

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

In re:

GLOBAL WOUND CARE MEDICAL GROUP, a
Professional Corporation,¹

Debtor and Debtor in Possession.

Chapter 11

Case No. 24-34908

**DEBTOR'S THIRD MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER EXTENDING
ITS EXCLUSIVE PERIOD FOR THE FILING OF A CHAPTER 11 PLAN
PURSUANT TO § 1121(D) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE**

IF YOU OBJECT TO THE RELIEF REQUESTED, YOU MUST RESPOND IN WRITING. UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE COURT, YOU MUST FILE YOUR RESPONSE ELECTRONICALLY AT [HTTPS://ECF.TXSB.USCOURTS.GOV/](https://ecf.txsb.uscourts.gov/) WITHIN TWENTY-ONE DAYS FROM THE DATE THIS MOTION WAS FILED. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE ELECTRONIC FILING PRIVILEGES, YOU MUST FILE A WRITTEN OBJECTION THAT IS ACTUALLY RECEIVED BY THE CLERK WITHIN TWENTY-ONE DAYS FROM THE DATE THIS MOTION WAS FILED. OTHERWISE, THE COURT MAY TREAT THE PLEADING AS UNOPPOSED AND GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED.

Global Wound Care Medical Group, a Professional Corporation (the “Debtor”), the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned case (the “Case”), hereby moves (the “Motion”) the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas (the “Court”), pursuant to § 1121(d) of title 11 of the United States Code, §§ 101 *et seq.* (the “Bankruptcy Code”),² for entry of an order (substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, the “Proposed Order”): (a) extending the periods during which the Debtor has the exclusive right to (i) file a chapter 11 plan (the “Exclusive Filing Period”); and (ii) solicit acceptances thereof (the “Exclusive Solicitation Period,” and together with the Exclusive Filing Period, the “Exclusive Periods”), in each case, by 120 days, from October 16, 2025 and December 17, 2025 to February 13, 2026 and April 16, 2026, respectively, without prejudice to the Debtor’s rights to request further extensions

¹ The last four digits of the Debtor’s tax identification number in the jurisdiction in which it operates is 3572.

² All references to “§” or “section” herein are to sections of the Bankruptcy Code.



of such periods in accordance with § 1121(d);³ and (b) granting the Debtor such other and further relief as is just.⁴

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Debtor currently has the exclusive right to file a plan and solicit acceptances under § 1121(d). By this Motion, the Debtor seeks to extend the Exclusive Periods by 120 days, from October 16, 2025 and December 17, 2025 to February 13, 2026 and April 16, 2026, respectively, without prejudice to the Debtor's right to request further extensions of such periods. If granted, this would be the third extension of the Exclusive Periods in this Case.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a) and 1334. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

3. The predicates for the relief requested herein are § 1121(d).

III. BACKGROUND

A. General Overview.

4. On October 21, 2024 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition (the "Petition") for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

5. The Debtor continues to operate its business and manage its property as a debtor in possession pursuant to §§ 1107 and 1108.

6. No trustee, examiner, or official committee has been appointed in this Case.

³ Paragraph 30 of the *Procedures for Complex Chapter 11 Cases in the Southern District of Texas* provides that "if a motion is filed that complies with these procedures to extend the time to take any action before the expiration of the period prescribed by the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Bankruptcy Local Rules, or a confirmed plan, the time for taking the action is automatically extended until the Court rules on the motion." By the filing of this Motion prior to the expiration of the Exclusive Filing Period, the Exclusive Filing Period will not expire until the Court resolves the Motion.

⁴ Although the Debtor has not filed an accompanying declaration with this Motion, to the extent the Motion is contested or the Court otherwise deems it appropriate, the Debtor will file an accompanying declaration(s) and make such parties available for cross-examination.

7. A description of the Debtor, its business, and the reasons for commencing this Case are set forth in greater detail in the *Declaration of Ralph Cetrulo in Support of the Chapter 11 Petition and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 8] (the “First Day Declaration”). The First Day Declaration is incorporated by reference herein.

8. On February 7, 2025, the Debtor filed the *Motion of Debtor for Entry of an Order (I) Establishing Deadlines and Procedures for Filing Proofs of Claim; (II) Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof; and (III) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 115] (the “Bar Date Motion”), requesting that the Court enter an order setting April 8, 2025 as the deadline to file general claims and April 21, 2025 as the deadline to file governmental claims, other than the United States’ claim related to the issues with CMS, which has been extended to March 13, 2026. Docket No. 272. On March 6, 2025, the Court granted the Bar Date Motion. [Docket No. 138].

