

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re

WESCO AIRCRAFT HOLDINGS, INC.¹

Reorganized Debtor.

Case No. 23-90611 (MI)

Chapter 11

(Jointly Administered)

**REORGANIZED DEBTORS'
NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

**(AMENDED CLAIMS, PARTIALLY
SATISFIED CLAIMS, AND RECLASSIFIED CLAIMS)**

This is an objection to your claim. This objection asks the Court to disallow or modify the claim that you filed in this bankruptcy case. If you do not file a response at or before 4:00 p.m. (Central Time) on May 15, 2025, your claim may be disallowed or modified without a hearing.

Claimants receiving this objection should locate their names and claims as listed in Schedules 1–3 to the Order for more information regarding this Objection.

¹ The captioned Reorganized Debtor is Incora Intermediate II LLC, the successor by merger to Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Its employer identification number is 33-2921953. Its principal office address and service address in this case is 2601 Meacham Blvd., Ste. 400, Fort Worth, TX 76137.



239061125041500000000002

The above-captioned reorganized debtor (the “**Reorganized Debtor**”² or “**Incora**” and, together with its affiliated former debtors and their successors, as applicable, the “**Reorganized Debtors**”) respectfully state as follows.

RELIEF REQUESTED

1. By this omnibus objection (the “**Objection**”), the Reorganized Debtors seek entry of the proposed order (the “**Order**”), disallowing or modifying each of the Disputed Claims (as defined herein) listed on **Schedules 1–3** to the Order.

2. More specifically, Reorganized Debtors seek entry of the Order:

- a. disallowing the proof of claim identified on **Schedule 1** to the Order (collectively, the “**Amended Claim**”) on the grounds that such claim was amended by a claim, as identified in the column entitled “Remaining Claims” on **Schedule 1** to the Order;
- b. modifying the claims identified on **Schedule 2** to the Order (collectively, the “**Partially Satisfied Claims**”) on the grounds that each such claim has been partially satisfied and should remain in the amounts identified in the column entitled “Remaining Claim Amount” on **Schedule 2** to the Order; and
- c. modifying the claims identified on **Schedule 3** to the Order (collectively, the “**Reclassified Claims**”) on the grounds that each such claim is incorrectly or improperly classified, as identified on **Schedule 3** to the Order.

3. The principal bases for this Objection are sections 105(a) and 502(b) of title 11 of the U.S. Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), and Rules 3007-1 and 9013-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas (the “**Local Rules**”), and the Court’s *Order Approving Claim Objection and Settlement Procedures* [Dkt. No. 1354] (the “**Claims Procedures Order**”). This Objection is supported by the *Declaration of Christopher Kelly in Support of the Reorganized Debtors’ Ninth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim (Amended*

² A detailed description of the now-Reorganized Debtors and their businesses is set forth in the *Declaration of Raymond Carney in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions* (the “**First Day Declaration**”) [Dkt. No. 13], filed with the Debtors’ voluntary petitions for relief filed under title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), on June 1, 2023 (the “**Petition Date**”).

Claims, Partially Satisfied Claims, and Reclassified Claims) (the “**Kelly Declaration**”), attached to this Objection as **Exhibit A**.

4. Each objection to a Disputed Claim is intended to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. If any claimant files and properly serves a timely response to this Objection, the Reorganized Debtors will request the Court schedule a hearing on that response. For each Disputed Claim for which there is no timely response, the Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to file a certificate of no objection with respect to each other Disputed Claim for which no response has been filed or served.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. The Court has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue in the Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

BACKGROUND

6. On September 11, 2023, the Court entered the *Order (I) Setting Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Approving the Form of Proofs of Claim and the Manner of Filing, (III) Approving Notice of Bar Dates, and (IV) Granting Related Relief* [Dkt. No. 750] (the “**Bar Date Order**”). The Bar Date Order established, among other things, October 11, 2023, as the deadline for all non-governmental entities holding or wishing to assert a “claim” (as defined in section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code) against the Debtors that arose before the Petition Date to file a proof of claim.

7. To date, over 2,100 proofs of claim have been filed against the now-Reorganized Debtors. Because of the large number of proofs of claim in these cases, the now-Reorganized Debtors sought and received approval to file omnibus objections to certain claims in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Claims Procedures Order. The Reorganized Debtors and their advisors have been working diligently to review the proofs of claim, including any supporting documentation provided by claimants.

