Case No. 24-10287

IN THE **UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS** FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

IN RE HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

JAMES DONDERO; HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P.; the DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST; GET GOOD TRUST; and **NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC,**

Appellants

٧.

JUDGE STACEY G. JERNIGAN,

Appellee

On Appeal From the United States District Court For the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division; No. 3:23-CV-0726-S

BRIEF OF APPELLANTS

CRAWFORD WISHNEW LANG PLLC

Michael J. Lang 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 2390

Dallas, Texas 75201

Tel.: (214) 817-4500

REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN &

FELDBERG LLP

Amy L. Ruhland

901 S. Mopac Expwy, Suite 300

Austin, Texas 78746

Tel.: (737) 227-3102

Attorneys for Appellants James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust, and NexPoint Real Estate Partners. LLC

Oral Argument Requested



Folder	Record	Exhibit	Doc	Page Range:
No(s).	Reference	Description	Date(s)	
1	District Court		04/05/2023	24-10287.1-6
	Docket		_	
			04/26/2024	
2	Notice of Appeal	Case No. 3:23-	03/28/2024	24-
		cv-00726-S		10287.18891-
				18895
3	Exhibit A in	Case No. 3:23-	03/28/2024	24-
	Support of Notice	cv-00726-S		10287.18896-
	of Appeal			18902

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that this brief was served this day on all parties who receive notification through the Court's electronic filing systems.

Dated June 17, 2024

/s/Michael J. Lang (with permission)

Michael J. Lang

Attorney for Appellants

APPEAL, CLOSED, TOLIVER

U.S. District Court Northern District of Texas (Dallas) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 3:23-cv-00726-S

Dondero et al v. Jernigan

Assigned to: Judge Karen Gren Scholer

Date Filed: 04/05/2023

Date Terminated: 03/08/2024

Case in other court: USCA5, 24-10287 Jury Demand: None

Cause: 28:1651 Petition for Writ of Mandamus Nature of Suit: 890 Other Statutes: Other

Statutory Actions

Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Defendant

Petitioner

James Dondero represented by Michael J Lang

Crawford Wishnew & Lang

1700 Pacific Avenue

Suite 2390 Dallas, TX 75201 214-817-4500 Fax: 214-817-4509 Email: mlang@cwl.law

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

Petitioner

Highland Capital Management Fund represented

Advisors LP

represented by Michael J Lang

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

Petitioner

The Dugaboy Investment Trust represented by Michael J Lang

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

Petitioner

NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC represented by Michael J Lang

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

Petitioner

Get Good Trust represented by

Case: 24-10287 Document: 38 Page: 5 Date Filed: 06/18/2024

Michael J Lang

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

V.

Respondent

Stacey G Jernigan

Respondent

Highland Capital Management LP

represented by Zachery Z Annable

Hayward PLLC

10501 N Central Expressway, Suite 106

Dallas, TX 75231 972-755-7108

Fax: 972-755-7110

Email: zannable@haywardfirm.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

Gregory V Demo

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10017

212-561-7700

Fax: 212-561-7777

Email: gdemo@pszjlaw.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Not Admitted

Hayley R Winograd

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

780 Third Avenue

34th Floor

New York, NY 10017

212-561-7700

Fax: 212-561-7777

Email: hayleywinograd@gmail.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Not Admitted

Jeffrey N Pomerantz

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd. Ste 13th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90066

310-277-6910

Fax: 310-201-0760

Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED Bar Status: Not Admitted

John A Morris

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 780 Third Avenue 34th Floor New York, NY 10017 212-561-7700

Fax: 212-561-7777

Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com

PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED Bar Status: Not Admitted

Melissa S Hayward

Hayward PLLC 10501 N Central Expressway, Suite 106 Dallas, TX 75231 972-755-7100

Fax: 972-755-7104

Email: mhayward@haywardfirm.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bar Status: Admitted/In Good Standing