9. On February 18, 2025, and on June 18, 2025, and the Debtor filed motions to extend exclusivity to file a plan and solicit acceptances, which were granted on March 18, 2025 [Docket No. 144] and on July 15, 2025 [Docket No. 233].

B. The Debtor’s Business.

10. The Debtor, a professional corporation incorporated in California, is a medical practice that provides wound care services, primarily to elderly patients that receive Medicare. The Medicare Program is a federally funded health insurance program primarily designed to cover medical care for the elderly and disabled.

11. Since the Petition Date, the Debtor has continued to provide essential wound care services to thousands of patients in homes, hospices, and skilled nursing facilities. The Debtor operates in a heavily regulated industry while continuing to treat over 2,000 wounds daily. To achieve the Debtor’s chapter 11 goals and maintain quality services to the Debtor’s patients, the Debtor is required to, *inter alia*, maintain vendor relationships and retain 248 full-time and 36 part-time employees and contracts with 49 medical directors.

12. As reflected in each of the Patient Care Ombudsman’s reports (the “PCO Reports”), “the PCO received excellent cooperation and transparency from all of the Debtor’s leadership,”

leading the PCO to conclude that “[t]he Debtor[] appears to strive to meet the needs of their clients” and that the quality of care has not changed as a result of the Debtor’s pending bankruptcy. [See Docket Nos. 105, 131, 212, 231, and 250]. The PCO further noted that “[s]taffing appears to have remained consistent since the filing of bankruptcy,” “[n]o red flag issues [were] reported with respect to staffing, incidents, purchasing/supplies and quality of care,” “[n]o financial issues were expressed” and no coverage gaps were reported since the bankruptcy filing. *Id.* More recently, on October 13, 2025, the PCO stated that she “ha[d] been impressed with [the Debtor’s] focus on quality and training.” [Docket No. 283].

C. Settlement Negotiations with the United States.

13. Since the Petition Date, the Settlement Parties (defined below) have been working in good faith to reach the final terms of the DOJ Settlement.

14. By way of background, and as stated in the First Day Declaration, the Debtor filed the Petition because on September 11, 2024, Qlarant Integrity Solutions, LLC (“Qlarant”) informed the Debtor that all Medicare payments had been suspended (the “Payment Suspension”), which posed an existential threat to the Debtor’s business, patient care and hundreds of jobs. First Day Declaration at ¶¶ 29-30. Without the ability to obtain immediate relief, the Debtor filed its Chapter 11 Case in order to seek the protection of the automatic stay to protect its patient population and its business.

15. After the Petition Date, the Debtor and the United States Department of Justice (the “DOJ”) and the United States Attorney Office for the Eastern District of California, which are representing the Office of Inspector General (“OIG-HHS”) of the Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”), the Defense Health Agency (“DHA”), and the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (“VA,” and collectively with OIG-HHS and DHA, the “United States”) began settlement discussions and entered into that certain stipulation and extensions on file in this Case [Docket Nos. 87, 95, 112, 119, 129, 141, 150, 154, 163, 171, 175, 182, 194, 199, 203, 213, 228, 243, 255, 261, and 272] (collectively, the “DOJ Stipulation”). The Debtor and the United States have been working collaboratively on a global settlement (the “DOJ Settlement”).

16. The United States's claims stems from Qlarant's claim review and data analysis on behalf of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") as a Unified Program Integrity Contractor ("UPIC"), which led Qlarant to initiate the Payment Suspension based on alleged "credible allegations of fraud." *Id.* The Debtor disputes any alleged wrongdoing.

17. The United States's claims are complicated because they also involve resolution of complex regulatory and legal issues under the False Claims Act. Further, the settlement is a global settlement with multiple parties, including, but not limited to: (i) Wound Pros Management Group, Inc. ("WPMG"), a management services organization ("MSO") who, through a Management Services Agreement ("MSA"), provides the Debtor with non-clinical administrative and management services; and (ii) certain other non-debtor entities, including Wound Care Consultants, LLC ("WCC") and Ohio Wound Care Physicians; Wound Pros, P.C.; Wound Pros Arizona, P.C.; Wound Pros Doctors P.C.; Wound Pros Florida, P.A.; Wound Pros Georgia P.C.; Wound Pros Michigan, P.C.; Wound Pros Nevada Inc.; Wound Pros New York Inc.; Wound Pros Ohio Inc.; Wound Pros Tennessee, P.C.; Wound Pros Texas PLLC; and WP Texas Medical Group (collectively, the "Wound Pros Entities," and together with the United States, the Debtor, WPMG, and WCC, the "Settlement Parties").