8. The Reorganized Debtors and their advisors have determined that the Amended Claim, Partially Satisfied Claims, and Reclassified Claims (each, a “*Disputed Claim*,” and, collectively, the “*Disputed Claims*”) should be disallowed or modified as set forth herein.

BASIS FOR RELIEF

9. Section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, that: “[a] claim or interest, proof of which is filed under section 501 of [the Bankruptcy Code], is deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. §502. Moreover, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 provides certain grounds upon which “objections to more than one claim may be joined in an omnibus objection.” Such grounds include when “the objections are based solely on the grounds that the claims should be disallowed, in whole or in part, because . . . they have been amended by subsequently filed proofs of claim,” “they have been satisfied or released during the case in accordance with the Code, applicable rules, or a court order,” “they were presented in a form that does not comply with applicable rules, and the objection states that the objector is unable to determine the validity of the claim because of the noncompliance,” “they assert priority in an amount that exceeds the maximum amount under §507 of the Code,” or “they are interests, rather than claims[.]” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(d).³

10. As set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), a properly executed and filed proof of claim constitutes prima facie evidence of the validity and the amount of the claim under section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See, e.g., In re Jack Kline Co.*, 440 B.R. 712, 742 (Bankr. S.D. Tex.

³ Pursuant to the Claims Procedures Order, the approved Additional Grounds for omnibus objections include that:

1. the claim is incorrectly or improperly classified;
2. the claim was filed by a bondholder or lender and it is duplicative of a claim filed by an indenture trustee or administrative agent;
3. the claim is filed against a non-Debtor;
4. the claim is improperly filed against multiple Debtors;
5. the claim improperly fails to specify a Debtor against which it is asserted;
6. the claim was paid prepetition or paid postpetition pursuant to an order entered by the Court; or
7. the claim has been satisfied by one of the Debtors’ insurers or by another third party.

2010). A proof of claim loses the presumption of prima facie validity under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) if an objecting party refutes at least one of the allegations that are essential to the claim's legal sufficiency. *See In re Fidelity Holding Co., Ltd.*, 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988). Once such an allegation is refuted, the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of its claim by a preponderance of the evidence. *Id.* Despite this shifting burden during the claim objection process, "the ultimate burden of proof always lies with the claimant." *In re Armstrong*, 347 B.R. 581, 583 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2006) (citing *Raleigh v. Ill. Dep't of Rev.*, 530 U.S. 15 (2000)).

I. AMENDED CLAIM

11. The Reorganized Debtors object to the Amended Claim. As set forth herein and in the Kelly Declaration, the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors thoroughly reviewed the claims register and believe that the Amended Claim is superseded by the claim identified in the column entitled "Remaining Claims" on **Schedule 1** to the Order (collectively, the "***Remaining Amended Claim***"). Disallowing the Amended Claim will reduce the risk of inadvertent duplicative distributions and provide the Reorganized Debtors and the affected claimant with certainty regarding which Remaining Amended Claim will control for purposes of distributions and further objections.

12. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors request that the Court enter an order disallowing the Amended Claim identified on **Schedule 1** to the Order. This Objection does not affect the Remaining Amended Claim, and the Reorganized Debtors reserve their right to object to the Remaining Amended Claim on any grounds whatsoever.

II. PARTIALLY SATISFIED CLAIMS

13. The Reorganized Debtors object to the Partially Satisfied Claims. As set forth herein and in the Kelly Declaration, the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors thoroughly reviewed the claims register and believe that a portion of each of the Partially Satisfied Claims is not due and owing to each claimant with respect to such claim. The Reorganized Debtors have paid certain invoices that were included with each proof of claim. As such, the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors believe that each Partially Satisfied Claim should remain in the amounts identified in the

column entitled “Remaining Claim Amount” on **Schedule 2** to the Order. Modifying the Partially Satisfied Claims will provide the Reorganized Debtors and the affected claimants with certainty regarding the accuracy of each Partially Satisfied Claim, the correct and controlling amount of each Partially Satisfied Claim for distribution purposes, and will avoid diluting the pool of recovery for other claimants due to recoveries for inaccurate claim amounts.

14. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors request that the Court enter an order modifying those Partially Satisfied Claims identified on **Schedule 2** to the Order. This Objection does not affect the remaining modified Partially Satisfied Claims, and the Reorganized Debtors reserve their right to object to the modified Partially Satisfied Claims on any grounds whatsoever.

III. RECLASSIFIED CLAIMS

15. The Reorganized Debtors object to the classification of the Reclassified Claims. As set forth herein and in the Kelly Declaration, the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors thoroughly reviewed the claims register and believe that the Reclassified Claims as identified on **Schedule 3** to the Order do not accurately reflect the correct classification for each Proof of Claim according to the Reorganized Debtors’ accounting records. Instead, the Reorganized Debtors believe that the asserted classification of such claims as identified in the column titled “Asserted” should be modified to the classification identified in the column titled “Remaining Claim Amount.” The Reorganized Debtors assert that the classification listed in the “Remaining Claim Amount” column for each Reclassified Claim represents the appropriate classification for each respective Proof of Claim, as reflected in the Reorganized Debtors’ accounting records and/or from the information provided by the Claimants. After reviewing the Reorganized Debtors’ accounting records, the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors have confirmed that each of the Reclassified Claims either refers to (a) goods that were received more than 20 days prior to the Petition Date or, (b) in one case, alleged “dilapidations” (i.e., wear and tear to real property) in connection with certain expired leases. Accordingly, the Reclassified Claims are not entitled to priority status under section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code.

16. Failure to modify such claims could result in the relevant claimants receiving (i) a

better recovery than other similarly situated creditors, even though such recovery is not warranted, or (ii) a lesser recovery than they are otherwise entitled. Reclassifying the Reclassified Claims will enable the Reorganized Debtors to maintain a more accurate claims register and will not prejudice parties holding interests in the Reorganized Debtors. Reclassifying the Reclassified Claims will provide the Reorganized Debtors and the affected claimants with certainty regarding which classifications will control for distribution purposes.

17. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors request that the Court enter an order modifying those Reclassified Claims identified on **Schedule 3** to the Order. The Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to object to the Reclassified Claims on any grounds whatsoever.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

18. The Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to modify, supplement, or amend this Objection as it pertains to any of the Disputed Claims. Nothing in this Objection shall be understood as (a) a finding as to the validity of any claim against any of the Reorganized Debtors, (b) a waiver of the right of the Reorganized Debtors to dispute any claim against any of the now-Reorganized Debtors on any grounds whatsoever at a later date, (c) a requirement for any Reorganized Debtors to pay any claim, (d) a waiver of any claim or cause of action any of the Reorganized Debtors or other parties of interest may have against any entity; (e) a waiver of any rights of the Reorganized Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable law; (f) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in the Objection or any order granting the relief requested in the Objection; or (g) an implication, admission, or concession (i) that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Objection or any lien, security interest, or other encumbrance on property of any of the Reorganized Debtors or (ii) that any lien, security interest, other encumbrance on property of any of the Reorganized Debtors or right of setoff is valid, enforceable, or perfected (and the Reorganized Debtors and all other parties in interest expressly reserve and preserve their rights to contest or seek avoidance of the same).

NOTICE

19. Pursuant to Article XII.C of the *Further Modified Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc.* [Dkt. No. 2517] (the “**Plan**”),⁴ the Claims Procedures Order, and Rule 3007 of the Bankruptcy Rules, notice of this Objection will be provided (a) by filing on the Court’s Electronic Court Filing system, (b) by mail, to the Office of the U.S. Trustee, (c) by email, to counsel to the General Unsecured Claims Observer, the First Lien Noteholder Group, and those parties that, following the Effective Date, have filed renewed requests for notices pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002, and (d) by individualized notice, in the form appended to the Claims Procedures Order via mail, to each claimant and on each such claimant’s counsel, if listed on the Claimant’s Proof of Claim, affected by this Objection. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required under the circumstances.

[Remainder of page intentionally blank]

⁴ Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Objection have the meanings ascribed to them in the Plan.

Upon the foregoing Objection, the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that the Court
(a) enter an order sustaining this Objection, substantially in the form attached to this Objection and
(b) grant such other relief as is just and proper.

Dated: April 15, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles A. Beckham, Jr.

