1	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP JEFFREY D. PROL (admitted pro hac vice)			
2	jprol@lowenstein.com BRENT WEISENBERG (admitted pro hac vice)			
3	bweisenberg@lowenstein.com			
4	<u>crestel@lowenstein.com</u>			
5	One Lowenstein Drive Roseland, New Jersey 07068			
6	Telephone: (973) 597-2500			
7	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP TOBIAS S. KELLER (Cal. Bar No. 151445)			
8	tkeller@kbkllp.com JANE KIM (Cal. Bar No. 298192)			
9	jkim@kbkllp.com GABRIELLE L. ALBERT (Cal. Bar No. 190895)			
10	galbert@kbkllp.com 425 Market St., 26th Floor			
11	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 496-6723			
12	Facsimile: (650) 636-9251			
13	Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors			
14	UNITED STATES DANIZDUDTON COUDT			
15	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION			
16				
17	In re:	Case No. 23-40523 WJL		
18	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF	Chapter 11		
19	OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,	APPLICATION FOR ORDER		
20	Debtor.	AUTHORIZING THE RETENTION OF JONATHAN C. LIPSON AS		
21		CONSULTANT AND EXPERT		
22		WITNESS		
23	Lowenstein Sandler LLP ("Applicant"), counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured			
24	Creditors (the " <u>Committee</u> ") of the Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland (the " <u>Debtor</u> "), files this			
25	application (this "Application") for entry of an order, under sections 327, 330 and 1103 of title 11			
26	of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 2014 of the Federal Rules of			
27	Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), authorizing the retention of Jonathan C. Lipson			
28	("Professor Lipson") as a consultant and expert witness on the intersection between bankruptcy			
Case:	23-40523 Doc# 1966 Filed: 05/12/25 Ei 8	ntered: 05/: 23405232505120000000000002		

1	law and religious freedom, nunc pro tunc to May 1, 2025, and approval of his Engagement Letter,	
2	attached as Exhibit B.	
3	In support of this Application, and incorporated herein by reference for all purposes,	
4	Applicant submits the Declaration of Jonathan C. Lipson (the "Lipson Declaration"). A proposed	
5	form of order granting the relief requested herein is annexed hereto as Exhibit A . In further	
6	support of this Application, Applicant states as follows:	
7	I.	
8	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
9	The Court has jurisdiction to consider this Application pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and	
10	1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b) and venue is proper in this district	
11	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.	
12	II.	
13	BACKGROUND	
14	On May 8, 2023 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under	
15	chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, commencing the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the	
16	"Chapter 11 Case").	
17	On May 23, 2023, the Office of the United States Trustee appointed the Committee.	
18	On April 3, 2025, the Debtor filed its Third Amended Disclosure Statement for Debtor's	
19	Third Amended Plan of Reorganization [Dkt. No. 1874] (the "Disclosure Statement") describing	
20	The Debtor's Third Amended Plan of Reorganization [Dkt. No. 1830] (the "Plan").	
21	On April 4, 2025, the Court entered an order approving the adequacy of the Disclosure	
22	Statement [Dkt. No. 1877].	
23	On April 15, 2025, the Court entered the Order Setting Certain Dates and Deadlines in	
24	Connection with Confirmation of the Debtor's Plan of Reorganization [Dkt. No. 1893],	
25	establishing a schedule relating to expert discovery in advance of Plan confirmation.	
26		
27		
28	_2_	

	III.

RELI	EF RE	QUES	STED
------	-------	------	------

By this Application, Applicant seeks entry of an order authorizing the retention of Professor Lipson as a consultant and expert witness to provide the following services, effective as of May 1, 2025 (the "Services"):

- (i) Providing rebuttal expert witness services in response to any arguments asserted by the Debtor that a religious non-profit debtor may be exempted from the application of certain Bankruptcy Code provisions;
- (ii) Review and evaluate any relevant reports prepared by or on behalf of the Debtor, its professionals or any other entities;
- (iii) Prepare and draft rebuttal expert reports and/or affidavits/declarations concerning the issues for which Professor Lipson is being engaged;
- (iv) Prepare for and provide both deposition and court testimony regarding the issues for which Professor Lipson is being engaged and in response to any relevant arguments advanced by the Debtor;
- (v) Assist the Committee in drafting pleadings concerning the issues for which Professor Lipson is being engaged; and
- (vi) Any other services that the Applicant deems necessary related to the application of certain Bankruptcy Code provisions and religious freedom.

