28

ACTIVE 692664464v3 PC 231235924011000000000008

number, are: Beverly Community Hospital Association d/b/a Beverly Hospital (6005), Montebello Community Health Services, Inc. (3550), and Beverly Hospital Foundation (9685). The mailing address for the Debtors is 309 W. Beverly Blvd., Montebello, California 90640.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26

27 28

TO THE HONORABLE SANDRA R. KLEIN, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, AND ALL OTHER **PARTIES IN INTEREST:**

Pursuant to your Honor's January 8, 2024 Order Approving Second Stipulation To Continue Hearing On Multiple Fee Applications and Setting Status Conference (the "Order"), U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, as Master Trustee ("Master Trustee"), and Triple P Securities, LLC ("TPS") (together, the "Parties") hereby submit this Joint Status Report.

Posture of these Chapter 11 Cases and the TPS Application

- 1. On May 19, 2023, the above-captioned debtors (the "Debtors") filed their *Debtors*" Notice of Application and Application Seeking an Order Authorizing the Retention and Employment of Triple P Securities, LLC as Investment Banker to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession *Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.* §§ 327(a) and 328 Effective as of April 19, 2023 [Docket No. 280] (the "TPS Application") to, among other things, raise debtor-in-possession financing and sell the Debtors' assets.
- 2. On June 9, 2023, the Master Trustee filed its Omnibus Objections to the Debtors' Applications Seeking Orders Authorizing the Retention and Employment of Triple P Securities, LLC as Investment Banker and Triple P RTS, LLC as Restructuring Advisors [Docket No. 411] (the "Objection").
- 3. On June 30, 2023, the Debtors filed their Reply in Support of Debtors' Notice of Application and Application Seeking Orders Authorizing Retention and Employment of Triple P Securities, LLC as Investment Banker and Triple P RTS, LLC as Restructuring Advisors [Docket No. 497] (the "Reply").
- 4. On August 2, 2023, this Court held a status conference regarding the sale process during which a hearing on the TPS Application was set for August 17, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. (Pacific Time).
- 5. On August 2, 2023, the Debtors filed the Supplemental Declaration of Jason Cohen in Support of Debtors' Notice of Application and Application Seeking an Order Authorizing the Retention and Employment of Triple P Securities, LLC as Investment Banker to the Debtors and

[Docket No. 623] (the "Supplemental Cohen Declaration").

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

6. On August 16, 2023, the Debtors filed the Stipulation re Debtors' Applications to Retain and Employ Triple P RTS, LLC and Triple P Securities, LLC [Docket No. 700], whereby the Debtors, TPS, and the Master Trustee agreed to continue the hearing on the TPS Application until October 12, 2023, or such other date as the Court may set.

Debtors in Possession Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(a) and 328 Effective as of April 19, 2023

- 7. On August 18, 2023, this Court entered the Order (A) Authorizing the Sale of Debtors' Assets to Purchaser Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, Interests, and Other Interests; (B) Approving the Assumption and Assignment of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases [Docket No. 718].
- 8. On September 13, 2023, after the sale of the hospital had closed, the Court entered the Order Directing the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee and Setting Hearing and Briefing Schedule on Fee Applications [Docket No. 803] (the "Scheduling Order").
- 9. On September 15, 2023, the United States Trustee filed the Notice of Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee [Docket No. 811] appointing Howard M. Ehrenberg as the Chapter 11 Trustee in these chapter 11 cases, which the Court approved [Docket No. 815] (the "Trustee" Appointment").
- 10. On October 12, 2023, the Court entered the Order Approving Stipulation re Debtors' Application to Retain and Employ Triple P Securities, LLC, [Docket No. 841], setting a hearing on the TPS Application for January 24, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., prevailing Pacific Time. Pursuant to the Order, the TPS Application is now set to be heard on May 8, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. (the "Retention Hearing").
- 11. Other than the Objection, no other objection was filed pertaining to the TPS Application in advance of the relevant objection deadline, and the briefing on the TPS Application was closed by the Court.
- 12. As a result of the Trustee Appointment, there is no longer a debtor in possession in these chapter 11 cases. Nonetheless, Howard M. Ehrenberg (the "Chapter 11 Trustee") has not withdrawn the TPS Application, which remains pending.

