

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Eileen R. Ridley (CA Bar No. 151735)
Tel: (415) 438-6469; eridley@foley.com
Shane J. Moses (CA Bar No. 250533)
Tel: (415) 438-6404; smoses@foley.com
Ann Marie Uetz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Tel: (313) 234-7114; auetz@foley.com
Matthew D. Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Tel: (608) 258-4203; mdlee@foley.com
Geoffrey S. Goodman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Tel: (312) 832-4515; ggoodman@foley.com
Mark C. Moore (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Tel: (214) 999-4150; mmoore@foley.com
One Market Plaza
55 Spear Street Tower, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94105

*Counsel for the Debtor
and Debtor in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

In re:

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF
OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,

Debtor.

Case No. 23-40523 WJL

Chapter 11

**DEBTOR'S SEVENTH MOTION TO
EXTEND DEADLINE TO ASSUME OR
REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF
NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY
PURSUANT TO SECTION 365(d)(4) OF THE
BANKRUPTCY CODE**

Judge: Hon. William J. Lafferty

Date: April 1, 2026

Time: 10:30 a.m.

Place: United States Bankruptcy Court
1300 Clay Street
Courtroom 220
Oakland, CA 94612

1 The Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland, a California corporation sole and the debtor and debtor
2 in possession (the “Debtor” or “RCBO”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy case (the “Chapter
3 11 Case” or the “Bankruptcy Case”), hereby files this motion (the “Motion”) pursuant to section 365(d)(4)
4 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 6006-1 of the Bankruptcy Local
5 Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the “Local Rules”) for
6 entry of an order substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Proposed Order”) further
7 extending the time for the Debtor to assume or reject the agreement for the Debtor’s use of facilities and
8 grounds in Oakland owned by the Catholic Cathedral Corporation of the East Bay (“CCCEB”), which
9 includes the Cathedral of Christ the Light and the Debtor’s Chancery offices. This seventh request is made
10 with the consent of the lessor. The Debtor will be filing a stipulation with CCCEB reflecting CCCEB’s
11 written consent pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) for the extension requested herein (the “CCCEB
12 Stipulation”).

13 This Motion is based on the Memorandum of Points and Authorities set forth herein, the notice of
14 hearing on the Motion, the *Declaration of Attila Bardos in Support of Debtor’s Seventh Motion to Extend*
15 *Deadline to Assume or Reject Unexpired Leases of Nonresidential Real Property Pursuant to Section*
16 *365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code* (the “Bardos Declaration”) filed concurrently herewith and incorporated
17 herein by reference, the CCCEB Stipulation, and upon such oral and documentary evidence as may be
18 presented at the hearing on the Motion.

19 ///

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 DEBTOR’S SEVENTH MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO ASSUME OR REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE..... 2

