



1 APPEARANCES (via Microsoft Teams):

2 For the Plaintiffs/Debtors: Rayburn Cooper & Durham, P.A.  
3 BY: JOHN R. MILLER, JR., ESQ.  
4 227 West Trade St., Suite 1200  
5 Charlotte, NC 28202

6 Jones Day  
7 BY: BRAD B. ERENS, ESQ.  
8 MORGAN R. HIRST, ESQ.  
9 77 West Wacker, Suite 3500  
10 Chicago, IL 60601

11 For the ACC: Caplin & Drysdale  
12 BY: JEFFREY A. LIESEMER, ESQ.  
13 One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 1100  
14 Washington, DC 20005

15 Robinson & Cole LLP  
16 BY: NATALIE D. RAMSEY, ESQ.  
17 1201 N. Market Street, Suite 1406  
18 Wilmington, DE 19801

19 Hamilton Stephens  
20 BY: ROBERT A. COX, JR. ESQ.  
21 525 N. Tryon Street, 14th Floor  
22 Charlotte, NC 28202

23 For the FCR: Orrick Herrington  
24 BY: JONATHAN P. GUY, ESQ.  
25 DEBRA FELDER, ESQ.  
1152 15th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1706

Grier Wright Martinez PA  
BY: A. COTTEN WRIGHT, ESQ.  
521 E. Morehead St, Suite 440  
Charlotte, NC 28202

26 For Trane Technologies  
27 Company LLC and Trane U.S.  
28 Inc.: McCarter & English, LLP  
29 BY: GREGORY J. MASCITTI, ESQ.  
30 825 Eighth Avenue, 31st Floor  
31 New York, NY 10019

32 Burt & Cordes, PLLC  
33 BY: STACY C. CORDES, ESQ.  
34 122 Cherokee Road, Suite 1  
35 Charlotte, NC 28207

1 APPEARANCES (via Microsoft Teams continued):

2 For Certain Insurers: Duane Morris LLP  
3 BY: RUSSELL W. ROTEN, ESQ.  
4 865 S. Figueroa St., Suite 3100  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5440

6 For Travelers Insurance Steptoe & Johnson LLP  
7 Companies: BY: JOSHUA R. TAYLOR, ESQ.  
8 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
9 Washington, D.C. 20036

10 ALSO PRESENT  
11 (via Microsoft Teams): SHELLEY K. ABEL  
12 Bankruptcy Administrator  
13 402 W. Trade Street, Suite 200  
14 Charlotte, NC 28202-1669

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



1 THE COURT: How about for the ACC, then? Ms. Ramsey?

2 We can't --

3 MR. COX: Good morning, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Cox.

5 MR. COX: I'm sorry. Good morning, your Honor. Rob  
6 Cox appearing on behalf of the ACC. And also on the line is,  
7 is Ms. Ramsey.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MS. RAMSEY: Thank you, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Anyone else?

11 MR. LIESEMER: Good morning. Good morning, your  
12 Honor. This is Jeffrey Liesemer of Caplin & Drysdale on behalf  
13 of the ACC.

14 THE COURT: Anyone else?

15 (No response)

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 How about for the FCR?

18 MR. GUY: Good morning, your Honor. Jonathan Guy. I  
19 hope you like the new digs. My co-counsel, Cotten Wright, is  
20 on the phone and also my colleague, Debra Felder.

21 Thank you, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Thank you.

23 All right. How about for the affiliates?

24 MR. MASCITTI: Good morning, your Honor. Greg  
25 Mascitti, McCarter & English, on behalf of Trane Technologies

1 Company LLC and Trane U.S. Inc. And I'm joined by our local  
2 counsel, Stacy Cordes.

3 THE COURT: Very good.

4 Okay. Who else?

5 MR. ROTEN: Oh, your Honor. It's Russell Roten for  
6 Certain Insurers. Good morning. I don't expect to say  
7 anything today, but just keep the mike open just in case.