18. On the Debtor's behalf, the Debtor has engaged its legal counsel and advisors, as well as its General Counsel, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Restructuring Officer, in active negotiations with the Settlement Parties for nearly ten months. To date, the Settlement Parties have made substantial progress in furtherance of the DOJ Settlement to resolve the United States's claims. Among other things, pursuant to the Court-approved DOJ Stipulation, the Debtor anticipates that finalization of the DOJ Settlement will pave the way for a consensual plan of reorganization. Nevertheless, there remain outstanding terms and conditions that the Settlement Parties continue to negotiate.

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED

19. Pursuant to § 1121(d), the Debtor requests entry of an order: (a) extending the

periods during which the Debtor has the exclusive right to (i) file a chapter 11 plan, and (ii) solicit acceptances thereof, in each case, by 120 days, from October 16, 2025 and December 17, 2025 to February 13, 2026 and April 16, 2026, respectively, without prejudice to the Debtor's right to request further extensions of the Exclusive Periods in accordance with § 1121(d); and (b) granting the Debtor such other and further relief as is just.

V. BASIS FOR RELIEF

A. *This Court Should Grant the Relief Requested.*

20. Section 1121(b) provides for an initial period of 120 days after the commencement of a chapter 11 case during which a debtor has the exclusive right to file a chapter 11 plan. Section 1121(c)(3) provides that if a debtor files a plan within the 120-day Exclusive Filing Period, it has an exclusive period of 180 days after the commencement of the chapter 11 case to obtain acceptances of its plan. The Debtor's Exclusive Filing Period and Exclusive Solicitation Period are currently set to expire on October 16, 2025 and December 17, 2025, respectively.

21. Under § 1121(d), the Court may extend the Exclusive Periods for cause. 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d)(1) (“[O]n request of a party in interest made within the respective periods specified in subsections (b) and (c) of this section and after notice and a hearing, the court may for cause reduce or increase the 120-day period or the 180-day period referred to in this section.”).

22. The Bankruptcy Code neither defines the term “cause” for purposes of § 1121(d) nor establishes formal criteria for an extension. The legislative history of § 1121 indicates, however, that it is intended to be a flexible standard to balance the competing interests of a debtor and its creditors. *See In re Timbers of Inwood Forest Assocs., Ltd.*, 808 F.2d 363, 372 (5th Cir. 1987) (“[A]ny bankruptcy court involved in an assessment of whether ‘cause’ exists should be mindful of the legislative goal behind § 1121.”); *In re Mirant Corp.*, No. 4-04-CV-476-A, 4-04-CV-530-A, 2004 WL 2250986, at *2 (N.D. Tex. Sept. 30, 2004) (“In virtually every case where an extension has been granted, the debtor showed substantial progress had been made in negotiations toward reorganization.”); H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, at 231-32 (1978), reprinted in

1978 U.S.C.C.A.N. 5963 (noting that Congress intended to give Bankruptcy Courts great flexibility to protect a debtor's interests by allowing a debtor an unimpeded opportunity to negotiate settlement of debts without interference from other parties in interest).

23. The broad discretion conferred on the Court in these circumstances enables the Court to consider a variety of factors to assess the totality of circumstances in each case. *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, 352 B.R. 578, 587 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006) (identifying factors courts consider in determining whether to extend exclusivity); *In re Hoffinger Indus., Inc.*, 292 B.R. 639, 644 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2003) ("It is within the discretion of the bankruptcy court to decide which factors are relevant and give the appropriate weight to each."); *In re Express One Int'l, Inc.*, 194 B.R. 98, 100 (Bankr. E.D. Tex. 1996) (listing factors considered by courts); *In re Washington-St. Tammany Elec. Coop., Inc.*, 97 B.R. 852, 854 (E.D. La. 1989) (noting that the decision to extend exclusivity "rests with the discretion of the Court.").