III. BACKGROUND FACTS 2

 A. GENERAL BACKGROUND..... 2

 B. THE DEBTOR’S POST-PETITION ACTIVITIES AND CASE PROGRESS 3

 C. THE CATHEDRAL LEASE 4

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED 6

V. BASIS FOR RELIEF 6

 A. THE COURT HAS BROAD DISCRETION TO EXTEND THE LEASE
 ASSUMPTION DEADLINE..... 6

 B. CAUSE EXISTS TO FURTHER EXTEND THE LEASE ASSUMPTION
 DEADLINE WITH RESPECT TO THE CATHEDRAL LEASE..... 8

 1. The Lessor Will Not Be Harmed by the Requested Extension and
 Has Consented 8

 2. The Debtor’s Case Is Large and Complex..... 9

 3. The Debtor Has Not Had Sufficient Time to Formulate a Plan of
 Reorganization 10

 4. Not Granting the Extension Would Significantly Disrupt the
 Debtor’s Operations 10

VI. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS 11

VII. NOTICE 11

VIII. CONCLUSION 12

1 I.

2 INTRODUCTION

3 The Debtor seeks to extend the time in which it may assume or reject the unexpired lease (the
4 “Cathedral Lease”) for the Debtor’s use of the property on which the Cathedral of Christ the Light, Bishop
5 Michael C. Barber’s residence, and the Debtor’s Chancery offices are located (the “Cathedral Property”).
6 The Debtor’s objective in this Chapter 11 Case remains to achieve confirmation of a plan of reorganization
7 that will (a) ensure a fair and equitable outcome for survivors of sexual abuse, and (b) allow the Debtor to
8 stabilize its finances, continue its mission to serve the needs of the faithful within the Diocese of Oakland,
9 and continue to provide services to underserved people and groups in the East Bay.

10 The Debtor has made significant progress toward reorganization, but the future of the case is
11 currently uncertain. After hard-fought negotiations with certain of its historical insurance carriers (the
12 “Insurers”), the Debtor has filed a confirmable plan of reorganization (the “Plan”), which provides a fair
13 and equitable outcome for survivors of sexual abuse. The Debtor has obtained approval of its disclosure
14 statement in support of the Plan (the “Disclosure Statement”). The Debtor continues to seek a consensual
15 resolution of objections to the Plan raised by the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the
16 “Committee”), although it believes that confirmation of the Plan is in the best interests of the Debtor, the
17 estate, and its creditors, even if a consensual resolution is not possible. The Plan is currently set for a status
18 conference on confirmation scheduled for March 10, 2026. The Committee has indicated that it will file a
19 competing plan on or before March 6, 2026.

20 As stated in the Debtor’s prior motions to extend the deadline to assume or reject the Cathedral
21 Lease, the Debtor believes that treatment of the Cathedral Lease should be addressed as a part of a chapter
22 11 plan. Indeed, the Debtor’s proposed Plan contemplates treatment of the Cathedral Lease and Cathedral
23 Property. The Plan will not be confirmed prior to the current deadline, however, based on the Plan status
24 conference set for March 10, 2026. A further extension of the deadline to assume or reject the Cathedral
25 Lease is therefore needed while the Plan process is pending.

26 The Debtor therefore seeks a six-month extension of its current deadline to assume or reject the
27 Cathedral Lease, pursuant to section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code (the “Lease Assumption
28 Deadline”). Pursuant to section 365(d)(4)(B)(ii), subsequent extensions beyond an initial 90-day

1 extension may be granted with the consent of the lessor. CCCEB, the lessor of the Cathedral Lease, has
2 granted consent in the CCCEB Stipulation. For the reasons set forth below, cause exists for this extension.

3 By this Motion, the Debtor merely seeks to continue to preserve the status quo, pending a larger
4 resolution of the Chapter 11 Case. The relief sought would not prejudice the rights of any party, including
5 the Committee, regarding any future substantive relief related to the Cathedral Lease or Cathedral
6 Property.

7 II.

8 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9 This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This
10 matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b), the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and*
11 *Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order No. 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Local Rule of Bankruptcy
12 Procedure for the Northern District of California 5011-1(a). Venue for this matter is proper in this district
13 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

14 The legal basis for the relief requested herein is section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

15 III.

16 BACKGROUND FACTS

17 A. General Background

18 On May 8, 2023 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for chapter 11
19 bankruptcy relief under the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtor continues to operate its ministry and manage
20 its properties as a debtor in possession under sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No
21 trustee has been appointed in this Chapter 11 Case.

22 On May 23, 2023, the U.S. Trustee appointed the Committee.

23 The Debtor is a corporation sole organized under the laws of the State of California. The Debtor
24 conducts its civil affairs under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America and in
25 accordance with the Code of Canon Law, the ecclesiastical law of the Roman Catholic Church. Additional
26 information regarding the Debtor, its mission, ministries, and operations, and the events and circumstances
27 preceding the Petition Date, is set forth in the *Declaration of Charles Moore, Managing Director of*
28

1 *Alvarez & Marsal North America, LLC, Proposed Restructuring Advisor to the Roman Catholic Bishop*
2 *of Oakland, in Support of Chapter 11 Petition and First Day Pleadings* (the “First Day Declaration”)
3 [Docket No. 19], which is incorporated herein by reference.