8 THE COURT: Very good.

9 Others?

10 MS. ABEL: Good morning. Shelley Abel, Bankruptcy  
11 Administrator, is also listening today.

12 MR. TAYLOR: Morning, your Honor. Joshua Taylor on  
13 behalf of the Travelers Insurance Companies. I also don't  
14 intend to speak today.

15 THE COURT: Very good. Not you not speaking, but --  
16 sorry about that. Didn't mean it that way.

17 Any others?

18 (No response)

19 THE COURT: Okay, very good.

20 We have a published agenda. Let me call on Mr. Erens  
21 first. Were there any updates or anything else that we need to  
22 talk about other than what's on the calendar today?

23 MR. ERENS: Your Honor, I don't think so, in  
24 particular. I know there's a habit to give a status in these  
25 cases at each omnibus hearing. The posture right now, I

1 suppose, is a little bit different than normal. We've been,  
2 obviously, awaiting your decision on the preliminary  
3 injunction --

4 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
5 response).

6 MR. ERENS: -- and as a general matter as a result,  
7 you know, the case is, is, is sitting waiting for that  
8 decision.

9 We, however, have continued to work cooperatively and  
10 productively, as we've indicated in past hearings, with the FCR  
11 in terms of negotiations over a settlement of this case.

12 Mr. Guy may want to speak to this as well. We continue to work  
13 on that process. It's been good. We continue to make progress  
14 and we're, we're eager to reach the resolution of that, of that  
15 phase.

16 In connection with that, I will mention 'cause it's  
17 somewhat related, I suppose, you'll see on the agenda Item No.  
18 1. You may recall in December we filed with the FCR a joint  
19 bar date and PIQ motion.

20 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
21 response).

22 MR. ERENS: That was heard substantively at the  
23 January omnibus hearing. Also was heard at that time the ACC's  
24 motion to continue that hearing --

25 THE COURT: Right.

1 MR. ERENS: -- or that motion until the Judge, your  
2 Honor, had ruled on the preliminary injunction. Your Honor  
3 granted that motion to continue after hearing full arguments  
4 other than --

5 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
6 response).

7 MR. ERENS: -- FCR and debtor rebuttal in connection  
8 with the bar date and PIQ. So you continued that to,  
9 basically, after the PI.

10 THE COURT: Right.

11 MR. ERENS: It was originally scheduled for May 27th  
12 because at that time it was known that the preliminary  
13 injunction hearing would be May 5th, 6th, and 7th. So that was  
14 the first omnibus after the preliminary injunction hearing. We  
15 continued this motion in May to the June hearing and we just  
16 recently with the consent of the FCR and ACC also continued the  
17 motion to the July hearing with the understanding that your  
18 Honor wanted to rule on the PI before this was heard. So  
19 that's why this has now been continued to July.

20 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
21 response).

22 MR. ERENS: If your Honor rules prior to that hearing,  
23 we'll, we'll put it on again for the July hearing.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 Everyone content with that arrangement? Is anyone

1 pushing to do that --

2 MS. RAMSEY: Yes, your Honor. Natalie Ramsey for the  
3 ACC. Can you hear me, your Honor?

4 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

5 MS. RAMSEY: Okay. Thank you. I was having some  
6 issues with my mike earlier.

7 Your Honor, the only thing that I had wanted to add to  
8 that was Mr. Erens indicated that full argument was held other  
9 than the debtors' and FCR's rebuttal and it is our recollection  
10 that the Court also allowed for additional argument as might be  
11 informed by both the PI hearing and subsequent events. And so  
12 that's the only addition that I wanted to make to Mr. Erens'  
13 report with respect to the bar date and PIQ motion.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 Any other thoughts there with regard to No. 1?

16 THE COURT: Well --

17 MR. GUY: Your Honor --

18 MR. ERENS: No, your Honor. I would just say that's,  
19 that's consistent with the transcript, which we obviously could  
20 all read. Your Honor made it clear that if there were changed  
21 circumstances and people wanted to make additional arguments  
22 when we continue the substantive motion, that they would have  
23 the ability to do that.