24. Courts in this district and others have identified the *Adelphia* factors as factors to consider in determining whether cause exists to extend exclusivity. *See, e.g., In re New Millennium Mgmt., LLC*, No. 13-35719-H3-11, 2014 WL 792115, at *6 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Feb. 25, 2014); *see also In re Borders Grp., Inc.*, 460 B.R. 818, 822 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2011) (evaluating the factors set forth in *Adelphia* to hold that debtor established cause to extend exclusivity). These non-exclusive factors include:

- (i) the size and complexity of the debtor's case;
- (ii) the necessity for sufficient time to permit the debtor to negotiate a chapter 11 plan and prepare adequate information;
- (iii) the existence of good faith progress towards reorganization;
- (iv) the fact that the debtor is paying its bills as they become due;
- (v) whether the debtor has demonstrated reasonable prospects for filing a viable plan;
- (vi) whether the debtor has made progress in negotiations with its creditors;
- (vii) the amount of time which has elapsed in the case;
- (viii) whether the debtor is seeking an extension of exclusivity in order to pressure creditors to submit to the debtor's reorganization demands; and
- (ix) whether an unresolved contingency exists.

See, e.g., Millennium Mgmt., 2014 WL 792115, at *6; *see also In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, 352 B.R. at 587 (noting that the factors listed above are "objective factors which courts historically

have considered in making determinations of this character”).

25. Not all factors are relevant to every case and courts tend to use a relevant subset of the above factors in determining whether cause exists to grant an exclusivity extension in a particular chapter 11 case. *See, e.g., In re Hoffinger Indus., Inc.*, 292 B.R. at 644 (“It is within the discretion of the bankruptcy court to decide which factors are relevant and give the appropriate weight to each.”); *In re Serv. Merch. Co., Inc.*, 256 B.R. 744, 751–54 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn. 2000) (finding cause to extend where the debtors established six of the aforementioned factors); *In re Express One Int’l, Inc.*, 194 B.R. at 100-01 (identifying four of the factors as relevant in determining whether “cause” existed to extend exclusivity); *see also In re Dow Corning Corp.*, 208 B.R. 661, 670 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1997) (“When the Court is determining whether to terminate a debtor’s exclusivity, the primary consideration should be whether or not doing so would facilitate moving the case forward. And that is a practical call that can override a mere toting up of the factors.”).

26. Moreover, courts regularly grant a debtor’s third request for an extension of the debtor’s exclusive period to file a chapter 11 plan. *See, e.g., In re Seadrill Ltd.*, No. 21- 30427 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Feb. 11, 2022) [Docket No. 1392] (granting third extension of the exclusive filing periods); *In re Fieldwood Energy LLC*, No. 20-33948 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Jul. 13, 2021) [Docket No. 1873] (granting third extension of the exclusive filing periods); *see also In re NPC Int’l, Inc.*, No. 20-33353 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Mar. 16, 2021) [Docket No. 1316] (granting another 120-day extension); *In re EP Energy Corp.*, No. 19-35654 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2020) [Docket No. 1135] (granting 6-month extension).

B. Cause Exists to Grant the Relief Requested.

27. An extension of the Exclusive Periods by 120 days is appropriate, in the best interests of the Debtor and its creditors, and consistent with the intent and purpose of chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. For the reasons set forth below, application of each of the factors courts consider

when granting extensions to the facts of this Case demonstrates that ample cause exists to grant the extension of the Exclusive Periods requested herein.

(i) The Debtor's Case is Complex.

28. The Debtor's dispute with the United States requires the Debtor to address numerous complex regulatory and legal issues. Since the Second Exclusivity Motion, the Debtor and the DOJ have continued to negotiate regarding the final terms of a global DOJ Settlement. In addition to the Debtor, there are non-debtors that are part of the negotiations, which adds a layer of complexity and time required to reach a final resolution.

29. Further, the Debtor operates in a heavily regulated industry while continuing to treat over 2,000 wounds daily. To achieve the Debtor's chapter 11 goals and maintain quality services to the Debtor's patients, the Debtor is required to, *inter alia*, maintain vendor relationships and manage 248 full-time and 36 part-time employees and contracts with 49 medical directors – all while engaging in critical negotiations with the United States and other key stakeholders. These highly complex tasks are essential to the Debtor's successful reorganization.