Charles A. Beckham, Jr. (TX Bar No. 02016600)
Patrick L. Hughes (TX Bar No. 10227300)
Martha Wyrick (TX Bar No. 24101606)
Re’Necia Sherald (TX Bar No. 24121543)
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
1221 McKinney Street, Suite 4000
Houston, TX 77010
Telephone: 1 (713) 547-2000
Email: Charles.Beckham@HaynesBoone.com
Patrick.Hughes@HaynesBoone.com
Martha.Wyrick@HaynesBoone.com
ReNecia.Sherald@HaynesBoone.com

- and -

Dennis F. Dunne (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Samuel A. Khalil (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Benjamin M. Schak (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MILBANK LLP
55 Hudson Yards
New York, NY 10001
Telephone: 1 (212) 530-5000
Email: DDunne@Milbank.com
SKhalil@Milbank.com
BSchak@Milbank.com

Counsel to the Reorganized Debtor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on April 15, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served through the Electronic Case Filing system of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas and will be served as set forth in the Affidavit of Service to be filed by the Reorganized Debtors' noticing agent.

/s/ Charles A. Beckham, Jr.

Charles A. Beckham, Jr.

EXHIBIT A
TO THE REORGANIZED DEBTORS’
NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
CHRISTOPHER KELLY DECLARATION

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re

WESCO AIRCRAFT HOLDINGS, INC.¹

Reorganized Debtor.

Case No. 23-90611 (MI)

Chapter 11

(Jointly Administered)

**DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER
KELLY IN SUPPORT OF THE REORGANIZED
DEBTORS' NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

**(AMENDED CLAIMS, PARTIALLY
SATISFIED CLAIMS, AND RECLASSIFIED CLAIMS)**

¹ The captioned Reorganized Debtor is Incora Intermediate II LLC, the successor by merger to Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Its employer identification number is 33-2921953. Its principal office address and service address in this case is 2601 Meacham Blvd., Ste. 400, Fort Worth, TX 76137.

I, Christopher Kelly, make this declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and state as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. I am a Managing Director with Alvarez & Marsal North America, LLC (together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries and independent contractors and also with employees of its professional service provider affiliates, all of which are wholly-owned by its parent company and employees, “**A&M**”), a restructuring advisory services firm with multiple offices throughout the country. Since 1983, A&M has been a global provider of turnaround advisory services to companies in crisis or in need of performance improvement in specific financial and operational areas. A&M’s debtor advisory services have encompassed a wide range of activities targeted at stabilizing and improving a company’s financial position, including: developing and validating forecasts and business plans; monitoring and managing cash, cash flow, and supplier relationships; assessing and recommending cost reduction strategies; and designing and negotiating financial restructuring packages.

2. I received a Bachelor’s Degree in Commerce from the McIntire School of Commerce at the University of Virginia and a Masters of Business Administration from Columbia University. I have more than 17 years of restructuring experience. I specialize in the development, evaluation and execution of restructuring plans; cash flow forecasting and liquidity management; and operational performance improvement. I have assisted clients across a variety of industries, including financial services, government contracting, transportation and logistics, manufacturing, business services, and retail and apparel.

3. In addition to acting as the financial advisor to the Reorganized Debtors in these cases, some of my other most notable publicly disclosed restructuring assignments include Anna Holdings Inc. (Acosta), Arcapita Investments, Intelsat S.A., and Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.

4. I am a senior member of the A&M team advising the Reorganized Debtors. I have worked closely with the Reorganized Debtors’ management and other professionals with respect to the Reorganized Debtors’ restructuring efforts, including assisting the Reorganized Debtors in

preparing cash flow projections, budgets, and other financial information. I am generally familiar with the Reorganized Debtors' day-to-day operations, financing arrangements, business affairs, and accounting records that reflect, among other things, the Reorganized Debtors' liabilities and the amount thereof owed to their creditors as of the Petition Date. I have read the *Reorganized Debtors' Ninth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim (Amended Claims, Partially Satisfied Claims, and Reclassified Claims)* (the "**Objection**"),² and exhibits attached thereto and I submit this declaration in support thereof.³

5. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and after investigation and review, the assertions made in the Objection are accurate. In evaluating the Amended Claim, Partially Satisfied Claims, and Reclassified Claims (collectively, the "**Disputed Claims**"), the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors thoroughly reviewed the claims register, the relevant proofs of claim, as well as the supporting documentation provided by each claimant, and believe that the Reorganized Debtors are not liable for the Disputed Claims. To the best of my knowledge, the claims register is accurate. As such, I believe that the disallowance or modification of the Disputed Claims on the terms set forth in the Objection is appropriate.

