1 2	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP STEPHEN H. WARREN (S.B. #136895) JUSTINE M. DANIELS (S.B. #241180) 400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor	CLYDE & CO US LLP ALEXANDER E. POTENTE (S.B. #208240) JASON J. CHORLEY (S.B. #263225) 150 California Street, 15th Floor	
3	Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-6000	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 365-9800	
4	Facsimile: (213) 430-6407 Email: swarren@omm.com	Facsimile: (415) 365-9801 Email: alex.potente@clydeco.us	
5	jdaniels@omm.com	jason.chorley@clydeco.us	
6	TANCRED V. SCHIAVONI (pro hac vice) 1301 Avenue of the Americas		
7	New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 326-2000		
8	Email: tschiavoni@omm.com		
9	Attorneys for Insurance Company of North America, Westchester Fire Insurance		
10	Company, Pacific Indemnity Company, and Pacific Employers Insurance Company		
11		ANKDUPTCV COURT	
12	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	OAKLAND DIVISION		
14	In re:		
15	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,	Chapter 11	
16	Debtor.	Bankruptcy Case No. 23-40523 WJL	
17		PACIFIC INSURERS' RESPONSE TO DEBTOR'S MOTION TO CONTINUE	
18		CONFIRMATION HEARING	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	I		

The Committee's opposition to the Debtors' motion is entirely contradictory. On one hand, the Committee opposes an adjournment of the confirmation hearing asserting that the claimants have waited too long for justice. On the other, they vehemently insist on stopping everything to allow six cases to wind their way through trial, the appellate process, coverage litigation, and appeals, while the vast majority of claimants are left behind.¹

On Tuesday, the debtor and committee in the *Archdiocese of New Orleans* bankruptcy filed a consensual plan of reorganization with the support of insurers in a case that was far more contentious than this one.² As the debtor approached administrative insolvency, Judge Grabill denied a motion to lift the stay and ordered that all further fee applications of estate professionals, collateral motion practice, and litigation be held in abeyance while the parties focused 100 percent on confirming a plan.³ Judge Grabill then set a deadline by which she would dismiss the case.

The resulting plan—which is now on its way to solicitation and confirmation—provides for the equitable distribution of a fixed sum of money by the Archdiocese and the option to adjudicate claims in the tort system against non-settled insurers whose contract rights are

Discrimination is injected into the bankruptcy case not by virtue of which claimants are selected for lift stay relief, but by the fact that the few selected will be given a preference over all other similarly situated claimants. Thus, even if the state court overseeing the JCCP selects the cases to proceed to trial, that will not lessen the discriminatory impact of lifting the stay. Whatever subgroup of claimants and plaintiff firms proceed will end up in a preferential position to all other similarly situated claimants. This is the point made in the Camden decision. *See* July 8, 2025 Hr'g. Tr. at 16-17, *In re: The Diocese of Camden, New Jersey*, Case No. 20-21257-JNP, Dkt. No. 4000 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2020). This is why in a regular commercial bankruptcy it is unheard of to grant one group of bondholders lift stay relief to pursue their claims while the rest remain subject to the automatic stay.

² Stephanie Riegel, After 5 years, NO archdiocese, survivors file joint plan seeking to end bankruptcy, NOLA.com (July 16, 2025) *available at* https://www.nola.com/news/business/after-5-years-no-archdiocese-abuse-survivors-file-joint-plan-seeking-to-end-bankruptcy/article 6cf1a03e-b4e1-436a-9825-989d94ff6054.html.

³ See Sept. 30, 2020 Hr'g. Tr. at 66-67, Dkt. No. 1583 (denying Committee motion to lift stay); Order, Dkt. No. 2877 (denying 27 claimants' motions to lift the stay); Order, Dkt. 3497 (holding fee applications in abeyance); Nov. 21, 2024 Hr'g. Tr. at 35:23-36: 8, Dkt. 3052 (same); Jan. 26, 2025 Hr'g. Tr. at 130:9-13, Dkt. No. 4143 (continuing fee application abeyance), In re Roman Cath. Church of Archdiocese of New Orleans, Case No. 20-10846 (Bankr. E.D. La. 2021).

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

preserved. Interestingly, the plan has many of the same core aspects as the one proposed here. In the Archdiocese of New Orleans bankruptcy, as here, spending by estate professionals was out of control and served an end in itself.⁴ The fee moratorium and the deadline to confirm a plan focused attention. Judge Glenn, who entered an order imposing a 50% fee hold back and set a deadline to dismiss the case, pursued much the same course in the *Diocese of Rockville Center* bankruptcy.⁵

The incentives in a bankruptcy of a religious organization are turned upside from those in a normal commercial bankruptcy. Committees have virtually unchecked leverage by simply threatening not to agree to anything. Meanwhile, their professionals are economically incentivized to keep cases going with the outsized fees they incur becoming a weapon itself. Committee counsel in this case has incurred more than \$24 million dollars in fees over five years in two bankruptcy cases—Diocese of Oakland (\$7.3M⁶) and Diocese of Camden (\$16.9M⁷) without distribution of a dollar to claimants. There is a lesson here.

The Diocese of Oakland has on the table a plan that offers essentially all of its unrestricted assets and leaves claimants unimpaired in adjudicating their claims against insurers and a means to do so that treats similarly situated claimants the same. Whether the Court entertains a confirmation trial in August or somewhat later, the Court should tailor its order so that all attention in the interim is focused on the goal of confirming a plan in the near term.

²² 23

²⁴

²⁵

²⁶

²⁷

²⁸

⁴ See Rule 706 Expert Witness' Report, Dkt. 3436, In re Roman Cath. Church of Archdiocese of New Orleans, Case No. 20-10846 (Bankr. E.D. La. 2021).

⁵ See Order Regarding Holdback on Professional Fees, Dkt. No. 2743, In re: The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre, New York, Case No. 20-12345-MG (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2020).

⁶ See Chapter 11 Monthly Operating Report for the Month Ending: 05/31/2025, Dkt. No. 2091 at 3.

⁷ See Chapter 11 Post-Confirmation Report for Quarter Ending: 9/30/2024, Dkt. No. 3926 at 2, In re: The Diocese of Camden, New Jersey, Case No. 20-21257-JNP (Bankr. D.N.J. 2020).

1	Dated: July 17, 2025	Respectfully submitted,
2		By: /s/ Justine M. Daniels Stephen H. Warren (State Bar No. 136895) Justine M. Daniels (State Bar No. 241180)
3		Justine M. Daniels (State Bar No. 241180) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
4		400 South Hone Street 19th Floor
5		Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 430-6000 swarren@omm.com, jdaniels@omm.com
6		Tancred V. Schiavoni (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
7 8		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019
9		(212) 326-2000 tschiavoni@omm.com
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		
28		
20		