(ii) The Debtor has Demonstrated a Necessity for Additional Time to Permit the Debtor to Negotiate a Chapter 11 Plan and Prepare Adequate Information.

30. As set forth above, the additional time is needed to continue negotiations with the DOJ on a global resolution, which resolution will pave the way for a chapter 11 plan. The DOJ represents CMS, HHS, and other agencies, which are the largest creditors in these Cases. A resolution with these parties will be implemented into the Debtor's plan to mitigate against any potential objections from these parties. Therefore, this factor weighs heavily in support of the Debtor's request for an extension of the Exclusivity Periods.

(iii) The Debtor has Established Good Faith Progress Towards Reorganization.

31. As set forth above, the Debtor has expended significant time and effort since the Petition Date working in good faith with the United States to address its concerns, all while maintaining the Debtor's business operations, preserving value for the Debtor, its stakeholders, and parties in interest, and, most importantly, protecting the health and wellbeing of the patients

who are being treated at their homes, hospices, and skilled nursing facilities.

32. Since the Petition Date, in addition to negotiating with the United States, the Debtor has, among other things:

- Promptly completed and filed its schedules of assets and liabilities and statements of financial affairs;
- Conducted and concluded the meeting of creditors pursuant to § 341;
- Obtained final approval authorizing the Debtor to pay certain prepetition wages, to maintain its insurance program and pay insurance premiums, and to continue using its prepetition cash management system;
- Conducted an orderly process for filing proofs of claims;
- Obtained positive PCO Reports, noting “excellent cooperation and transparency from all of the Debtor’s leadership,” and that “[s]taffing appears to have remained consistent,” “[n]o red flag issues [were] reported with respect to staffing, incidents, purchasing/supplies and quality of care,” “[n]o financial issues were expressed,” and no coverage gaps were reported since the bankruptcy filing; and
- Analyzed potential resolution of this Case, including issues related to the formulation of a plan of reorganization.

33. Thus, this factor supports the extension of the Exclusive Periods. *See In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp.*, 352 B.R. at 588 (viewing the good faith progress factor “as one of the more important factors” in the analysis).

(iv) **The Debtor is Paying Its Postpetition Bills as They Become Due.**

34. As of the date of this filing, the Debtor is still paying its postpetition bills as they come due and an extension of the Exclusivity Period will inure to benefit of the Debtor’s estate, creditors, and other key stakeholders. This factor weighs in favor of granting this Motion.

(v) **The Debtor has Demonstrated Reasonable Prospects for Filing a Viable Plan.**

35. The Debtor has a viable business, which continues to generate sufficient revenue to pay its postpetition accounts payables. If a global DOJ Settlement with the United States can be reached, there is no reason to believe that the Debtor will not be viable going forward and will be able to file a viable plan. This factor also supports an extension of the Exclusivity Periods.

(vi) **The Debtor has Made Progress in Negotiations with its Creditors.**

36. As discussed, the Debtor continues to make substantial progress in its negotiations with the United States. This factor weighs strongly in favor of granting this Motion.

(vii) **Only a Year has Elapsed Since the Petition Date.**

37. This is the Debtor's third motion for an extension of the Exclusive Periods, and only a year has passed since the Petition Date. Given the scope of the issues between the Debtor and the United States, and the importance of their resolution to the Debtor, this not an unreasonable amount of time. Although the data is not recent, the General Accounting Office reported that False Claims Act cases where the United States intervened "took a median of 38 months to conclude," and the time ranged from "4 months to 187 months" to be resolved. See General Accounting Office, Information on False Claims Act Litigation, available at [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-06-320r.pdf](https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-06-320r.pdf).

Thus, under the circumstances, a year is a relatively "small amount" of time. Therefore, this factor supports the requested extension.

(viii) **The Debtor is Seeking an Extension of Exclusivity to Best Position Its Estate for Reorganization, and Not to Pressure Creditors to Submit to Its Reorganization Demands.**

38. The Debtor's request for an extension of the Exclusive Periods is not a tactical decision aimed at pressuring creditors to accept the Debtor's goals and objectives related to a plan of reorganization. The Debtor genuinely intends to reorganize and move forward with its business at the end of this Case. Therefore, this factor does not weigh against the Court granting this Motion.

(ix) **An Unresolved Contingency Exists.**

39. As stated above, the Debtor's current potential plan of reorganization anticipates a global resolution between the Debtor and the United States, and until terms and conditions of such global resolution can be settled, it is premature to file a plan of reorganization.

40. Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein, the Debtor submits that "cause" exists to extend the Exclusive Periods and respectfully request that the Court grant the relief requested herein.

VI. NOTICE

41. The Debtor has provided notice of this Motion to the following parties or their respective counsel: (a) the U.S. Trustee for the Southern District of Texas; (b) the holders of the twenty (20) largest unsecured claims against the Debtor; (c) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Texas; (d) the United States, acting by and through the Secretary of the Department of Health & Human Services; (e) the Attorney General of the United States; and (f) any party that has appeared electronically in this Case and/or requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. In light of the nature of the relief requested, the Debtor submits that no other or further notice is required.

VII. NO PRIOR REQUEST

42. The Second Exclusivity Motion sought extensions for different period of time than this Motion, and no prior request for the relief sought in this Motion has been made to this Court or any other Court.

[Remainder of Page Left Intentionally Blank]

VIII. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests entry of the Proposed Order: (i) granting the relief requested herein; and (ii) granting the Debtor such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 16, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Casey W. Doherty

Casey W. Doherty, Jr.

Dentons US LLP

1300 Post Oak Blvd.

Suite 650

Houston, TX 77056

Phone: (713) 658-4600

Email: casey.doherty@dentons.com

-and-

Samuel R. Maizel (*pro hac vice*)

Tania M. Moyron (*pro hac vice*)

Dentons US LLP

601 S. Figueroa Street

Suite 2500

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: (213) 892-2910

Email: samuel.maizel@dentons.com

tania.moyron@dentons.com

Counsel to the Debtor and Debtor in Possession

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have on October 16, 2025, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by the Electronic Case Filing System for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas.

/s/ Casey W. Doherty
Casey W. Doherty, Jr.

EXHIBIT A

(Proposed Form of Order)

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:

GLOBAL WOUND CARE MEDICAL GROUP, a
Professional Corporation,¹

Debtor and Debtor in Possession.

Chapter 11

Case No. 24-34908

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S THIRD MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER
EXTENDING ITS EXCLUSIVE PERIOD FOR THE FILING OF A CHAPTER 11 PLAN
PURSUANT TO § 1121(D) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE**

Upon consideration of the Motion² of Global Wound Care Medical Group, a Professional Corporation, the debtor and debtor in possession (the “Debtor”) in the above-captioned case (the “Case”) for entry of an order (this “Order”), pursuant to § 1121(d) of title 11 of the United States Code, §§ 101 *et seq.* (the “Bankruptcy Code”),³ for entry of an order (a) extending the periods during which the Debtor has the exclusive right to (i) file a chapter 11 plan (the “Exclusive Filing Period”), and (ii) solicit acceptances thereof (the “Exclusive Solicitation Period” and, together with the Exclusive Filing Period, the “Exclusive Periods”), in each case, by 120 days, from October 16, 2025 and December 17, 2025 to February 13, 2026 and April 16, 2026, respectively, without prejudice to the Debtor’s rights to request further extensions of such periods in accordance with §1121(d), and (b) granting the Debtor such other and further relief as is just; and upon the record in this Case; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Motion and the requested relief being core under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and that this Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States

¹ The last four digits of the Debtor’s tax identification number in the jurisdiction in which it operates is 3572.

² Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

³ All references to “§” or “section” herein are to sections of the Bankruptcy Code.

Constitution; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtor's estates, its creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having reviewed the Motion; and all objections, if any, to the Motion having been withdrawn, resolved, or overruled; and this Court having found that the Debtor's notice of the Motion and opportunity for hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and that no other notice be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the hearing thereon establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted in full.
2. Pursuant to § 1121(d), the Debtor's Exclusive Filing Period in which to file a chapter 11 plan is extended from October 16, 2025 to and including February 13, 2026.
3. Pursuant to § 1121(d), the Debtor's Exclusive Solicitation Period in which to solicit acceptances of its chapter 11 plan is extended from December 17, 2025 to and including April 16, 2026.
4. The extensions of the Exclusive Periods granted herein are without prejudice to the Debtor's rights to seek from this Court further extensions of time pursuant to § 1121(d).
5. The terms and conditions of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.
6. The Debtor is authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out the relief granted in this Order.
7. This Court retains jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: _____, 2025

Christopher M. Lopez
United States Bankruptcy Judge