AMENDED CLAIMS

6. I believe the Amended Claim was amended by the claim identified in the column entitled "Remaining Claims" on **Schedule 1** to the Order (collectively, the "**Remaining Amended Claim**"). I understand that disallowing the Amended Claim will reduce the risk of inadvertent duplicative distributions and provide the Reorganized Debtors and the affected claimant with certainty regarding which Remaining Amended Claim will control for purposes of distributions and further objections. As such, I believe that the disallowance of the Amended Claim on the terms set forth in the Objection and **Schedule 1** is appropriate.

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

³ Certain of the disclosures herein relate to matters within the personal knowledge of other professionals at A&M and are based on information provided by them.

PARTIALLY SATISFIED CLAIMS

7. I believe that a portion of each of the Partially Satisfied Claims is not due and owing to the claimant with respect to such claim and should remain in the amounts identified in the column entitled “Remaining Claim Amount” on **Schedule 2** to the Order. I believe modifying the Partially Satisfied Claims will provide the Reorganized Debtors and the affected claimants with certainty regarding the accuracy of each Remaining Partially Satisfied Claim, the correct and controlling amount of each Remaining Partially Satisfied Claim for distribution purposes, and will avoid diluting the pool of recovery for other claimants due to recoveries for inaccurate claim amounts.

8. As such, I believe that the modification of the Partially Satisfied Claims on the terms set forth in the Objection and **Schedule 2** is appropriate.

RECLASSIFIED CLAIMS

9. I believe each of the Reclassified Claims as identified on **Schedule 3** to the Order do not accurately reflect the correct classification for each Proof of Claim according to the Reorganized Debtors’ accounting records.

10. I believe that failure to reclassify the Reclassified Claims would result in the applicable claimants receiving recoveries they are not entitled to against the Reorganized Debtors to the detriment of other similarly situated creditors because each of the Reclassified Claims refers to either (a) goods that were received more than 20 days prior to the Petition Date or, (b) in one case, alleged “dilapidations” (i.e., wear and tear to real property) in connection with certain expired leases. Accordingly, the Reclassified Claims are not entitled to priority status under section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code. As such, I believe that the modification of the Reclassified Claims on the terms set forth in the Objection and **Schedule 3** is appropriate.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: April 15, 2025

/s/ Christopher Kelly

Christopher Kelly

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re

WESCO AIRCRAFT HOLDINGS, INC.¹

Reorganized Debtor.

Case No. 23-90611 (MI)

Chapter 11

(Jointly Administered)

**ORDER SUSTAINING THE REORGANIZED
DEBTORS' NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

**(AMENDED CLAIMS, PARTIALLY
SATISFIED CLAIMS, AND RECLASSIFIED CLAIMS)**

¹ The captioned Reorganized Debtor is Incora Intermediate II LLC, the successor by merger to Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Its employer identification number is 33-2921953. Its principal office address and service address in this case is 2601 Meacham Blvd., Ste. 400, Fort Worth, TX 76137.

Upon the *Reorganized Debtors' Ninth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim (Amended Claims, Partially Satisfied Claims, and Reclassified Claims)* (the “**Objection**”);² and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Objection and to enter this Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and consideration of the Objection being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper in the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided to each holder of a claim that is the subject of the Objection as reflected by the certificate of service affixed to the Objection and affidavits of service on file by the noticing agent, such notice being adequate and appropriate under the circumstances; and after notice and a hearing, as defined in section 102 of the Bankruptcy Code; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and in the record establish just cause for entry of this Order; and it appearing that entry of this Order is in the best interests of the Reorganized Debtors' estates in order to disallow or modify claims on the basis set forth in the Objection; it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Any response to the Objection not otherwise withdrawn, resolved or adjourned is hereby overruled on the merits.
2. The Amended Claim identified on **Schedule 1** attached to this Order is disallowed in its entirety for all purposes in these chapter 11 cases.
3. Each Partially Satisfied Claim identified on **Schedule 2** attached to this Order is modified in the “Remaining Claim Amount” as set forth on **Schedule 2**.
4. Each Reclassified Claim identified on **Schedule 3** attached to this Order is reclassified as set forth on **Schedule 3**.
5. The Debtors shall not object to any Remaining Amended Claim on the basis that it was late-filed if the corresponding Amended Claim identified on the corresponding Schedule was filed timely.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Order have the meanings ascribed to them in the Objection.