24 So that, I think those two aspects would be left for  
25 the hearing, the rebuttal arguments and maybe surrebuttal on

1 behalf of the ACC and then any arguments anybody wanted to make  
2 based on changed circumstances or, as Ms. Ramsey indicated, the  
3 preliminary injunction ruling itself.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 Well, let me just say that I am wrestling the two  
6 elephants, the DBMP and this preliminary injunction and  
7 assundried motions have been doing. It is taking longer than I  
8 would like, frankly because they're mammoth in scope and given  
9 the multiplicity of arguments, but also because I've been  
10 averaging about 3-1/2 or 4 days in court each week.

11 So it, it's been one of those things that's taken  
12 longer than I would have liked to. I wish I were in a position  
13 just to adopt someone's findings and conclusion en masse, but  
14 rarely do you see that in a, in a case, particularly one of  
15 this complexity. But the bottom line is that it's going to be  
16 close as to whether I have this other opinion out before the  
17 end of July.

18 I'm not sure I really want to wait a lot longer, even  
19 though my first inkling was that we should wait. Maybe what we  
20 ought to do is talk about scheduling in July if I, and then if  
21 there are going to be other arguments to be made in view of all  
22 this, we consider that we're going to do them in August, that  
23 we'll hear the motions, whatever cleanup there is on the  
24 merits, in August and try to get the case moving and if for any  
25 reason we have difficulties getting opinions issued.

1 Obviously, with DBMP having been heard first, I've put the  
2 priority on, on getting to that one instead of this one and  
3 taking them in the order that they came up, even though there's  
4 considerable overlap and the bottom line is that we're going to  
5 be close on getting this out by the end of July, I'm afraid.  
6 Hope not, but, but that's very likely, particularly if the rest  
7 of my docket stays this enthused as it has been lately.

8           So bottom line is whether you folks want to go ahead  
9 and talk at the next hearing about what else might need to be  
10 done on those two motions. I know there have been developments  
11 both in Judge Beyer's court and apparently, in DBMP or --  
12 excuse me -- in Bestwall, at least with the district court in  
13 Delaware.

14           So I'm not sure if that affects what we're doing here  
15 or not, the trust motions.

16           MR. ERENS: Yeah, your Honor. As a reminder in this  
17 case -- I know it's hard to keep the cases straight -- the only  
18 related motion to Bestwall and DBMP is the motion for our PIQ,  
19 personal injury questionnaire.

20           THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
21 response).

22           MR. ERENS: There's really one motion, motion for bar  
23 date, joint motion for bar date and PIQ again --

24           THE COURT: Okay. There's not --

25           MR. ERENS: -- filed jointly by the debtor.

1 THE COURT: -- a trust motion? All right. I'm  
2 thinking --

3 MR. ERENS: There's not a trust motion. So the  
4 Delaware District Court proceedings are not relevant. There  
5 have been recent developments in Bestwall -- Ms. Ramsey is  
6 aware of this -- with respect to the PIQ and some litigation in  
7 the Southern District of Illinois and last night in Bestwall  
8 the debtors filed a motion for enforcement of the stay and  
9 motion, order to show cause why certain law firms of the ACC  
10 there should not be held in contempt. But I don't really think  
11 we want to go into that today. It was just filed yesterday.

12 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
13 response).

14 MR. ERENS: But I suppose that could be relevant to  
15 the PIQ issues in this case. We hope not. But that has been  
16 developing and Judge Beyer, presumably, is now aware of that as  
17 well.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 Well, perhaps we have at least at the next hearing a  
20 discussion as to the PIQ motions as to what, if, if anything  
21 else, needs to be done before they are submitted, so.

22 MR. ERENS: Okay. Your Honor, we'll, we'll stay in  
23 contact both with the ACC and the FCR and the Court. I might  
24 suggest one thing subject to your Honor's approval, which is  
25 the second half of August is always a difficult period for

1 people. There seems to be a lot of people on vacation.