4 **B. The Debtor’s Post-Petition Activities and Case Progress**

5 After the initial period of the Chapter 11 case and resolution of its first day motions, the Debtor’s
6 efforts focused on the bar date and claims process, pursuing insurance coverage for sexual abuse claims
7 through the Insurance Coverage Litigation, and mediation with the Committee and Insurers toward
8 negotiated consensual plan terms. Since the last extension of the Lease Assumption Deadline, the Debtor’s
9 focus in this Chapter 11 Case has been on seeking consensual resolution with the Committee and Insurers
10 through Mediation, and on presenting its Fourth Amended Plan.

11 The Debtor has engaged in extensive mediation with both the Insurers and the Committee.
12 Following the conclusion of a virtual mediation session on November 6, 2024, and immediately prior to
13 the filing of its original plan, the Debtor and Insurers reached agreement on the terms of an insurance
14 assignment, the creation of the Survivors’ Trust (as defined in the Plan) and a “Litigation Option” for
15 Trust Claimants (as defined in the Plan) to pursue recovery under the pertinent insurance policies, all as
16 embodied in the Plan. As reflected in the *Supplemental Notice of Filing Non-Binding Term Sheet* [Docket
17 No. 2521], the Debtor was able to reach agreement in the form of a non-binding term sheet with certain
18 Insurers for a financial contribution to the survivors’ trust to be created pursuant to the Plan, in the
19 aggregated amount of approximately \$44,347,868, subject to the terms of the term sheet. These
20 agreements were no small feats. The Debtor and Insurers have been adversaries throughout this Chapter
21 11 Case on numerous important issues. The Debtor has not reached agreement with the Committee on any
22 Plan terms, although multiple aspects of the Plan align with the Committee’s stated requests, and the
23 Debtor continues to hope for a consensual resolution.

24 On November 8, 2024, the Debtor filed *Debtor’s Plan of Reorganization* [Docket No. 1444] (the
25 “Original Plan”) and accompanying *Disclosure Statement for the Debtor’s Plan of Reorganization*
26 [Docket No. 1445] (the “Original Disclosure Statement”). On November 13, 2024, the Debtor filed
27 *Motion for Order (I) Approving Disclosure Statement; and (II) Establishing Procedures for Plan*
28

1 *Solicitation, Notice, and Balloting* [Docket No. 1453] (the “Approval Motion”). The Committee objected
2 to approval of the Original Disclosure Statement and subsequent amended disclosure statements on
3 various bases, and has engaged in a barrage of litigation tactics in response to the Original Plan and
4 subsequent amendments. In light of the escalating costs of litigation regarding the Plan, on July 16, 2025,
5 the Debtor filed its *Debtor’s Motion to Continue Confirmation Hearing and to Reset Confirmation*
6 *Schedule* [Docket No. 2147] (the “Motion to Continue Confirmation”), seeking a continuance of the Plan
7 confirmation hearing, which was granted.

8 Notwithstanding the challenges posed by the Committee’s actions, the Debtor has continued to
9 work toward addressing the Committee’s concerns to the extent possible and putting forth a confirmable
10 plan of reorganization. At a status conference held on February 17, 2026, the Court directed the Debtor to
11 proceed with filing of its proposed fourth amended plan by February 20, 2026, and directed the Committee
12 to file any competing plan by March 6, 2026. On February 20, 2026, the Debtor filed its *Notice of Filing*
13 *of Debtor’s Fourth Amended Plan of Reorganization*, [Docket No. 2654] with its proposed fourth
14 amended plan (the “Fourth Amended Plan”) attached thereto as Exhibit 2.

15 The Debtor remains confident that the Fourth Amended Plan can be confirmed and is in the best
16 interest of all the Debtor’s creditors and its estate. The Debtor likewise remains hopeful that a consensual
17 resolution can be reached with the Committee. If that is not possible, the Debtor intends to seek
18 confirmation of its Fourth Amended Plan.