6. Notwithstanding any provision of the Bankruptcy Rules or Local Rules, the terms of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

7. This Order shall constitute a separate final order as to each of the Disputed Claims.

8. The Reorganized Debtors and their agents are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order, which shall include an update of the claims register to reflect the relief granted by this Order.

9. Except as provided in this Order, nothing in this Order shall be deemed (a) a finding as to the validity of any claim against any of the Reorganized Debtors, (b) a waiver of the right of the Reorganized Debtors to dispute any claim against any of the Reorganized Debtors on any grounds whatsoever at a later date, (c) a requirement for any of the Reorganized Debtors to pay any claim, (d) a waiver of any claim or cause of action any of the Reorganized Debtors or other parties of interest may have against any entity; (e) a waiver of any rights of the Reorganized Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable law; (f) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in the Objection or any order granting the relief requested in the Objection; or (g) an implication, admission, or concession (i) that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Objection or any lien, security interest, or other encumbrance on property of any of the Reorganized Debtors or (ii) that any lien, security interest, other encumbrance on property of any of the Reorganized Debtors or right of setoff is valid, enforceable, or perfected (and the Reorganized Debtors and all other parties in interest expressly reserve and preserve their rights to contest or seek avoidance of the same).

10. The Court retains jurisdiction over all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: _____
Houston, Texas

MARVIN ISGUR
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SCHEDULE 1
TO ORDER SUSTAINING THE REORGANIZED
DEBTORS' NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
(AMENDED CLAIMS)

Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Case No. 23-90611 (MI)
 Ninth Omnibus Objection - Schedule 1
 Amended Claims

CLAIMS TO BE DISALLOWEDREMAINING CLAIMS

	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER / DEBTOR	CLAIM #	CLAIM AMOUNT	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER / DEBTOR	CLAIM #	CLAIM AMOUNT	
1	MAYDAY MANUFACTURING CO. ATTENTION TOM SHAW 3100 JIM CHRISTAL RD DENTON, TX 76207	08/18/23	23-90672 Pattonair Limited	712	\$209,557.00	MAYDAY MANUFACTURING CO. TOM SHAW 3100 JIM CHRISTAL RD DENTON, TX 76207	02/21/25	23-90672 Pattonair Limited	2155	\$160,984.00	
TOTAL					\$209,557.00	TOTAL					\$160,984.00

SCHEDULE 2
TO ORDER SUSTAINING THE REORGANIZED
DEBTORS' NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
(PARTIALLY SATISFIED CLAIMS)

Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Case No. 23-90611 (MI)

Ninth Omnibus Objection - Schedule 2

Partially Satisfied Claims

ASSERTEDREMAINING CLAIM AMOUNT

	NAME	CLAIM #	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT
1	CARLO ERBA REAGENTS SRL ANNA COTTU VIA MERENDI, 22 CORNAREDO MILANO, 20007	2064	Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc.	503(b)(9)	\$5,513.35	Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc.	503(b)(9)	\$3,567.90
Reason: Proof of claim asserts unpaid invoices in the amount of \$5,513.35 and contains invoice support totaling \$5,406.62. Invoice(s) 2123000984 was paid on 3/16/2023 prior to the petition date in the amount of \$1,838.72 via payment number 1011753. This reduces the total claim amount to \$3,567.90.								
2	CHEMISCHE WERKE KLUTHE GMBH GOTTLIEB-DAIMLER-STRA SSE 12 HEIDELBERG, 69231	1856	Wesco Aircraft EMEA, Ltd. Wesco Aircraft EMEA, Ltd.	503(b)(9) Unsecured	\$5,604.91 \$1,406.82	Wesco Aircraft EMEA, Ltd.	Unsecured	\$1,406.82
				Subtotal	\$7,011.73			
Reason: Proof of claim asserts unpaid invoices in the amount of \$7,011.73. Invoice(s) 1519169 was paid on 07/11/2023 in an amount totaling \$5,604.91 via payment number(s) 1015363 pursuant to an order of the Court authorizing payment of such claim (ECF No. 128). This reduces the total claim amount to \$1,406.82.								