2 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
3 response).

4 MR. ERENS: If it turns out your Honor rules in July  
5 and there's an ability to do the August omnibus during the  
6 first half of August rather than the currently scheduled August  
7 26th date, that would certainly be fine with the debtors and  
8 that would get a -

9 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
10 response).

11 MR. ERENS: -- the PIQ and bar date motion out of the  
12 way and may be better for people's end-of-the summer schedule  
13 as well.

14 But we'll do whatever the parties want or, or the  
15 Court wants. Currently, based on what your Honor indicated --

16 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
17 response).

18 MR. ERENS: -- the bar date and PIQ motion wouldn't be  
19 heard until August 26th and there may be an opportunity, I  
20 suppose, to hear it earlier if, if the schedules meet up to  
21 that effect.

22 THE COURT: Other thoughts?

23 (No response)

24 THE COURT: I'm just looking at those dates, what's  
25 available in August -- and it wouldn't help you a lot -- but I

1 could do the omnibus date on the 16th, 17th, or 18th instead of  
2 the 26th. That gets you a little closer up, but it, it really  
3 is -- maybe that's one that y'all need to talk about your  
4 schedules and get back to us.

5 MR. ERENS: Okay.

6 THE COURT: All right?

7 MR. ERENS: Yeah. Your Honor, from, from my  
8 perspective -- it's just my personal schedule -- I'm actually  
9 moving on the 16th.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. ERENS: So -- and I don't know the last time you  
12 moved houses, but it's a big chore with little children and the  
13 like. So from my perspective it's close enough to the 26th,  
14 I'd rather just do the 26th at that point.

15 THE COURT: Well, what I'm looking at otherwise is we  
16 have DBMP and Kaiser on that second week in August. The first  
17 week in August, there's some, some flexibility there,  
18 particularly on Friday, the 6th, but it's a matter of whose  
19 school starts when and whose vacations are. Down here, people  
20 tend to get those vacations in in the first two weeks of August  
21 in anticipation of school starting. So it's just a question of  
22 who's available.

23 But I'm happy to consider something else. The 5th or  
24 6th would be fine with me. So talk amongst yourselves and see  
25 if you want to make a change there.

1 MR. ERENS: Okay.

2 THE COURT: All right?

3 MR. ERENS: All right. We will do so, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: But for now, we're going to continue the,  
5 No. 1 on the docket for the clerk's -- excuse me -- the -- No.  
6 1 on the docket to July 26th. That's by agreement.

7 MR. ERENS: That's correct, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MR. ERENS: There haven't been any orders entered  
10 continuing this motion. If your Honor wants an order on the  
11 docket so it's clear, we're happy to prepare one.

12 THE COURT: Our practice is, is pretty flexible there.  
13 Sometimes we do, sometimes we don't, and it depends whether the  
14 parties feel a need to, to formalize it. If y'all are content  
15 with just continuing it on the record, that's fine with me.

16 MR. ERENS: That's fine with the debtors, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay, very good.

18 Want to move along, then? I guess --

19 MR. ERENS: Okay.

20 THE COURT: -- No. 2 is the uncontested matter, the  
21 motion of the debtors extending exclusivity on filing a plan.  
22 I understand that the parties are not contesting that and that  
23 is going forward, everybody?

24 MR. ERENS: That's, that's correct, your Honor. Our  
25 practice has been before we file an exclusivity extension

1 motion --

2 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
3 response).

4 MR. ERENS: -- we propose a certain amount of time to  
5 both the ACC and FCR and if the amount of time they've  
6 indicated they're willing to agree to is, is acceptable to the  
7 debtors, we just file the motion with that amount of time. And  
8 that's what's happened three times in a row. This is the third  
9 extension of exclusivity. The ACC agreed to three months and  
10 as a result, we're taking it in, in bites in that fashion.

11 And so the motion seeks a further three-month  
12 extension of exclusivity, which would expire in mid-August.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. ERENS: Happy to argue the motion, but again, it  
15 is uncontested and we haven't heard any responses from non-  
16 committees as well, meaning nobody else in addition to the FCR  
17 or the ACC.