19 **C. The Cathedral Lease**

20 As the Debtor has previously explained, the Cathedral Lease is for the Debtor’s use of Cathedral
21 Property, consisting of facilities and grounds in Oakland owned by CCCEB, which includes the Cathedral
22 of Christ the Light and the Debtor’s Chancery offices. Although the primary purpose of the lease is
23 nonresidential, the lease does include Bishop Barber’s residence and other residential spaces used from
24 time to time by other priests of the Diocese.

25 On August 15, 2023, the Debtor filed the *Debtor’s Motion to Extend Deadline to Assume or Reject*
26 *Unexpired Leases of Nonresidential Real Property Pursuant to Section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code*
27 [Docket No. 367], seeking an extension of time to assume or reject non-residential real property leases,
28

1 including the Cathedral Lease. At that time, the Lease Assumption Deadline was September 5, 2023, and
2 the Debtor sought an extension to December 4, 2023. There were no objections, and on September 1,
3 2023, the Court entered an order granting the motion [Docket No. 421] and extending the Lease
4 Assumption Deadline to December 4, 2023.

5 The Debtor has subsequently filed five further motions to extend the Lease Assumption deadline
6 [Docket Nos. 640, 924, 1328, 1825, and 2325]. There were no objections to these motions, and the Court
7 entered orders granting each [Docket Nos. 883, 1011, 1345, 1871, and 2360]. Most recently, on October
8 1, 2025, the Court entered the *Sixth Order Extending Deadline to Assume or Reject Unexpired Leases of*
9 *Nonresidential Real Property Pursuant to Section 365(d)(4) Of The Bankruptcy Code* [Docket No. 2360]
10 (the “Sixth Extension Order”).

11 Pursuant to section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Sixth Extension Order, the current
12 Lease Assumption Deadline is April 1, 2026. By the CCCEB Stipulation, the Debtor and CCCEB have
13 stipulated to an extension of the current Lease Assumption Deadline through and including October 1,
14 2026, subject to an order granting this Motion. If the Cathedral Lease is not assumed or rejected on or
15 prior to October 1, 2026, the Cathedral Lease would be deemed rejected unless the Debtor receives,
16 pursuant to section 365(d)(4)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code, a further extension of time within which it may
17 assume or reject the Cathedral Lease.

18 The Fourth Amended Plan contemplates treatment of the Cathedral Property and Cathedral Lease.
19 Pursuant to the Fourth Amended Plan, the Cathedral Lease will be rejected, in connection with a settlement
20 whereby the Cathedral Property is to be transferred to the Debtor in satisfaction of outstanding loan
21 obligations owed by CCCEB to the Debtor (the “CCCEB Settlement”).

22 The Debtor will be filing the CCCEB Stipulation, reflecting that CCCEB, as the lessor of the
23 Cathedral Lease, consents in writing to the extension requested herein.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IV.

RELIEF REQUESTED

By this Motion, and pursuant to section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor seeks to extend the current Lease Assumption Deadline by an additional six months, up to and including October 1, 2026.

V.

BASIS FOR RELIEF

A. The Court Has Broad Discretion to Extend the Lease Assumption Deadline

Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code governs the Debtor's ability to assume, assume and assign, and reject unexpired leases and executory contracts. Section 365(d)(4)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code provides:

an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property under which the debtor is the lessee shall be deemed rejected, and the trustee shall immediately surrender that nonresidential real property to the lessor, if the trustee does not assume or reject the unexpired lease by the earlier of—

(i) the date that is 120 days after the date of the order for relief; or

(ii) the date of the entry of an order confirming a plan.