of Alice Cheng and Lyla Eddington on October 24, 2023, and of Jason Cohen on November 10,

Trustee met and conferred about the propriety of that discovery and TPS's participation in the

hearing on the TPS Application. The Master Trustee's position is that, because TPS is not the

moving party, it does not have standing to participate in discovery in the contested matter. The

Master Trustee further contends that TPS's counsel cannot participate in the presentation of

evidence during the Retention Hearing because it has not intervened in the contested matter

evidence as a party in interest with respect to the TPS Application and, at this juncture, is the party

most aptly suited to prosecute the TPS Application. TPS further submits that the Master Trustee's

position elevates form over substance, and it would be inequitable to deny TPS the ability to seek

discovery and fully participate in the Retention Hearing given the unprecedented posture of the TPS

Application, where (a) consideration of the TPS Application was delayed in a good faith effort to

In connection with the TPS Application, the Master Trustee conducted depositions

TPS subsequently sent a Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) notice of deposition to counsel for

After that deposition notice was sent, counsel for TPS and counsel for the Master

TPS disagrees and believes that it has standing to serve discovery and present

1

13.

14.

15.

16.

pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2018.

the Master Trustee in connection with the TPS Application.

2023.

6

4

10

9

12

13

11

14 15

17

16

19

18

21

20

23

22

24

26

25

27

28

- reach consensus and conserve estate resources, (b) TPS has already performed the work it was hired
- to do, and (c) unrelated to the TPS Application or TPS's efforts, a chapter 11 trustee was appointed while the TPS Application remained pending. 17. The Master Trustee disagrees with TPS's position that it should be allowed to
- conduct discovery and participate in the Retention Hearing on the TPS Application because it is not a party to this contested matter. TPS has not intervened in the contested matter pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2018. Nor has the Chapter 11 Trustee withdrawn the TPS Application on behalf of these estates so that TPS can file its own retention application (and brief whatever issues it wants in that application) and pursue whatever discovery that it thinks it is entitled to. Absent taking either of

these steps, it is the Master Trustee's position that TPS is not a party to the contested matter and therefore is not entitled to participate therein as a party.

- 18. The Master Trustee does not believe that TPS would be prejudiced if required to either comply with the Bankruptcy Rules and formally intervene in this contested matter or file its own retention application because the Retention Hearing will not be held for four (4) months. Thus, the Master Trustee does not believe there is any legitimate reason to relieve TPS of the procedures set forth in the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules governing a party's standing to participate in a contested matter and/or its ability to intervene therein.
- 19. The Master Trustee is informed by the Chapter 11 Trustee that he will not prosecute the TPS Application, and therefore the Master Trustee is unclear who is representing the estates' interest with regard to the TPS Application.
- 20. Finally, because the Bankruptcy Court had closed the briefing on the TPS Application and the Master Trustee has already conducted its discovery in this matter, the Master Trustee believes that no further briefing should be permitted in advance of the Retention Hearing. TPS, on the other hand, believes that supplemental briefing is warranted insofar as the Master Trustee took discovery after the briefing deadline that is probative of several of the issues raised in the Objection and that, at the time briefing was completed, the sale of the hospital had not closed. TPS believes that the additional facts surrounding the sale are also pertinent to the relief sought in the TPS Application and the issues raised in the Objection and should be presented to the Court to ensure a complete record. In addition, TPS believes that the Master Trustee's position that there should be no supplemental briefing in this matter to account for the discovery taken to date is inconsistent with its own pursuit of that discovery after briefing was completed.
- 21. It is the Master Trustee's position that, if TPS is permitted to intervene in this contested matter, take discovery and supplement the briefing, the Master Trustee should also be permitted to conduct supplemental discovery and briefing concerning any new arguments raised by TPS.

Request for Guidance

- 22. At the Status Conference, the Parties seek the Court's guidance on the following points of contention in order to avoid wasteful litigation in preparation for the Retention Hearing. *First*, whether TPS may seek discovery and present evidence on the TPS Application without first intervening or becoming the movant, as discussed above. *Second*, whether the Court intends to hold a live evidentiary hearing on the TPS Application and, if so, whether it has a preferred schedule for pretrial exchanges or wishes to address other logistical issues relating to that hearing. *Third*, depending on the answer to the first question, whether the Court would be amenable to supplemental briefing on the current TPS Application.
- 23. Input from the Court on these key issues would save the Parties considerable time, effort, and expense preparing to litigate several threshold issues where guidance regarding the Court's view on any of the above listed threshold issues may guide the Parties in determining how to proceed.