Ninth Omnibus Objection - Schedule 2
Partially Satisfied Claims

ASSERTEDREMAINING CLAIM AMOUNT

	NAME	CLAIM #	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT
3	ESPA SARL 17 RUE ANDRE CHARLES BOULLE CS20700 CHATELLERAULT, 86107	344	Pattonair (Derby) Limited Pattonair (Derby) Limited	503(b)(9) Unsecured	\$66,373.58 \$975,469.87	Pattonair (Derby) Limited	Unsecured	\$90,030.85
				Subtotal	\$1,041,843.45			

Reason: Proof of claim asserts unpaid invoices in the converted amount of \$1,041,843.45. Invoice(s) 821S064630, 821S067466, 821S067641, 821S030363, 821S030317, 821S068773, 821S067642, 821S068171, 821S068040, 821S031090, 821S031275, 821S031593, 821S058374, 821S059056, 821S059443, 821S059857, 821S060209, 821S060211, 821S060213, 821S060214, 821S060216, 821S058761, 821S064327, 821S060366, 821S060407, 821S060704, 821S060720, 821S060724, 821S060725, 821S060417, 821S061398, 821S061400, 821S061408, 821S061419, 821S061420, 821S061495, 821S061647, 821S060340, 821S060594, 821S061763, 821S061711, 821S061969, 821S061970, 821S062095, 821S060718, 821S062374, 821S061289, 821S063747, 821S063749, 821S063750, 821S063785, 821S063851, 821S063739, 821S063783, 821S063977, 821S064069, 821S064144, 821S061930, 821S063896, 821S064113, 821S064434, 821S064966, 821S065040, 821S064145, 821S065579, 821S064332, 821S065687, 821S065743, 821S065744, 821S065761, 821S065798, 821S065836, 821S065839, 821S065840, 821S065843, 821S065876, 821S066055, 821S066056, 821S064424, 821S066067, 821S066078, 821S066079, 821S066089, 821S066471, 821S066481, 821S066557, 821S066561, 821S066577, 821S066601, 821S066612, 821S066613, 821S066616, 821S066617, 821S066621, 821S066676, 821S066677, 821S066683, 821S066917, 821S066960, 821S067081, 821S067798, 821S030228, 821S030230, 821S067241, 821S067242, 821S067244, 821S067245, 821S067260, 821S067413, 821S067424, 821SY23067441, 821S067467, 821S067519, 821S067548, 821S067631, 821S067637, 821S067645, 821S067646, 821S067648, 82Y14Y111S067721, 821S067793, 821S067794, 821S067795, 821S067796, 821S067797, 821S067196, 821S066066, 821S068041, 821S068042, 821S068131, 821S068148, 821S068278, 821S068279, 821S068280, 821S068281, 821S068282, 821S068464, 821S068502, 821S068525, 821S068717, 821S068738, 821S068772, 821S068809, 821S068824, 821S068849, 821S030089, 821S066065, 821S060343, 821S060353, 821S060355, 821S060364, 821S030291, 821S030297, 821S030328, 821S030928, 821S030951, 821S065468, 821S031364, 821S031381, 821S031382, 821S031383, 821S031384, 821S068039, 821S067800, 821S067799, 821S030227, 821S032090, 821S032089, 821S031598, 821S031717, 821S065600, 821S031509, 821S031387 and 821S031774 were paid on 07/12/2023, 08/25/2023, 07/13/2023, 07/28/2023, 11/10/2023, 06/21/2024, 09/22/2023, 06/28/2024, 05/17/2024, 11/03/2023, 10/06/2023, 08/18/2023, 09/01/2023, 08/04/2023, 01/26/2024 and 08/11/2023 in an amount totaling \$929,934.42 via payment number(s) 20310405, 20312148, 20226197, 20226193, 20224407, 20225046, 20229574, 20238374, 20227674, 20238746, 20237147, 20229117, 20228174, 20225877, 20226478, 20225506, 20229578, 20225507, 20225509, 20232606 and 20225644 pursuant to an order of the Court authorizing payment of such claim (ECF No. 128). Goods related to invoice(s) 821S048127, 821S030022, 821S056227 and 821S058597 totaling \$21,878.18 were never delivered and thus have no open liability. This reduces the total claim amount to \$90,030.85.