11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(A). Section 365(d)(4)(B)(i) provides the “court may extend the period determined under subparagraph (A), prior to the expiration of the 120-day period, for 90 days on the motion of the trustee or lessor for cause.” 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(B). Section 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) authorizes further extensions with the consent of the lessor, specifically providing that: “if the court grants an extension under clause (i), the court may grant a subsequent extension only upon prior written consent of the lessor in each instance.” 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

The term “cause,” as used in section 365(d)(4)(B), is not defined in the Bankruptcy Code, nor does the Bankruptcy Code establish formal criteria for evaluating a request for an extension of the Lease Assumption Deadline. Instead, bankruptcy courts have a “great deal of discretion...to weigh all relevant factors related to the requested extension.” *BC Brickyard Assocs. v. Ernst Home Ctr., Inc. (In re Ernst Home Ctr., Inc.)*, 221 B.R. 243, 253 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1998) (concur.); *see also Willamette Water Front*,

1 *Ltd. v. Victoria Station, Inc. (In re Victoria Station, Inc.)*, 88 B.R. 231 (9th Cir. BAP 1988), *aff'd* 875 F.2d
2 1380 (9th Cir. 1989)).

3 In determining whether cause exists for an extension of the Lease Assumption Deadline, courts
4 have considered various factors, including (1) whether the lessor continues to receive rental payments;
5 (2) whether the case is exceptionally complex and involves a large number of leases; (3) whether the leases
6 are the primary assets of the debtor; and (4) whether the property remains vacant thereby affecting
7 neighboring tenants. *See In re Victoria Station Inc.*, 88 B.R. at 236 and n.7 (holding “cause” existed where
8 the “case involved numerous and complicated leases, the debtor continued to occupy the space and all
9 post-petition lease obligations were satisfied”).¹

10 Some courts have considered a more expansive list of factors, including: (1) whether the lease is
11 the primary asset of debtor; (2) whether the landlord has a reversionary interest in the building built by
12 debtor on landlord’s land; (3) whether the debtor has had sufficient time to assess its financial situation
13 and the potential value of its assets in terms of formulating a plan; (4) whether the lessor continues to
14 receive the rent as required by the lease; (5) whether the lessor will be damaged beyond the compensation
15 available under the Bankruptcy Code due to debtor’s continued occupation of the leased premises;
16 (6) whether the case is exceptionally complex and involves a large number of leases; (7) whether the need
17 exists for a judicial determination of whether the lease is disguised as a security interest; (8) whether the
18 debtor has failed or is unable to formulate a plan when it has had sufficient time to do so; and (9) any other
19 factors bearing on whether the debtor has had a reasonable amount of time in which to decide whether to
20 assume or reject the lease. *See In re Ernst Home Ctr.*, 221 B.R. at 253 (concur.); *see also, e.g., In re Serv.*
21 *Merch. Co., Inc.*, 256 B.R. 744, 748 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn. 2000) (applying *In re Ernst Home Ctr.* factors
22 and granting debtors extension of time); *In re Henry Mayo Newhall Mem’l Hosp.*, 282 B.R. 444, 456
23 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2002) (noting determination of “extensions of time to assume or reject leases under
24 § 365(d)(4)” is a “factor-driven analysis”) (citing *In re Ernst Home Ctr.*, 221 B.R. at 253) (concur.).

25
26 ¹ Although section 365(d)(4) was extensively amended by the Bankruptcy Abuse and Prevention and
27 Consumer Protection Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-8, 119 Stat. 23 (2005) (“BAPCPA”), the discretionary
28 factors considered to determine whether cause exists for an extension articulated in the pre-BAPCPA
cases cited above continue to be applied by Bankruptcy Courts. *See, e.g., In re Hawker Beechcraft, Inc.*,
483 B.R. 424, 429 and n.7 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2012).

1 “[T]he list of enumerated factors was not meant to be exclusive nor should a Court be required to
2 consider particular factors that have no application to the request for extension at issue.” *In re Ernst Home*
3 *Ctr., Inc.*, 209 B.R. 974, 980–81 (Bankr. W.D. Wash. 1997). Ultimately, whether to grant an extension
4 of time rests within the sound discretion of the bankruptcy court. *Id.*

5 **B. Cause Exists to Further Extend the Lease Assumption Deadline with Respect to the**
6 **Cathedral Lease.**

7 An evaluation of the relevant factors identified above demonstrates cause for the requested
8 extension of the Lease Assumption Deadline. The Debtor requires additional time beyond the current
9 deadline so that treatment of the Cathedral Lease can be resolved through the Debtor’s Plan.