IV.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

Professor Lipson's unique expertise in the converging subjects of the Bankruptcy Code and the law of religious liberty are required given the esoteric nature of the issues which may arise out of the Debtor's argument that certain provisions of the Bankruptcy Code do not apply to it because it is a religious institution. *See Third Amended Disclosure Statement for Debtor's Third Amended Plan of Reorganization*, Art. II.D. [Dkt. No. 1874]. And, if the Debtor elects to retain an expert to support its argument, the Committee needs to have a rebuttal witness ready and immediately able to analyze any expert report and rebut it.

More specifically, the Committee needs Professor Lipson's expertise because in the context of Section 1129(a)(7)'s "best interests" of creditors test, the Debtor argues that it may

-3-

Case: 23-40523 Doc# 1966 Filed: 05/12/25 Entered: 05/12/25 17:08:08 Page 3 of

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

choose which assets are to be valued in a hypothetical liquidation because certain assets are otherwise protected under the First Amendment, notwithstanding the fact that the test to be employed is hypothetical and that there is a compelling governmental interest in the application of this portion of the Bankruptcy Code that is narrowly tailored to achieving that interest. Professor Lipson is the author of an article on this very subject. *See* Jonathan C. Lipson, *When Churches Fail: The Diocesan Debtor Dilemmas*, 79 S. CAL. L. REV. 363 (2006).

Nunc pro tunc retention is appropriate here because this Application was filed shortly after Professor Lipson was selected to serve as Applicant's consultant and expert witness, and Applicant required provision of the Services from Professor Lipson immediately upon such selection.

A. Qualifications

After careful and diligent inquiry into Professor Lipson's qualifications and connections, Professor Lipson was selected to provide consulting and rebuttal expert witness services relating to the intersection of bankruptcy law and religious freedom, subject to the approval of this Court. Professor Lipson is uniquely qualified for this role through his ability and extensive professional experience.

Professor Lipson holds the Harold E. Kohn Chair and is a Professor of Law at Temple University Beasley School of Law. He teaches (or has taught) Contracts, Bankruptcy, Corporations, Commercial Law, Lawyering for Entrepreneurship, International Business Transactions, and a variety of other business law courses. His research focuses on corporate governance, restructuring, and contracting practices. A significant focus for Professor Lipson is the nexus between bankruptcy and religious liberty, such as his seminar "Debt and Democracy" (a study on bankruptcy and constitutional law), and his articles *When Churches Fail: The Diocesan Debtor Dilemmas*, 79 S. CAL. L. REV. 363 (2006) (cited in *Blaudziunas v. Egan*, 74 A.D.3d 697 (2010)), *First Principles and Fair Consideration: The Developing Clash Between the First Amendment and the Constructive Fraudulent Conveyance Laws*, 52 UNIV. MIAMI L. REV. 247 (1997), and *Religious Organizations Filing for Bankruptcy*, 13 AM. BANKR. INST. L. REV. 25 (2005) (invited panel remarks). In fact, he has been published and cited in several articles featuring

diocesan bankruptcies throughout the country (for example: *Complex issues will accompany settlement* (San Diego Diocese bankruptcy), San Diego Union-Tribune, Feb. 28, 2007; National Public Radio, Chuck Quirmbach, *Bankrupt Diocese Tries to Protect Assets*, Feb. 16, 2012 available at http://www.npr.org/2012/02/16/146995458/bankrupt-wis-church-tries-to-limit-abuse-claims). He has also been published in many of the nation's top law reviews and his work is frequently cited, including by the United States Supreme Court and U.S. Courts of Appeals, as well as leading business courts such as the Delaware Supreme Court, the Delaware Chancery Court and the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

Prior to teaching, Professor Lipson practiced as a lawyer. From 1995-1999, he practiced corporate and commercial law in Boston, with the firm Hill & Barlow. From 1992 to 1995, he practiced bankruptcy and commercial law in the New York office of Kirkland & Ellis. From 1990-1992, he practiced with Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy. While in practice, he was involved in several large and complex chapter 11 cases, including those of *Healthco*, *Thinking Machines Corporation*, and *CIS Corporation*.