[Signature Page Follows]

Case 2:23-bk-12359-SK		Doc 920 Filed 01/10/24 Entered 01/10/24 16:58:53 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 12
1 2 3	Dated: January 10, 2024	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP AND KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP
4		By /s/ David I. Horowitz David I. Horowitz, P.C. (SBN 248414)
5		Ryan Blaine Bennett, P.C. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
6 7		Counsel to Triple P RTS, LLC and Triple P Securities, LLC
8		GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
9		A La Tolla
10		Kevin J. Walsh (admitted pro hac vice)
11		Colleen A. Murphy (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Christopher Marks (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
13		Counsel to U.S. Bank Trust Company National Association, as Master Trustee
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28		S-7 SIGNATURE PAGE
		S-7 SIGNATURE PAGE STATUS REPORT

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify): <u>Joint Status Report from Triple P Securities, LLC and U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, as Master Trustee</u>				
will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the manner stated below:	he form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in			
1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELEC Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the 01/10/2024 , I checked the CM/ECF docket for this ban the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to below:	e court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (<i>date</i>) akruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that			
	⊠ Service information continued on attached page			
2. <u>SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL</u> : On (<i>date</i>) <u>01/10/2024</u> , I served the following persons and/o case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct cofirst class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document of the process of the completed of the process of the completed of the process of the proc	ppy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the			
	 Service information continued on attached page 			
3. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL</u> for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 an following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnig such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be</u> filed.	nd/or controlling LBR, on (<i>date</i>) _01/10/2024_, I served the ht mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration			
	☐ Service information continued on attached page			
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United	States that the foregoing is true and correct.			
01/10/2024 David I. Horowitz	/s/ David I. Horowitz			
Date Printed Name	Signature			

CreditorNoticeName	Email
Nathan Scott	nathan.scott@abbott.com
а	S
Evelina Gentry and Anthony D.	
Sbardellati	evelina.gentry@akerman.com
Terry Chu	ů ,e
Moises Rodriguez	moises.rodriguez@aus.com
Stephen T. Owens and Christy M.	sowens@agclawfirm.com;
Garcia	cgarcia@agclawfirm.com
Carla Pitcher	Carla.Pitcher@arthrex.com
U.S. Department of Justice	
DD Mate	dmate@axisspineco.com
Yolieth Bazan Matamoros	yolieth_bazan@baxter.com
CEO	
Scott E. Blakeley	SEB@BlakeleyLC.com
Kathleen Homsab	Kathleen.homsab@bsci.com
Jami B. Nimeroff	jnimeroff@bmnlawyers.com
Eric S. Prezant	eric.prezant@bclplaw.com
Vanessa Sunshine and Sharon	vanessa.sunshine@bclplaw.com;
Weiss	sharon.weiss@bclplaw.com
	dahdoot@bushgottlieb.com;
David E. Ahdoot, Kirk M. Prestegard	kprestegard@bushgottlieb.com;
and Adrian R. Butler	abutler@bushgottlieb.com
	D'Andria.Lewis@dhcs.ca.gov;
Tomas J. Aragon	Christine.Oguro@dhcs.ca.gov
Jennifer Kent, Director	
Stephanie Spich	stephanie.spich@cdph.ca.gov
Chair	
James Hamill	jhamill@cscda.org
Steven Chickering, the Associate	
Regional Administrator	
Susan Jose	susan.jose@cepheid.com
Loraine Sarno	Isarno@insightinvestments.com
Zachary Kecyzkecy	

In re: Beverly Community Hospital Association, et al, Case No. 23-12359 (SK)