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
04/05/2023	<u>1 (p.7)</u>	Petition For Writ of Mandamus filed by James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors LP, NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC, The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust. In each Notice of Electronic Filing, the judge assignment is indicated, and a link to the Judges Copy Requirements is provided. The court reminds the filer that any required copy of this and future documents must be delivered to the judge, in the manner prescribed, within three business days of filing. (Filing fee \$402; receipt number ATXNDC-13643538) (Attachments: # 1 (p.7) Exhibit(s) PART 1 - Appendix to Petition for Writ of Mandamus, # 2 (p.3632) Exhibit(s) PART 2 - Appendix to Petition for Writ of Mandamus, # 3 (p.3634) Exhibit(s) PART 3 - Appendix to Petition for Writ of Mandamus, # 4 (p.3636) Exhibit(s) PART 4 - Appendix to Petition for Writ of Mandamus, # 5 (p.3638) Exhibit(s) PART 5 - Appendix to Petition for Writ of Mandamus) (Lang, Michael) Modified party text on 4/6/2023 (acm). Modified filers on 4/7/2024 (axm). (Entered: 04/05/2023)
04/06/2023	2 (p.3632)	New Case Notes: A filing fee has been paid. Pursuant to Misc. Order 6, Plaintiff is provided the Notice of Right to Consent to Proceed Before A U.S. Magistrate Judge (Judge Toliver). Clerk to provide copy to plaintiff if not received electronically. (acm) (Entered: 04/06/2023)
04/11/2023	3 (p.3634)	ADDITIONAL ATTACHMENTS (Civil Cover Sheet) to <u>1 (p.7)</u> Petition for Writ of Mandamus by Petitioners James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors LP, NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC, The Dugaboy Investment Trust.

		(Lang, Michael) Modified text on 4/12/2023 (acm). (Entered: 04/11/2023)
04/11/2023	4 (p.3636)	CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS/DISCLOSURE STATEMENT by James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors LP, NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC, The Dugaboy Investment Trust. (Clerk QC note: No affiliate entered in ECF). (Lang, Michael) (Entered: 04/11/2023)
05/15/2023	<u>5 (p.3638)</u>	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by James Dondero re <u>1 (p.7)</u> Petition for Writ of Mandamus. (Ohlinger, Alexandra) (Entered: 05/15/2023)
12/14/2023	<u>6 (p.3641)</u>	STIPULATION (Stipulation to Supplement Record) by Highland Capital Management LP. (Attachments: # <u>1 (p.7)</u> Proposed Order) (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 12/14/2023)
12/15/2023	7 (p.3647)	ORDER re: <u>6 (p.3641)</u> Stipulation to Supplement Record. Petitioners and Respondent Highland Capital Management LP may file the referenced materials by 12/29/2023. The Court ORDERS Respondent Highland Capital Management LP to respond to the Petition for Writ of Mandamus [ECF No. <u>1 (p.7)</u>] no later than 1/8/2024. (Ordered by Judge Karen Gren Scholer on 12/15/2023) (axm) (Entered: 12/15/2023)
12/29/2023	8 (p.3648)	Appendix in Support filed by James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors LP, Highland Capital Management LP, NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC, The Dugaboy Investment Trust re 1 (p.7) Petition for Writ of Mandamus,,,, (<i>Joint Supplemental Appendix</i>) (Attachments: # 1 (p.7) Exhibit(s) 1, # 2 (p.3632) Exhibit(s) 2, # 3 (p.3634) Exhibit(s) 3, # 4 (p.3636) Exhibit(s) 4, # 5 (p.3638) Exhibit(s) 5, # 6 (p.3641) Exhibit(s) 6, # 7 (p.3647) Exhibit(s) 7, # 8 (p.3648) Exhibit(s) 8, # 9 (p.18784) Exhibit(s) 9, # 10 (p.18791) Exhibit(s) 10, # 11 (p.18798) Exhibit(s) 11, # 12 Exhibit(s) 12, # 13 Exhibit(s) 13, # 14 Exhibit(s) 14, # 15 (p.18804) Exhibit(s) 15, # 16 (p.18811) Exhibit(s) 16, # 17 (p.18815) Exhibit(s) 17, # 18 Exhibit(s) 18, # 19 (p.18842) Exhibit(s) 19, # 20 (p.18846) Exhibit(s) 20, # 21 (p.18850) Exhibit(s) 21 Part 1, # 22 (p.18854) Exhibit(s) 21 Part 2, # 23 (p.18858) Exhibit(s) 21 Part 3, # 24 (p.18870) Exhibit(s) 21 Part 4, # 25 (p.18885) Exhibit(s) 22, # 26 (p.18891) Exhibit(s) 23, # 27 Exhibit(s) 24, # 28 Exhibit(s) 29, # 33 Exhibit(s) 26, # 30 Exhibit(s) 27, # 31 Exhibit(s) 28, # 32 Exhibit(s) 29, # 33 Exhibit(s) 30 Part 1, # 34 Exhibit(s) 30 Part 2, # 35 Exhibit(s) 30 Part 3) (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 12/29/2023)
01/04/2024	<u>9</u> (p.18784)	Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice with Certificate of Good Standing for Attorney John A. Morris (Filing fee \$100; Receipt number ATXNDC-14287094) filed by Highland Capital Management LP (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/04/2024)
01/04/2024	<u>10</u> (p.18791)	Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice with Certificate of Good Standing for Attorney Gregory V. Demo (Filing fee \$100; Receipt number ATXNDC-14287131) filed by Highland Capital Management LP (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/04/2024)
01/04/2024	<u>11</u> (p.18798)	Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice with Certificate of Good Standing for Attorney Hayley R. Winograd (Filing fee \$100; Receipt number ATXNDC-14288530) filed by Highland Capital Management LP (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/04/2024)
01/05/2024	12	ELECTRONIC ORDER granting 9 (p.18784) Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice of John Morris. Important Reminder: Unless excused for cause, an attorney who is not an ECF user must register within 14 days of the date the attorney