Professor Lipson has also served as an expert in several complex corporate reorganizations, including, but not limited to: *In re Enron Corp.* (Bankr. S.D.N.Y) consulting expert to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors on matters of transaction characterization and legal opinions (2005-2007), which was resolved by settlement including \$30 million estate recovery; *In re Dexter Distributing* (Bankr. D. Ariz.), consulting expert to debtor-in-possession on claims of breach of fiduciary duty by corporate directors; *U.S. Bank National Association v. GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.* (N.Y. Sup. Ct., pending), consulting and potentially testifying expert on certain definitions in asset securitization transactions; *Banco Santander v. Stradley Ronon, LLP*, (Pa. Ct. Cm. Pl.), expert retained in legal malpractice case involving secured transaction under UCC Article 9, which was resolved in favor of client at summary judgment; *National Credit Union Administration Board v. Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, et al.* (S.D.N.Y.); *National Credit Union Administration Board v. UBS Securities, LLC* (S.D.N.Y.), submitted expert report and testified at deposition in coordinated actions brought by the NCUA in the Southern District of New

York, the Central District of California and the District of Kansas. Currently, Professor Lipson provides expert services on behalf of the U.S. Trustee in the *Professional Fee Matters Concerning the Jackson Walker Law Firm* (Bankr. S.D. Tex).

Given his breadth of experience, Professor Lipson is well qualified to provide the Services outlined herein.

B. <u>Compensation and Fee Applications</u>

Subject to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Bankruptcy Rules, the *United States Bankruptcy Court Northern District of California Guidelines for Compensation and Expense Reimbursement of Professionals and Trustees effective February 19, 2014* (the "Local Guidelines"), and the Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses (the "Appendix A Guidelines") issued by the Office of the United States Trustee, Professor Lipson will charge for his professional services on an hourly basis in accordance with the ordinary and customary hourly rates in effect at the time the services are rendered. Professor Lipson's hourly rate is \$1,400.¹ His typical billing rate charged in both bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy matters, to both debtor and non-debtor clients, is \$1,900 per hour. Professor Lipson's reduced hourly rate for this Chapter 11 Case is comparable to those charged by professionals of similar experience for engagements of scope and complexity similar to this Chapter 11 Case. Professor Lipson will also seek reimbursement of actual and necessary out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the Services and as provided for in his engagement letter (the "Engagement Letter"). A copy of the Engagement Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

Professor Lipson will maintain contemporaneous time records in six-minute intervals and apply to this Court for payment of compensation and reimbursement of expenses in relation to his provision of the Services. Such records will be maintained in accordance with applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Bankruptcy Rules, the Local

Professor Lipson's standard hourly rate is \$1,900 per hour. At the Committee's request, he has agreed to charge the estate \$1,400 per hour.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

fee awards are subject to approval by this Court.

Guidelines, the Appendix A Guidelines, and any additional procedures that may be established by the Court in this Chapter 11 Case. Professor Lipson may apply to the Court to authorize a monthly allowance that will permit provisional monthly payment of up to 80% of his fees and 100% of his expenses under the *Order Authorizing Procedures for Interim Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals* [Dkt. No. 170]. Professor Lipson understands that interim and final

Professor Lipson will charge for all services provided and for other charges and disbursements incurred in rendering the Services. These customary items include, among other things, travel and lodging expenses, business meals, costs of reproduction, research, communications, legal counsel costs, applicable sales or excise taxes and other direct expenses. Internal costs or overhead costs and document production services (including regular secretarial and word processing time) will not be charged for separately. Professor Lipson has acknowledged that Applicant, the Committee, its constituents, its advisors or professionals shall not be liable for the fees, expenses or other amounts payable to Professor Lipson.

V.

DISINTERESTEDNESS

To the best of Applicant's knowledge and except to the extent disclosed in the Professor Lipson Declaration, Professor Lipson (a) is a "disinterested" person within the meaning of section 101(14) of the Bankruptcy Code, and (b) does not hold or represent an interest adverse to the Debtor, or other parties in interest in the Chapter 11 Case. Professor Lipson will conduct an ongoing review of his files to ensure that no disqualifying circumstances arise. If any new relevant facts or relationships are discovered, Professor Lipson will supplement his disclosure to the Court.

