmington, Delaware

*/s/ Laura Davis Jones*

---

**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP**

Laura Davis Jones (DE Bar No. 2436)  
Timothy P. Cairns (DE Bar No. 4228)  
Edward A. Corma (DE Bar No. 6718)  
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 8705  
Wilmington, Delaware 19899 (Courier 19801)  
Telephone: (302) 652-4100  
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400  
Email: ljones@pszjlaw.com  
tcairns@pszjlaw.com  
ecorma@pszjlaw.com

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP**

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Nicholas M. Adzima (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Evan Swager (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900  
Email: joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com  
nicholas.adzima@kirkland.com  
evan.swager@kirkland.com

-and-

Ross M. Kwasteniet, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Spencer A. Winters, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
333 West Wolf Point Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Telephone: (312) 862-2000  
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200  
Email: ross.kwasteniet@kirkland.com  
spencer.winters@kirkland.com

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors  
and Debtors in Possession*

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors  
and Debtors in Possession*

**Exhibit A**

**Proposed Order**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:	)	
	)	Chapter 11
MARELLI AUTOMOTIVE LIGHTING USA LLC, <i>et al.</i> , <sup>1</sup>	)	Case No. 25-11340 (CTG)
Debtors.	)	(Jointly Administered)
	)	
	)	<b>Re: Docket No. __</b>

**THIRD ORDER (I) ENLARGING THE PERIOD WITHIN WHICH THE  
DEBTORS MAY REMOVE ACTIONS AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”)<sup>2</sup> of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”) for the entry of an order (this “Order”) (a) enlarging the Removal Period for filing notices of removal of the Actions by 180 days, up to and including November 3, 2026, without prejudice to the Debtors’ right to seek further extensions, and (b) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declaration; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that this Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors’ estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having found that the Debtors’

---

<sup>1</sup> A complete list of each of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent at <https://www.veritaglobal.net/Marelli>. The location of Marelli Automotive Lighting USA LLC’s principal place of business is 26555 Northwestern Highway, Southfield, Michigan 48033.

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted on a basis as set forth herein.
2. The period within which the Debtors may seek removal of the Actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452 and Bankruptcy Rule 9027 is enlarged by 180 days, with a deadline for filing notices of removal of November 3, 2026.
3. This Order is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to request a further extension of time to file notices of removal of any or all of the Actions.
4. This Order shall be without prejudice to any position the Debtors may take regarding whether section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code applies to stay any Action.
5. All time periods set forth in this Order shall be calculated in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 9006(a).
6. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion, and the requirements of the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.
7. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Order in accordance with the Motion.
8. This Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.