		appears in a case pursuant to LR 5.1(f) and LCrR 49.2(g). (Ordered by Judge Karen Gren Scholer on 1/5/2024) (chmb) (Entered: 01/05/2024)
01/05/2024	13	ELECTRONIC ORDER granting 10 (p.18791) Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Gregory Demo. Important Reminder: Unless excused for cause, an attorney who is not an ECF user must register within 14 days of the date the attorney appears in a case pursuant to LR 5.1(f) and LCrR 49.2(g). (Ordered by Judge Karen Gren Scholer on 1/5/2024) (chmb) (Entered: 01/05/2024)
01/05/2024	14	ELECTRONIC ORDER granting 11 (p.18798) Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Hayley Winograd. Important Reminder: Unless excused for cause, an attorney who is not an ECF user must register within 14 days of the date the attorney appears in a case pursuant to LR 5.1(f) and LCrR 49.2(g). (Ordered by Judge Karen Gren Scholer on 1/5/2024) (chmb) (Entered: 01/05/2024)
01/05/2024	15 (p.18804)	Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice with Certificate of Good Standing for Attorney Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (Filing fee \$100; Receipt number ATXNDC-14292424) filed by Highland Capital Management LP (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/05/2024)
01/08/2024	16 (p.18811)	CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS/DISCLOSURE STATEMENT by Highland Capital Management LP. (Clerk QC note: Affiliate entry indicated). (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/08/2024)
01/08/2024	17 (p.18815)	RESPONSE filed by Highland Capital Management LP re: 1 (p.7) Petition for Writ of Mandamus,,, (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/08/2024)
01/11/2024	18	ELECTRONIC ORDER granting 15 (p.18804) Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Jeffrey Pomerantz. Important Reminder: Unless excused for cause, an attorney who is not an ECF user must register within 14 days of the date the attorney appears in a case pursuant to LR 5.1(f) and LCrR 49.2(g). (Ordered by Judge Karen Gren Scholer on 1/11/2024) (chmb) (Entered: 01/11/2024)
01/18/2024	19 (p.18842)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Highland Capital Management LP re <u>8 (p.3648)</u> Appendix in Support. (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/18/2024)
01/18/2024	20 (p.18846)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Highland Capital Management LP re 16 (p.18811) Cert. Of Interested Persons/Disclosure Statement, 17 (p.18815) Response/Objection (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/18/2024)
01/18/2024	(p.18850)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Highland Capital Management LP re <u>8 (p.3648)</u> Appendix in Support, <u>19 (p.18842)</u> Certificate of Service (<i>Amended Certificate of Service</i>) (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/18/2024)
01/18/2024	(p.18854)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Highland Capital Management LP re 16 (p.18811) Cert. Of Interested Persons/Disclosure Statement, 20 (p.18846) Certificate of Service, 17 (p.18815) Response/Objection (Amended Certificate of Service) (Annable, Zachery) (Entered: 01/18/2024)
01/22/2024	23 (p.18858)	REPLY filed by James Dondero re: <u>17 (p.18815)</u> Response/Objection (Lang, Michael) (Entered: 01/22/2024)
01/22/2024	24 (p.18870)	Appendix in Support filed by James Dondero re 23 (p.18858) Reply in Support of Petition For Writ of Mandamus (Lang, Michael) (Entered: 01/22/2024)
03/08/2024	25 (p.18885)	Order: The Court finds that Petitioners have not proved "exceptional circumstances" sufficient to justify the extraordinary remedy of a writ of