4	OERLIKON METCO CANADA INC KELSEY TAYLOR 10108-114 STREET FORT SASKATCHEWAN, AB T8L 4R1	1296	Haas Group Canada Inc.	Unsecured	\$50,989.27	Haas Group Canada Inc.	Unsecured	\$14,798.57
---	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------	------------------------	-----------	-------------	------------------------	-----------	-------------

Reason: Proof of claim asserts unpaid invoices in the converted amount of \$50,989.27. Invoice(s) 939064101, 939064163, 939064173, 939064297, 939064314, 939064455, 939064481, 939064690, 939064710, 939064711, 939063719, 939063765 and 939064376 were paid on 01/10/2024 in an amount totaling \$36,190.70 via payment number(s) 57449, 16741 and 57450 pursuant to an order of the Court authorizing payment of such claim (ECF No. 128). This reduces the total claim amount to \$14,798.57.

Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Case No. 23-90611 (MI)

Ninth Omnibus Objection - Schedule 2

Partially Satisfied Claims

ASSERTEDREMAINING CLAIM AMOUNT

	NAME	CLAIM #	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT
5	OERLIKON METCO EUROPE GMBH AM PRIME PARC 2A RAUNHEIM, D-65479	1551	Wesco Aircraft EMEA, Ltd.	Unsecured	\$251,003.43	Wesco Aircraft EMEA, Ltd.	Unsecured	\$16,819.81
Reason: Proof of claim asserts unpaid invoices in the amount of \$251,003.43. Invoice(s) 900600106, 900602452, 900602804, 900603180, 900603317, 900603812, 900604173, 900604308, 900604508, 900604631, 900604945, 900605133, 900605180, 900607937, 900595466, 900595467, 900595516, 900595577, 900595578, 900595579, 900595694, 900595695, 900595874, 900595994, 900596110, 900596207, 900596396, 900596471, 900596751, 900596861, 900597273, 900597467, 900597872, 900598481, 900598522, 900599133, 900599245, 900599441, 900600953, 900604594, 900594464, 900557552, 900566817, 900567637, 900569312, 900575307, 900586624, 900587718, 900590248 were paid on 07/27/2023, 10/04/2023, 10/19/2023, 12/15/2023, and 12/22/2023 in an amount totaling \$234,183.62 via payment number(s) 22122301 and 1018726 pursuant to an order of the Court authorizing payment of such claim (ECF No. 128). This reduces the total claim amount to \$16,819.81.								

SCHEDULE 3
TO ORDER SUSTAINING THE REORGANIZED
DEBTORS' NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
(RECLASSIFIED CLAIMS)

Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Case No. 23-90611 (MI)

Ninth Omnibus Objection - Schedule 3

Reclassified Claims

ASSERTEDREMAINING CLAIM AMOUNT

	NAME	CLAIM #	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	PRIORITY STATUS	AMOUNT
1	CCI CHEMICAL CO., INC. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE 3540 E. 26TH STREET VERNON, CA 90058	2154	Haas Group, LLC	503(b)(9)	\$540.00	Haas Group, LLC	Unsecured	\$540.00
Reason: Modified priority reflects goods that were received by the Debtor more than 20 days before the petition date, claims for which are ineligible for 503(b)(9) priority status.								
2	FROGSTORE GMBH HAGEBUTTENWEG 1B REINBEK, 21465	1484	Wesco Aircraft EMEA, Ltd.	503(b)(9)	\$382.73	Wesco Aircraft EMEA, Ltd.	Unsecured	\$382.73
Reason: Modified priority reflects goods that were received by the Debtor more than 20 days before the petition date, claims for which are ineligible for 503(b)(9) priority status.								
3	RAILWAY PENSION NOMINEES LIMITED MILLS AND REEVE LLP 100 LIVERPOOL STREET LONDON, EC2M 2AT	2094	Pattonair Limited	503(b)(9)	\$158,606.08	Pattonair Limited	Unsecured	\$158,606.08
Reason: The Claimant asserts their claim as administrative priority section 11 U.S.C. 503(b)(9) of the bankruptcy code which is for goods received by the debtor within 20 before the date of commencement of the case. The support provided within the proof of claim form is for "dilapidations" (i.e., wear and tear to real property) related to two leases that expired in 2020 and 2021 in which the Debtor was the tenant and the Claimant was the landlord. As such, the claim is ineligible for 503(b)(9) priority status.								