10 The Debtor has been working extensively with the Committee and Insurers to development the
11 terms of plan to emerge from chapter 11, including through the mediation. While the Debtor and the
12 Committee have not reached agreement on any specific terms of the Plan, much of the Fourth Amended
13 Plan reflects what the Committee has requested, and the Debtor believes that the Fourth Amended Plan is
14 fair and equitable and should be confirmed. A status conference is currently set for March 10, 2026, to
15 address scheduling for confirmation of the Fourth Amended Plan, as well as to address next steps on any
16 proposed competing plan filed by the Committee.

17 The Plan provides for resolution of issues related to the Cathedral Lease and Cathedral Property
18 through the CCCEB Settlement. Because the Fourth Plan therefore will be confirmed well after the current
19 Lease Assumption Deadline, in light of the status conference set just 21 days before the current Lease
20 Assumption Deadline, a further extension is necessary pending resolution of the Plan confirmation
21 process.

22 Accordingly, cause exists to extend the Lease Assumption Deadline up to and including October
23 1, 2026.

24 *1. The Lessor Will Not Be Harmed by the Requested Extension and Has Consented*

25 Since the Petition Date, the Debtor has paid its post-petition expenses in the ordinary course of
26 business or as otherwise provided by court order, including for the Cathedral Lease. The Debtor is current
27 on all monetary obligations due and owing on the Cathedral Lease, provided that in order to improve cash
28

1 flow the Debtor is paying operating expenses for the first quarter of 2026 on a monthly basis, rather than
2 in a quarterly lump sum as it has in the past. The Debtor is committed to remaining current with respect
3 to all undisputed post-petition obligations under the Cathedral Lease in compliance with section 365(d)(3)
4 of the Bankruptcy Code. As set forth in the *Debtor's Response to the Official Committee of Unsecured*
5 *Creditors' (I) Objection to Proposed Postpetition Payment to Catholic Cathedral Corporation of ohe East*
6 *Bay and (II) Request For an Emergency Hearing* [2144] (the "CCCEB Payment Response"), payment of
7 these obligations is necessary to the Debtor's operations, because CCCEB does not otherwise have the
8 funds to pay ongoing operating expenses of the Cathedral Property.

9 The CCCEB Stipulation will reflect that CCCEB expressly consents in writing to the requested
10 extension. As the lessor, CCCEB is the only non-debtor party directly affected by the relief requested.

11 2. *The Debtor's Case Is Large and Complex*

12 As the Debtor described in detail in the Third Amended Disclosure Statement, this Chapter 11
13 Case is very complex for a number of reasons, including without limitation the large number of creditors,
14 the complex issues of insurance coverage to be litigated in the pending insurance coverage litigation, and
15 the nature of the Debtor itself.

16 The Debtor's Schedules list more than 570 creditors. Approximately 560 proofs of claim were
17 filed, including 421 claims asserting the Debtor is liable for damages relating to childhood sexual abuse.
18 Many of those claims are asserted to be of six-figure or seven-figure amounts, and many are listed as
19 having an unknown amount. The claims related to childhood sexual abuse present unique complexities of
20 confidentiality, valuation, procedure, and appropriate and equitable treatment of claims.

21 Adding to the complexity of this Chapter 11 Case is the covered litigation between the Debtor and
22 the Insurers (the "Insurance Coverage Litigation"). The Insurance Coverage Litigation has resulted in
23 significant assets becoming available to satisfy creditor claims through the assignment provisions of the
24 Plan.

