Michael N. Evangelista Law Offices of Michael Norita Evangelista, LLC D'Torres Bldg., Garapan

P.O. Box 504340 Saipan, MP 96950

Telephone: (670) 235-8060 Facsimile: (670) 235-8070 mnevangelista8@gmail.com

Louie J. Yanza (admitted pro hac vice)

Law Office of Louie J. Yanza A Professional Corporation

MVP Building

862 South Marine Corps Drive, Suite 203

Tamuning, Guam 96913 Telephone: (671) 477-7059 Facsimile: (671) 472-5487

admin@jurisguam.com

Attorneys for Team King Investment (CNMI) LLC, Successful Bidder

Debtor and Debtor in Possession.

IN DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

In re:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

Case No. 1:24-bk-00002

Chapter 11

MOTION TO QUASH NOTICE OF REMOTE DEPOSITION OF TEAM KING INVESTMENT (CNMI), LLC

Judge: Hon. Robert J. Faris

MEET AND CONFER CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY that myself, with full authority to resolve this discovery dispute, had a substantive conversation in person or by telephone with opposing counsels Aaron Halegua and Robert Glass in a good faith effort



Page 1 of 6

to resolve this motion before the motion was noticed for hearing, but the parties were unable to reach an agreement.

- I FURTHER HEREBY CERTIFY I had a conversation with opposing counsel:
 - 1. Aaron Halegua on Monday, March 10, 2025 at 1:55 pm;
- 2. Aaron Halegua and Robert Glass on Wednesday, March 12, 2025 at 7:30 am.
 - 3. Aaron Halegua on Wednesday, March 12, 2025 at about 2:30 p.m.

MOTION

Counsel for Team King Investment (CNMI), LLC ("Team King"), successful bidder herein, hereby moves the Court to quash the Notice of Remote Deposition of Team King served upon Team King on March 10, 1983.

This Motion is based on the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the record of the proceedings and papers on file herein together with and all arguments to be presented at the hearing on the above Motion.

Dated this ____ day of March, 2025.

Law Offices of Louie J. Yanza, P.C. Attorneys for Team King Investment (CNMI), LLC

By:

Louie J. Yanza

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

This motion is made pursuant to Rule 26 (c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") and Rule 7026 of Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

FRCP Rule 26 (c) provides in pertinent part as follows:

- (c) Protective Orders.
- (1) In General. A party or any person from whom discovery is sought may move for a protective order in the court where the action is pending—or as an alternative on matters relating to a deposition, in the court for the district where the deposition will be taken. The motion must include a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with other affected parties in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action. The court may, for good cause, issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, including one or more of the following:
- (A) forbidding the disclosure or discovery;
- (B) specifying terms, including time and place or the allocation of expenses, for the disclosure or discovery;

FRCP Rule 26 (c).

Attorneys for Joshua Gray, creditor, and Attorneys for CNMI have noticed the depositions of Team King and Hiroshi Kaneko for March 18, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. via Zoom or another Web-based deposition option. Mr. Kaneko is the principal of Team King and would be the designated Rule 30 (b) (6) representative during any deposition of Team King. The Noticed date of March 18, 2028 gives Team King and Mr. Kaneko only 6 days to file any objections or otherwise respond to the Notices. More importantly, this date was never agreed to by Team King or Mr. Kaneko.

Pursuant to Rule 26 (c), and as will be explained below, Team King requests the Court to require any depositions of Team King or its designated Rule 30 (b) (6) representative to be conducted on a date after March 23, 2025.

Insufficient Notice

As the Court is aware, Team King was the successful bidder of the Imperial Pacific International casino assets during the auction held on February 26, 2025. A hearing to approve and confirm the sale will take place on March 25, 2025 before the Honorable Judge Robert J. Faris. Since February 26, 2025, the identity of the successful bidder has been known to all concerned, including counsels for Gray and CNMI. Despite this fact, the first indication of a deposition was not made known until nearly two weeks later on March 10, 2025.

Although Team King does not oppose its deposition generally, Team King does assert that conducting its deposition on March 18, 2025 would create an undue burden. Specifically, Team King asserts that a deposition on March 18, 2025 does not provide sufficient time for Team King to object, respond, or otherwise sufficiently prepare for the deposition. As noted above, FRCP Rule 26 (c) (1) requires reasonable notice of a deposition. Moreover, the Local Rules for the U.S. District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands requires the parties to meet and confer concerning any discovery dispute, including depositions. See LR 26.2 (a) (1). Despite Team King informing Counsels for Gray and CNMI that the date of March 18, 2025 is not available, they continue to insist on conducting the deposition on that date. Counsel for Team King has

made a good faith effort to resolve this issue but opposing counsel continues to insist on a deposition date that is simply not possible.

Scheduling Conflicts

As indicated above, the Notice of Remote Deposition for March 18, 2025 was not agreed upon by Team King or Mr. Kaneko. The fact of the matter is Team King and Mr. Kaneko are not available on that date. Mr. Kaneko will be traveling beginning March 14 through March 22, 2025. Moreover, counsel for Team King has two separate hearings scheduled for the morning of March 18, 2025 and will also be traveling from March 19 through March 23, 2025. Despite opposing counsels being amenable to another date, no agreement was reached. Based on the clear scheduling conflicts it appears the only possible date for the deposition is March 24, 2025.

Failure to provide the matters for examination with reasonable particularity.

In addition to the scheduling conflicts which render it nearly impossible to conduct the deposition of Team King, opposing counsels have also failed to comply with Rule 30 (b) (6). Pursuant to this rule, a party that names a corporation or organization as a deponent "must describe with reasonable particularity the matters for examination." FRCP Rule 30 (b) (6). The Notices of Remote Deposition do not provide any information concerning the matters for examination.

//

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Counsel for Team King and Mr. Kaneko respectfully requests that the Notice of Remote Deposition be quashed, or in the alternative, be held on March 24, 2025.

Respectfully submitted this 2 day of March, 2025.

Law Office of Louie J. Yanza, P.C. Attorney for Team King Investment (CNMI), LLC

By:

Louie J. Yanza