18 THE COURT: Does anyone want to be heard with regard  
19 to that motion?

20 (No response)

21 THE COURT: Okay. It is granted.

22 MR. ERENS: Thank you, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 Moving along, then we get into the adversary and I  
25 understand there -- that, according to the printed docket, that

1 there are uncontested -- all of these matters are uncontested,  
2 but we can discuss that. Should we call them one at a time or  
3 should we call all of them together?

4 MR. ERENS: Your Honor, Mr. Hirst, again, will be  
5 handling, at least from the debtors' perspective, these  
6 motions. So I would defer to him and any other parties who  
7 filed such motions.

8 MR. HIRST: And good morning, your Honor. Morgan  
9 Hirst for the debtors. It's good to see you again. And don't  
10 ever estimate the, my ability to lose an uncontested motion,  
11 Judge, but I will, I'll try my best here.

12 I think we can handle them. I know they are motions  
13 filed both by the debtors, by the non-debtor affiliates, and by  
14 the Committee, but I think with Mr. Cox and Mr. Mascitti's  
15 permission we probably, it's easier to handle them in a group  
16 and if you have any directed questions to any of the individual  
17 movants, I'm sure they're happy to answer them.

18 There are seven of these seal motions on the docket,  
19 Judge. Actually, we're down to six of them --

20 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
21 response).

22 MR. HIRST: -- based on discussions that Mr. Cox and I  
23 had yesterday. Agenda Item No. 7 on the docket, which was the  
24 Committee's motion to seal relating to their, I believe it's  
25 their reply brief on the --

1 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
2 response).

3 MR. HIRST: -- preliminary injunction -- I'm sorry --  
4 their reply brief on the motion to compel that we had before  
5 your Honor before the PI. This is Docket 205.

6 THE COURT: Right.

7 MR. HIRST: We've talked amongst ourselves. I believe  
8 all of the items that were originally sealed, originally sought  
9 sealing protection no longer need them based on dedesignation  
10 and as a result, the Committee, I believe last night, filed  
11 that motion completely unsealed --

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. HIRST: -- with the permission of everybody  
14 involved, so.

15 THE COURT: Anyone else want to weigh in on No. 7  
16 before we talk about the others?

17 MR. COX: Yeah, your Honor. Rob Cox on behalf of the  
18 ACC.

19 And what Mr. Hirst said is correct. We actually, just  
20 to clean, clean the matter up for the Court and for the clerk,  
21 we, we filed a withdrawal of, of the motion that's referencing.

22 So I think that one is, is fully resolved and as  
23 Mr. Hirst indicated, we went ahead and filed that pleading  
24 unredacted, unsealed online last night.

25 THE COURT: All right.

1 I was really asking whether anyone had an opposition  
2 to, to taking these en masse. Anyone got a feeling about,  
3 that, that would prefer to take them individually?

4 MR. COX: Your Honor, Rob Cox on behalf of the ACC.

5 I believe based on our discussions with, with the  
6 debtor and the non-debtor affiliates that we're in, in  
7 agreement as to what can and cannot be unsealed in the two  
8 documents that remain that the ACC has sought to have, have  
9 sealed with the, the motions. They're Items No. 3 and 4 on the  
10 agenda.

11 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
12 response).

13 MR. COX: So I, I have no issue with, with taking  
14 these altogether. I think that makes sense.

15 MR. MASCITTI: I agree, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 All right. We are talking for the clerk's benefit on,  
18 on all of the adversary uncontested matters, 3 on down to the  
19 end except No. 7, which has been withdrawn.

20 I don't know that there is, since some are filed by  
21 each party, I don't know that it matters who, who speaks first.

22 But, Mr. Hirst, you were, were addressing these. So  
23 why don't you go ahead and continue and tell me what you think  
24 I need to know.

25 MR. HIRST: Sure, your Honor. And, and I'm obviously

1 -- I will keep this short. I'm obviously happy to deal with  
2 any specific questions you have.