25 Furthermore, the nature of the Debtor itself contributes to the complexity of the case. As described
26 in detail in the First Day Declaration, the Debtor is very different from typical chapter 11 corporate
27 debtors. The Debtor provides central services to churches serving 82 parishes and missions of the Diocese
28

1 of Oakland, and to the Non-Debtor Catholic Entities (as defined in the First Day Declaration). It must
2 adhere to Canon Law in addition to its civil law obligations. And it has a unique focus, including
3 celebration of the sacraments, provision of pastoral services, performance of works of mercy, and outreach
4 to and support of the faithful and the poor within the Diocese of Oakland.

5 While the Debtor has made significant good faith progress to move this Bankruptcy Case forward,
6 it has taken significant time to reach the current stage, where the Debtor anticipates moving forward with
7 Plan confirmation. The Debtor needs additional time to allow for treatment of the Cathedral Lease and
8 Cathedral Property through the Fourth Amended Plan.

9 3. The Debtor Needs Additional Time to Seek Confirmation of its Plan of
10 Reorganization

11 The Debtor has now filed a proposed Fourth Amended Plan. As noted above, however, a status
12 conference to address the confirmation schedule and next steps has been set for March 10, 2026. Under
13 no circumstances would the Debtor anticipate a confirmation hearing being set before April 1, 2026. The
14 Debtor therefore needs additional time to in connection with the Plan Confirmation process. The future of
15 the Cathedral Lease should be addressed as part of the Plan.

16 4. Not Granting the Extension Would Significantly Disrupt the Debtor's Operations

17 The deemed rejection of the Debtors' Cathedral Lease could adversely impact the Debtor's
18 ongoing restructuring efforts and greatly disrupt its operations. For example, the Cathedral Lease grants
19 the Debtor access to its central offices – the Chancery – and the most visible place of worship in the
20 Diocese of Oakland, the Cathedral of Christ the Light, where daily Mass is held and where many Catholic
21 faithful come to pray and to worship each day. The Debtor has proposed the CCCEB Settlement as part
22 of the Fourth Amended Plan, whereby the Cathedral Property will be transferred to the Debtor, so that the
23 Debtor can continue to use the Cathedral Property uninterrupted. Ongoing payments of operating expenses
24 for the Cathedral Property are essential to continue maintenance and operation of the Cathedral Property
25 and the Debtor's operations. The Debtor is in no position at this stage of the case to relocate the operations
26 and activities that occur at this site.

27 ***

1 In sum, considering the Debtor’s ongoing payment of its post-petition obligations under the
2 Cathedral Lease, the consent of the lessor, the size and complexity of this Chapter 11 Case, the time
3 needed to address confirmation of the Debtor’s reorganization Plan, and the adverse effects of not granting
4 the extension for the Debtor, its lessor, and the Estate, cause exists for an extension of the Lease
5 Assumption Deadline to October 1, 2026, to be granted under section 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) of the Bankruptcy
6 Code.

7 **VI.**
8 **RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

9 Nothing contained in this Motion is intended to be or shall be construed as (i) an admission as to
10 the validity of any claim against the Debtor, (ii) a waiver of the Debtor’s or any appropriate party in
11 interest’s rights to dispute any claim, or (iii) an approval or assumption of any agreement, contract,
12 program, policy, or lease under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.

13 Nothing contained in this Motion is intended to be or shall be construed as a waiver of any of the
14 Debtor’s rights under any applicable law, including, without limitation, the Code of Canon law, the First
15 Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, California
16 Corporations Code §§ 10000-10015, the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C.
17 §§ 2000bb-2000bb-4), the church autonomy doctrine, charitable trust law, California trust law, or the
18 Debtor’s rights under any insurance policies and to proceeds thereof, or the Debtor’s rights to object to
19 disclosure of information and contend certain assets discussed in this Motion are not property of the estate.

20 **VII.**
21 **NOTICE**

22 Notice of this Motion is being provided to the Core Service List pursuant to the Court’s *Final*
23 *Order Authorizing and Approving Special Noticing and Confidentiality Procedures* [Docket No. 292] (the
24 “Noticing Order”), as well as CCCEB pursuant to Local Rule 6006-1. No further notice is required.