CreditorNoticeName	Email
	tania.moyron@dentons.com;
Tania M. Moyron, Samuel R. Maizel	samuel.maizel@dentons.com;
and Rebecca M. Wicks	rebecca.wicks@dentons.com
Tanya Homman, Chief of Provider	
Enrollment Division	
Roye Zur	rzur@elkinskalt.com
Ricardo Oseguera	roseguera@ffequipmentleasing.com
	Colleen.Murphy@gtlaw.com;
Colleen Murphy, Kevin Walsh	Kevin.Walsh@gtlaw.com
Specialty Lending	
Specialty Lending	
	gepstein@hilcoglobal.com;
l	rlawlor@hilcoglobal.com;
Attn Gary C. Epstein, Ryan Lawlor,	NAaronson@hilcoglobal.com;
Neil Aaronson and Robert Lubin	RLubin@hilcoglobal.com
Brent McQueen	brent.a.mcqueen@huntington.com
Attn Susanne Larson	
Centralized Insolvency Operation	
Centralized Insolvency Operation	
Joshua M. Mester and Catherine A.	imagter@ienagdov.com
	jmester@jonesday.com;
Ehrgott Adam Gorman	cehrgott@JonesDay.com beverlyinfo@kccllc.com
Eric Rodriguez	erodriguez@keenan.com
David I. Horowitz	David.Horowitz@kirkland.com
Ryan Blaine Bennett, P.C.	ryan.bennett@kirkland.com
Nathan A. Schultz, Esq.	nschultzesq@gmail.com
Robert M. Hirsh and Phillip Khezri	pkhezri@lowenstein.com
Goretti Medeiros	gmedeiros@meditech.com
	Nick.Rudman@medicalsolutions.com;
Ruben Ramirez	brian.koenig@koleyjessen.com
Brent Fogel	bfogel@medline.com
Robert Resnick	robert.amedinc@gmail.com

In re: Beverly Community Hospital Association, et al, Case No. 23-12359 (SK)

CreditorNoticeName	Email
Jennifer O'Neal	joneal@nixonpeabody.com
Theresa Pachel	JE-ERS@noridian.com
Nicole Caucci	Nicole.Caucci@oig.hhs.gov
Emilio Varanini, Supervising Deputy	
Attorney General	Emilio.Varanini@doj.ca.gov
	Neli.Palma@doj.ca.gov;
Neli Palma and Melissa Hamill	Melissa.Hamill@doj.ca.gov
Roma Patel, Deputy Attorney	
General	Roma.Patel@doj.ca.gov
Consumer Law Section	Scott.Chan@doj.ca.gov
Department of Justice	Kenneth.Wang@doj.ca.gov
Department of Justice	
Brendan LaFountain	
Andy Rabon	
Jose Rivera	jose.rivera@philips.com
Jarrod Salinas	jarrod@phoenixclassaction.com
Stephen D. Lee	slee@rwglaw.com
Jennifer L. Nassiri and Alexandria G.	jnassiri@sheppardmullin.com;
Lattner	alattner@sheppardmullin.com
	jbernbrock@sheppardmullin.com;
Justin R. Bernbrock, Catherine Jun	cjun@sheppardmullin.com;
and Robert B. McLellarn	rmclellarn@sheppardmullin.com
Jennifer Folds	jennifer.folds@medefis.com
Michael J. Petersen	
Andrew Sherman and Boris	asherman@sillscummis.com;
Mankovetskiy	bmankovetskiy@sillscummis.com
Luis Lunalluna	LuisLunalluna@beverly.org
Bankruptcy Group MIC 92E	
Joe Gallinatti	joe.gallinati@stryker.com
Donovan Reiley	donovan.reiley@stryker.com
Trent Zaks	TrentZaks@stryker.com
Alex M. Azar II, Secretary	
Angela M. Belgrove, Assistant	
Regional Counsel	
Central District of California	

CreditorNoticeName	Email
Northern District of California	
Civil Division	augustus.t.curtis@usdoj.gov
Civil Division	augustus.t.curtis@usdoj.gov
Ben Franklin Station	
	hatty.yip@usdoj.gov;
Peter C. Anderson	Michael.Jones4@usdoj.gov
Christopher H. Gehman Vice	
President, Global Corporate Trust	
Services	christopher.gehman@usbank.com
Hon. Sandra R. Klein	

In re: Beverly Community Hospital Association, et al, Case No. 23-12359 (SK)