VI.

NOTICE

Notice of this Application will be provided to (i) the Debtor; (ii) Debtor's counsel; (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee Region 17; and (iv) all parties that have requested to receive

-7-

Case: 23-40523 Doc# 1966 Filed: 05/12/25 Entered: 05/12/25 17:08:08 Page 7 of

notice under Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Given the nature of the requested relief, the Applicant submits that no other or further notice is required.

WHEREFORE, Applicant submits that for the above reasons, the retention and employment of Professor Lipson to provide the Services should be approved, and requests that the Court enter an order authorizing the retention of Professor Lipson to provide the Services *nunc pro tunc* to May 1, 2025, and granting such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: May 12, 2025

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Prol
Jeffrey D. Prol
Brent Weisenberg

- and -

Tobias S. Keller Gabrielle L. Albert

Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

-8-

EXHIBIT A

1	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP		
2	JEFFREY D. PROL (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) jprol@lowenstein.com		
3	BRENT WEISENBERG (admitted pro hac vice) bweisenberg@lowenstein.com		
4	COLLEEN M. RESTEL (admitted pro hac vice) crestel@lowenstein.com		
	One Lowenstein Drive		
5	Roseland, New Jersey 07068 Telephone: (973) 597-2500		
6	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP		
7	TOBIAS S. KELLER (Cal. Bar No. 151445) tkeller@kbkllp.com		
8	JANE KIM (Ĉal. Bar No. 298192)		
9	jkim@kbkllp.com GABRIELLE L. ALBERT (Cal. Bar No. 190895)		
10	galbert@kbkllp.com 650 California Street, Suite 1900		
11	San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 496-6723		
12	Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured		
13	Creditors		
14	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
16			
17		Case No. 23-40523 WJL	
18	In re:	Chapter 11	
19	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
20	OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,	THE APPLICATION FOR THE	
21	Debtor.	RETENTION OF JONATHAN C. LIPSON AS CONSULTANT AND	
22		EXPERT WITNESS	
23	Upon consideration of the Application for Order Authorizing the Retention of Jonathan C.		
24	Lipson as Consultant and Expert Witness (the "Application"), through which Lowenstein Sandler		
25	LLP ("Applicant"), counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee")		
26	of the Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland (the "Debtor"), requests entry of an order, under		
	sections 327, 330 and 1103 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and		
27	Rule 2014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), authorizing		
28		·	
	•		

Case: 23-40523 Doc# 1966-1 Filed: 05/12/25 Entered: 05/12/25 17:08:08 Page 2 of 4

and approving the retention and employment of Jonathan C. Lipson ("Professor Lipson") to provide the Services on behalf of the Committee; and upon the Declaration of Jonathan C Lipson in Support of the Application for Order Authorizing the Retention of Jonathan C. Lipson as Consultant and Expert Witness; and this Court having jurisdiction to consider the Application and the relief requested therein in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Application and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the Court being satisfied that Professor Lipson represents no interest adverse to the Debtor's estate; and it appearing that the Services to be provided by Professor Lipson are required; and the Court having held a hearing on the Application; and after due deliberation thereon, and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor: 1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: 1. The Application is granted and the terms of Professor Lipson's retention in the Engagement Letter are approved. 2. All objections to the Application or the relief requested therein that have not been withdrawn, waived or settled, and all reservations of rights included therein, are overruled. 3. Applicant is authorized to retain and employ Professor Lipson as a consultant and expert witness to provide the Services as described in the Application, with such employment effective as of May 1, 2025.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Order, or the Application, the Court is not approving terms and conditions of Professor Lipson's employment under Section 328(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

5. Professor Lipson shall apply for compensation for professional services rendered and reimbursement of expenses incurred beginning on May 1, 2025, in connection with the

Case: 23-40523 Doc# 1966-1 Filed: 05/12/25₂ Entered: 05/12/25 17:08:08 Page 3

Capitalized terms not defined herein have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Application.