		mandamus. The Petition for Writ of Mandamus [ECF No. [1]] is DENIED. (Ordered by Judge Karen Gren Scholer on 3/8/2024) (ykp) (Entered: 03/08/2024)
03/28/2024	26 (p.18891)	NOTICE OF APPEAL as to 25 (p.18885) Order of Dismissal or Administrative Closure, to the Fifth Circuit by James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors LP, NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC, The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust. Filing fee \$605, receipt number ATXNDC-14499175. T.O. form to appellant electronically at Transcript Order Form or US Mail as appropriate. Copy of NOA to be sent US Mail to parties not electronically noticed. IMPORTANT ACTION REQUIRED: Provide an electronic copy of any exhibit you offered during a hearing or trial that was admitted into evidence to the clerk of the district court within 14 days of the date of this notice. Copies must be transmitted as PDF attachments through ECF by all ECF Users or delivered to the clerk on a CD by all non-ECF Users. See detailed instructions here. (Exception: This requirement does not apply to a pro se prisoner litigant.) Please note that if original exhibits are in your possession, you must maintain them through final disposition of the case. (Attachments: # 1 (p.7) Exhibit(s) A) (Ruhland, Amy) Modified filer on 4/7/2024 (axm). (Entered: 03/28/2024)
04/26/2024		USCA Case Number 24-10287 in USCA5 for <u>26 (p.18891)</u> Notice of Appeal filed by James Dondero, The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors LP, Get Good Trust, NexPoint Real Estate Partners LLC. (axm) (Entered: 04/26/2024)

Amy L. Ruhland

Texas Bar No. 24043561

REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG LLP

901 S. Mopac Expwy, Suite 300

Austin, TX 78746 Tel.: (737) 227-3102

Email: aruhland@reichmanjorgensen.com

Michael J. Lang

Texas Bar No. 24036944

CRAWFORD WISHNEW & LANG, PLLC

1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 2390

Dallas, Texas 75201 Tel.: (214) 817-4500 Email: mlang@cwl.law

Attorneys for James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust, and NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRIC COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re: JAMES DONDERO, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P., THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, GET GOOD TRUST, and NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:23-CV-0726-S

Debtor.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust, and NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC ("Petitioners"), movants in Civ. Act. No. 3:23-cv-0726-s and parties-in-interest in the bankruptcy proceeding styled *In re Highland Capital Management, L.P.*, Case No. 19-34054 (the "Bankruptcy

Proceeding"), hereby appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit from the Order of the United States District for the Northern District of Texas entered in this case on March 8, 2024 as Dkt. No. 25 (the "Order"), which denied Petitioners' Petition for Writ of Mandamus seeking the recusal of Judge Stacey G. Jernigan in the underlying Bankruptcy Proceeding. A true and correct copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The parties to the appeal are as follows:

Appellants/Petitioners: James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust, and NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC

Attorneys:

Amy L. Ruhland REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG 901 S. Mopac Expwy, Suite 300

Austin, TX 78746 Tel.: (737) 227-3102

Email: aruhland@reichmanjorgensen.com

Michael J. Lang CRAWFORD WISHNEW & LANG, PLLC 1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 2390 Dallas, Texas 75201

Tel.: (214) 817-4500 Email: mlang@cwl.law

Appellee/Respondent: Judge Stacey G. Jernigan

Appellee/Respondent: Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Attorneys:

Jeffery N. Pomerantz
Ira D. Kharasch
John A. Morris
Gregory V. Demo
Hayley R. Winograd
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pszjlaw.com

jmorris@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com hwinofrad@pszjlaw.com

Dated: March 28, 2024 Respectfully Submitted,

REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG

/s/ Amy R. Ruhland

Amy L. Ruhland
Texas Bar No. 24043561
aruhland@reichmanjorgensen.com
901 S. Mopac Expwy, Suite 300
Austin, TX 78746

Tel.: (737) 227-3102

CRAWFORD WISHNEW & LANG, PLLC

Michael J. Lang
Texas Bar No. 24036944

mlang@cwl.law
1700 Pacific Ave., Suite 2390
Dallas, Texas 75201
Tel.: (214) 817-4500

Attorneys for James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust, and NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on March 28, 2024, a true and correct copy of this document was served electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system to the parties registered or otherwise entitled to receive electronic notices in this case.