3 This actually is a mix. These, these six remaining  
4 motions, I guess, are --

5 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
6 response).

7 MR. HIRST: -- a mix of designations both by the  
8 debtors, by the non-debtor affiliates, and actually, in a  
9 couple of cases, by the Committee, confidentiality designations  
10 that run across all the motions. I believe in, in all cases  
11 they've been properly supported in the moving papers and the  
12 supporting affidavits behind them as to the basis for the  
13 confidentiality.

14 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
15 response).

16 MR. HIRST: I think the parties have worked hard  
17 together to narrow those significantly since, you know, some of  
18 these were filed back, some of these motions, your Honor, were  
19 filed back in April, and we've been working with Mr. Cox --

20 THE COURT: Right.

21 MR. HIRST: -- and Mr. Mascitti to, to narrow the, the  
22 scope of them down and I think we've, we've done a good job and  
23 they're, they are pretty limited in their scope in terms of  
24 designations.

25 And so, you know, beyond going through any of the, any

1 individual questions your Honor might had or any individual  
2 designations or to the extent your Honor wants me to for the  
3 record point to any of the docket numbers or anything like that  
4 beyond what's in the agenda, I would leave it at that and turn  
5 over to, any questions, to Mr. Cox and Mr. Mascitti.

6 THE COURT: How about the others? Mr. Mascitti?

7 MR. MASCITTI: I have nothing to add to that, your  
8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Cox?

10 MR. COX: Your Honor, I also don't have anything --  
11 well, the only thing I will say, your Honor, is the plan from  
12 the Committee's perspective is to file the two remaining  
13 documents with the unsealed, unredacted versions that have been  
14 approved by both the debtor and the non-debtor committee.

15 So we'll be filing those two documents under a  
16 separate notice, but that's the only thing I will add to  
17 Mr. Hirst's presentation.

18 THE COURT: Okay, very good.

19 I had one question and it's simply because I didn't  
20 have time to go back and look at what we had, had received from  
21 you.

22 About No. 8, it's the ACC's motion to file the  
23 proposed findings and, its version of the proposed findings and  
24 conclusions under seal, some portions of that, and I know that  
25 we had both an unredacted and a filed redacted copy of it.

1 Just to speed us up so we're not having to pick through, can  
2 somebody tell me, is the debtors' version, did we get it both  
3 ways as well? Did the debtor file -- I think the one that was  
4 filed on our docket was unredacted or it didn't show any  
5 blackouts.

6 So did the debtor have redaction needs on its proposed  
7 findings?

8 MR. HIRST: I do not believe, your Honor. Again,  
9 Morgan Hirst for the debtors. I don't believe we had any  
10 redactions necessary. I don't believe we cited to any  
11 confidential information under the protective order.

12 And so that is why, I believe, what was filed on the  
13 docket was an unredacted version.

14 THE COURT: Well, just by methodology and in the  
15 interest of time as well as the need for you folks to defend on  
16 appeal the findings that are made down here, I have been trying  
17 as much as possible to work off of the proposed findings the  
18 two sides have submitted in these cases and I've had a lot more  
19 time in DBMP than in this one for those findings, but I wanted  
20 to make sure that I didn't have to then go through the entirety  
21 of the record trying to make sure I didn't inadvertently put  
22 something in the clear in a finding that I wasn't aware of. I  
23 know where the ACC had in their version, where there's some  
24 slippery slope that we need to be careful of.

25 But in any event, that, that was the question, all

1 right?

2 Anything else?

3 (No response)

4 THE COURT: Very good. Well, then, those motions are  
5 approved without opposition, so.

6 All right. What else do we need --

7 MR. COX: Thank you, Judge.

8 THE COURT: What else do we need to discuss this  
9 morning?

10 MR. ERENS: That's it, your Honor. We look forward to  
11 going into July and coming in person for the first time in this  
12 entire case.