Case: 23-40523 Doc# 1966-1 Filed: 05/12/253 Entered: 05/12/25 17:08:08 Page 4

of Δ

EXHIBIT B

of 4

Brent Weisenberg Partner

One Lowenstein Drive Roseland, New Jersey 07068

T: 973.597.6120

E: bweisenberg@towenstein.com



May 12, 2025

VIA EMAIL

Jonathan C. Lipson Harold E. Kohn Chair in Law; Professor of Law Temple University—Beasley School of Law 1719 North Broad Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122 ilipson@temple.edu

Re: In re The Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland, Case No. 23-40523

Dear Professor Lipson,

This letter confirms your agreement to act as an expert witness on behalf of Lowenstein Sandler LLP ("Lowenstein"), counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") appointed in the Chapter 11 bankruptcy case of the Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland (the "Debtor") pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division (the "Court").

Lowenstein is retaining you, on behalf of the Committee, to provide consulting and expert testimony services (the "Services") of a character and quality which would be necessarily adjunct to our services as lawyers. The Services include:

- Provide rebuttal expert witness services in response to any arguments asserted by the Debtor that a religious non-profit debtor may be exempted from the application of certain Bankruptcy Code provisions;
- Review and evaluate any relevant reports prepared by or on behalf of the Debtor, its professionals or any other entities;
- Prepare and draft rebuttal expert reports and/or affidavits/declarations concerning the issues for which you are being engaged;
- Prepare for and provide both deposition and court testimony regarding the issues for which you are being engaged and in response to any relevant arguments advanced by the Debtor;
- Assist the Committee in drafting pleadings concerning the issues for which you are being engaged; and

of 4

 Any other services that the Applicant deems necessary related to the application of certain Bankruptcy Code provisions and the law of religious liberty.

In connection with this engagement, all communications between you, personnel under your supervision, and Lowenstein, as well as communications between you (and such other persons) and any attorney, expert, agent or employee acting on behalf of the Committee or us, shall be regarded as confidential and made solely for the purpose of assisting counsel in giving legal advice to the Committee. You will not disclose to anyone, without our written or oral permission, the nature or content of any oral or written communications, or any information gained from the inspection of any records or documents submitted to you, including information obtained from corporate records or documents; and you will not permit inspection of any papers or documents without our permission in advance.

All working papers, records or other documents, regardless of their nature and the source from which they emanate, shall be held by you solely for our convenience and subject to our qualified right to instruct you with respect to possession and control. Working papers prepared by you, or under your direction, belong to Lowenstein on behalf of the Committee. You will immediately return all documents, records, and working papers to us at our request.

As part of the agreement to provide your services in this matter, you will immediately notify Lowenstein of the happening of any one of the following events: (a) the disclosure or surrender of any documents, records or information covered by this agreement, in a manner not expressly authorized by us; (b) a request by anyone to examine, inspect or copy such documents or records covered by this agreement; or (c) any attempt to serve, or the actual service of any court order, subpoena, or summons upon you which requires the production of any such documents or records.

Subject to the terms set forth herein, it is agreed that for your provision of the Services, you will be compensated at a rate of \$1,400.00 per hour and you will be reimbursed, for your out-of-pocket disbursements for expenses incurred in connection with such services. These customary disbursements include, among other things, travel and lodging expenses, business meals, costs of reproduction, research, communications, legal counsel costs, applicable sales or excise taxes and other direct expenses. Internal costs or overhead costs and document production services (including regular secretarial and word processing time) will not be charged for separately.

It is understood and agreed that although Lowenstein is retaining your services, payment of your fees will not be the responsibility of Lowenstein, the Committee, its advisors and professionals. Rather, the Debtor shall be solely responsible for the payment of your fees.

To ensure such payment, you agree to maintain contemporaneous time records in six-minute intervals and apply to this the Court for payment of compensation and reimbursement of expenses in relation to his provision of the expert witness services. Such records will be maintained in accordance with applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Guidelines, the Appendix A Guidelines, and any additional procedures that may be established by the Court in this Chapter 11 Case. You may then apply to the Court to authorize a monthly allowance that will permit provisional monthly payment

of up to 80% of his fees and 100% of his expenses under the *Order Authorizing Procedures for Interim Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals* [Dkt. No. 170]. It is understood that interim and final fee awards are subject to approval by the Court.

If the foregoing terms of this engagement are acceptable, please sign a copy below, and return it to me.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Brent Weisenberg

AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

By:_

Jonatan C. Lipson

15.57

Dated:

of 4