/s/ Amy L. Ruhland

Amy L. Ruhland

EXHIBIT A

United States District Court

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

IN RE: JAMES DONDERO,	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL	§	
MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS,	§	
L.P., THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:23-CV-0726-S
TRUST, GET GOOD TRUST, and	§	
NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE	§	
PARTNERS, LLC	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is the Petition for Writ of Mandamus ("Petition") [ECF No. 1], the Appendix to the Petition for Writ of Mandamus ("Appendix") [ECF Nos. 1-1 through 1-5], the Joint Supplemental Appendix ("Supplemental Appendix") [ECF No. 8], Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Response to Petition for Writ of Mandamus ("Response") [ECF No. 17], and the Reply in Support of Petition for Writ of Mandamus ("Reply") [ECF No. 23]. Petitioners James Dondero, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., The Dugaboy Investment Trust, Get Good Trust, and NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC, seek a writ of mandamus ordering the recusal of Bankruptcy Judge Stacey G. Jernigan ("Judge Jernigan") from the Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings concerning Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("Debtor"). For the reasons articulated in the Memorandum Opinion and Order Denying "Amended Renewed Motion to Recuse, Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 455" ("Third Order Denying Recusal"), the Court finds that Petitioners failed to present any objective manifestations of bias or prejudice that would constitute grounds for Judge Jernigan's recusal. See App. 2-37. Accordingly, the Court finds that Petitioners have not shown that the extraordinary remedy of a writ of mandamus is warranted. For the following reasons, the Petition is **DENIED**.

I. BACKGROUND

On October 16, 2019, Debtor filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware ("Bankruptcy Case"), and that court transferred venue to the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas. *Charitable DAF Fund, L.P. v. Highland Cap. Mgmt., L.P.* (*In re Highland Cap. Mgmt. L.P.*), No. 19-34054-SGJ-11, 2022 WL 780991, at *1 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2022). Fifteen months after the case was transferred, Petitioners filed a motion to recuse under 28 U.S.C. § 455 ("First Recusal Motion"). App. 39-75. Judge Jernigan denied the First Recusal Motion, Suppl. App. 3593-603, and Petitioners appealed ("First Appeal"), *id.* at 3732-64. Concluding that Judge Jernigan's order was interlocutory and not immediately appealable as a matter of right, the district court dismissed the First Appeal for lack of jurisdiction. *Id.* at 5777-90.

Five months later, Petitioners filed their Motion for Final Appealable Order and Supplement to Motion to Recuse Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 455, which they later amended ("Second Recusal Motion"). *Id.* at 5793-801 (original motion); *id.* at 6523-30 (amended motion). In the Second Recusal Motion, Petitioners asked Judge Jernigan to reconsider the First Recusal Motion along with Petitioners' supplemental evidence and arguments and to "enter a final, appealable order on th[e] issue." *Id.* at 6530. Judge Jernigan held a status conference on August 31, 2022, regarding the Second Recusal Motion. *Id.* at 14659-85. At the hearing and in a written order, Judge Jernigan denied the Second Recusal Motion as procedurally improper. *Id.* at 14656-58, 14681-84. The order was entered "without prejudice to the Movants' right to file (1) a simple motion . . . seeking only a revised and amended Recusal Order . . . and/or (2) a new motion to recuse this bankruptcy judge based on any alleged new evidence or grounds for recusal" that were not included in the First Recusal Motion. *Id.* at 14658. Petitioners chose the second option.

On October 17, 2022, Petitioners filed their Amended Renewed Motion to Recuse Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 455 ("Third Recusal Motion"). App. 2800-28. Judge Jernigan issued the Third Order Denying Recusal on March 6, 2023. *Id.* at 2-37. On April 4, 2023, Petitioners filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in the First Appeal, but District Judge Ed Kinkeade ("Judge Kinkeade") unfiled it because the First Appeal was dismissed, and the Petition for Writ of Mandamus was not "in any way . . . a continuation of the bankruptcy appeal that was before the Court." Suppl. App. 5791-92. Judge Kinkeade directed Petitioners to file a new action if they wished to seek relief as to the Third Order Denying Recusal. *Id.* This action before yet another district court ensued.

II. ANALYSIS

A writ of mandamus is an "extraordinary remedy" justified only by "exceptional circumstances." *In re Gordon*, No. 18-60869, 2019 WL 11816606, at *1 (5th Cir. 2019) (citation omitted). As such, the Supreme Court has established three requirements that must be met before a writ may issue. "First, the party seeking issuance of the writ must have no other adequate means to attain the relief he desires. . . . Second, the petitioner must satisfy the burden of showing that his right to issuance of the writ is clear and indisputable." *In re LeBlanc*, 559 F. App'x. 389, 392 (5th Cir. 2014) (citation omitted). And third, "the issuing court, in the exercise of its discretion, must be satisfied that the writ is appropriate under the circumstances." *Id*. For the reasons set forth in the Response, the Court finds these three requirements have not been met. Resp. 16-20.