25 ///

26 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VIII.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Debtor requests the Court enter an order, substantially in the form of the Proposed Order, extending the Lease Assumption Deadline up to and including October 1, 2026, and granting related relief.

DATED: March 11, 2026

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Eileen R. Ridley
Shane J. Moses
Ann Marie Uetz
Matthew D. Lee
Mark C. Moore

/s/ Shane J. Moses

Shane J. Moses

*Counsel for the Debtor
and Debtor in Possession*

EXHIBIT A

1 **FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**

2 Eileen R. Ridley (CA Bar No. 151735)
3 Tel: (415) 438-6469; eridley@foley.com
4 Shane J. Moses (CA Bar No. 250533)
5 Tel: (415) 438-6404; smoses@foley.com
6 Ann Marie Uetz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
7 Tel: (313) 234-7114; auetz@foley.com
8 Matthew D. Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
9 Tel: (608) 258-4203; mdlee@foley.com
10 Geoffrey S. Goodman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
11 Tel: (312) 832-4515; ggoodman@foley.com
12 Mark C. Moore (admitted *pro hac vice*)
13 Tel: (214) 999-4150; mmoore@foley.com
14 One Market Plaza
15 55 Spear Street Tower, Suite 1900
16 San Francisco, CA 94105

17 *Counsel for the Debtor*
18 *and Debtor in Possession*

19
20 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
21
22 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
23
24 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

25 In re:
26
27 THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF
28 OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,

Debtor.

Case No. 23-40523 WJL

Chapter 11

**[PROPOSED] SEVENTH ORDER
EXTENDING DEADLINE TO ASSUME OR
REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF
NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY
PURSUANT TO SECTION 365(d)(4) OF THE
BANKRUPTCY CODE**

Judge: Hon. William J. Lafferty

Date: April 1, 2026

Time: 10:30 a.m.

Place: United States Bankruptcy Court
1300 Clay Street
Courtroom 220
Oakland, CA 94612

1 Upon the *Debtor's Seventh Motion to Extend Deadline to Assume or Reject Unexpired Leases of*
2 *Nonresidential Real Property Pursuant to Section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code*, dated March 11,
3 2026 [Docket No. _____] (the "Motion"),¹ filed by the Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland, a California
4 corporation sole, and the debtor and debtor in possession (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned chapter
5 11 bankruptcy case (the "Chapter 11 Case"), for entry of an order pursuant to section 365(d)(4) of title 11
6 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 6006-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for
7 the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California further extending the time for
8 the Debtor to assume or reject unexpired leases of nonresidential real property; the lessor of the affected
9 real property having consented to the requested relief as reflected in the Stipulation between the Debtor
10 and Catholic Cathedral Corporation of the East Bay ("CCCEB") as lessor [Docket No. _____] (the
11 "CCCEB Stipulation"); the Court having reviewed and considered the Motion, the Bardos Declaration in
12 support thereof, the CCCEB Stipulation, and all other filings in support of the Motion; the Court finding
13 it has jurisdiction over this matter, venue in this Court is proper, and notice of the Motion was reasonable
14 and sufficient under the circumstances; and the Court finding the relief requested in the Motion is in the
15 best interests of the Debtor, its creditors, and other parties in interest; and after due deliberation and good
16 cause appearing therefor,

17 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 18 1. The Motion is granted as set forth herein.
- 19 2. The time period within which the Debtor may assume or reject the lease for the Debtor's
20 use of facilities and grounds in Oakland owned by CCCEB is extended through and including October 1,
21 2026, in accordance with section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 22 3. The extension of time granted herein is without prejudice to the Debtor's rights to seek
23 further extensions of its time to assume or reject any unexpired nonresidential real estate lease as provided
24 in section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 25
- 26
- 27

28 ¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

COURT SERVICE LIST

All ECF Recipients.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28