13 THE COURT: Well, we, we do as well. I hope there,  
14 that you'll find it to your liking. Getting in this building  
15 now is a little more of a challenge. You have to go through  
16 the side of the old building, which is under demolition and,  
17 and renovation, and come in through the long way. But we've  
18 got more space for you once you get here in the courtroom than  
19 we did before.

20 And for those of you who don't get to occupy the  
21 primary counsel tables, we even have a, a podium for you to  
22 speak from so that you don't have to try to buddy up to those  
23 who are sitting at the counsel table.

24 So it'll be a change for you, I'm sure, so.

25 All right.

1 MR. ROTEN: Yes, your Honor. It's Russell Roten.

2 I just wanted to confirm that the Court is now  
3 physically open starting in July, next month. I, I've been  
4 owing Mr. Maclay an evening at Pinky's for about 18 months now  
5 and I wanted to make sure I follow through on that this year.

6 THE COURT: As of July the 6th, the only Charlotte  
7 Division court that we're doing that is not in person are  
8 chapter 13 cases and if we get into something that comes up on  
9 an emergency basis in these cases, we may do some  
10 videoconference hearings, but I'm hoping that we will be able  
11 to put as much of it in person going forward as possible.

12 So that's the norm and exceptions will be dealt with  
13 on an *ad hoc* basis, so.

14 So yes, we're in person.

15 MR. ERENS: Your Honor, I did have one question that's  
16 sort of related that, that I've gotten from some others, which  
17 is, you know, before the pandemic the people who were appearing  
18 at the hearing came in person and some people dialed in 'cause  
19 they just wanted to monitor the hearing.

20 The question I've gotten is, you know, is the Court  
21 going to go back to that system so that if people are not going  
22 to attend, it's phone only and there'll be no more video or is  
23 there a thought that there might be video for people who are  
24 not actually attending and are just monitoring?

25 THE COURT: No. We're trying not to do virtual and in

1 person at the same time. The, the events that I've done that  
2 have attempted both -- and I've had several over the last  
3 couple, few months -- you're always held hostage to whoever has  
4 the worst bandwidth and we, what we were going to try to do is  
5 go back to our pre-pandemic practice that if you're announcing  
6 an appearance and want to appear telephonically, don't have a  
7 major role, primarily want to listen in, that's fine. We'll  
8 let you appear telephonically, but you take the risk that if  
9 you get knocked off the line, etc., that that, that's on you  
10 folks. Those who are going to take a major role, primarily the  
11 speakers, or if there's evidence to be presented, we want you  
12 here in person.

13 The question becomes -- and I know there's some public  
14 interest in all this about can you just listen in if you're not  
15 announcing and that one, we're a little bit uncertain as yet.  
16 The Judicial Conference policy has been temporarily waived so  
17 that, that, by and large, live broadcasting has not been  
18 allowed and during the pandemic it was to a certain extent.

19 But what I think we're going to do, instead, is simply  
20 say if you're not announcing, you can get the playback the next  
21 day, or a day or so afterwards based on CM-ECF. So it's  
22 available to you, but we're, we're trying to get ourselves back  
23 to our, our pre-pandemic way of conducting business.

24 MR. ERENS: Okay. Thank you. That's very, that's  
25 very helpful.

1 THE COURT: Okay, very good. And --

2 MR. ERENS: All right.

3 THE COURT: And we do have a third counsel table -- I  
4 don't know if I've announced that in this case -- in these new  
5 courtroom. So we have a little more space for you than before.  
6 So the, you're not necessarily jammed up with parties that  
7 you're only partially allied to, so. But in any event.

8 All right? If there's nothing --

9 MR. ERENS: Let the (indiscernible).

10 THE COURT: -- further, we will recess. Have a good  
11 weekend.

12 MR. ERENS: Thank you, your Honor.

13 MR. MILLER: Thank you.

14 MR. LIESEMER: Thank you, your Honor.

15 (Proceedings concluded at 9:57 a.m.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Janice Russell

June 28, 2021

Janice Russell, Transcriber

Date