To meet the first requirement, Petitioners must show that the alleged error is "irremediable on ordinary appeal, thereby justifying emergency relief in the form of mandamus." In re Occidental Petroleum Corp., 217 F.3d 293, 295 (5th Cir. 2000) (footnote and citation omitted). "That is a high bar: The appeals process provides an adequate remedy in almost all cases, even where defendants face the prospect of an expensive trial." In re Depuy Orthopaedics, Inc., 870

F.3d 345, 352 (5th Cir. 2017) (citation omitted). Petitioners fail to make the required showing. As examples of Judge Jernigan's alleged bias, Petitioners cite comments made by Judge Jernigan throughout the course of the Bankruptcy Case, *see* Pet. 5-7; however, the Court finds that these statements, when taken in context, provide no basis for reasonably questioning Judge Jernigan's impartiality or finding personal bias or prejudice. As further evidence of Judge Jernigan's alleged bias, Petitioners also cite rulings they never appealed and rulings that were appealed and affirmed in all material aspects. *See*, *e.g.*, App. 20-28; Resp. 7-8. If anything, Judge Jernigan's rulings could arguably constitute grounds for appeal, not for recusal.

As to the second requirement, because Petitioners have not shown that recusal was warranted, Petitioners do not demonstrate a "clear and indisputable" right to mandamus. *In re LeBlanc*, 559 F. App'x. at 392 (citation omitted). 28 U.S.C. § 455, which applies to bankruptcy courts through Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 5004(a), provides in relevant part that any judge "shall disqualify [her]self in any proceeding in which [her] impartiality might reasonably be questioned" or "[w]here [s]he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding." 28 U.S.C. § 455(a), (b)(1). A party seeking recusal must clear the following hurdles: "They must (1) demonstrate that the alleged comment, action, or circumstance was of 'extrajudicial' origin, (2) place the offending event into the context of the entire trial, and (3) do so by an 'objective' observer's standard." *Andrade v. Chojnacki*, 338 F.3d 448, 455 (5th Cir. 2003). The Court finds that Petitioners have not cleared the required hurdles.

Petitioners allege that Judge Jernigan displayed personal bias and animus toward Dondero and his affiliates based on rulings and statements made by Judge Jernigan throughout the Bankruptcy Case, Pet. 3-9, but none of the grounds Petitioners assert merit disqualification.

Petitioners do not place the contested rulings and statements in the appropriate context within the Bankruptcy Case. As set forth in the Third Order Denying Recusal, the Third Recusal Motion "contains several misstatements or partial descriptions of events during the case, in several places, that create misimpressions." App. 19. Further, these challenges arise from "intrajudicial sources." *Andrade*, 338 F.3d at 460. To lead to disqualification, events in court must "reveal such a high degree of favoritism or antagonism as to make fair judgment impossible." *Id.* at 462 (citation omitted). Petitioners fail to identify any action or statement revealing a sufficient degree of antagonism.

Petitioners also contend that two *fiction* novels authored by Judge Jernigan are "the most revealing evidence of [her] bias." Pet. 1. The Court is not persuaded by Petitioners' far-reaching comparison between the books and the parties to the Bankruptcy Case. Petitioners fail to show how any portion of the crime novels could raise a doubt in the mind of a reasonable observer as to Judge Jernigan's impartiality.

As to the third requirement, Petitioners have not shown that a writ of mandamus is appropriate under the circumstances. After a careful review of the record, the Court concludes that a reasonable and objective observer, aware of all the facts and circumstances, would not harbor doubts about Judge Jernigan's impartiality in the Bankruptcy Case. The Court agrees with Judge Jernigan in finding that Petitioners' allegations are wholly conclusory and baseless and do not establish Judge Jernigan's personal bias or prejudice for or against any party, or any other basis upon which Judge Jernigan's impartiality might reasonably be questioned. *See* 28 U.S.C § 455(a)-(b); FED. R. BANKR. P. 5004(a).

III. CONCLUSION

The Court finds that Petitioners have not proved "exceptional circumstances" sufficient to justify the extraordinary remedy of a writ of mandamus. *In re Gordon*, 2019 WL 11816606, at *1. For the foregoing reasons, the Petition for Writ of Mandamus [ECF No. 1] is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED March 8, 2024.

KAREN GREN SCHOLER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE