1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHOI & ITO Attorneys At Law CHUCK C. CHOI ALLISON A. ITO 700 Bishop Street, Suite 1107 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone: (808) 533-1877 Facsimile: (808) 566-6900 E-Mail: cchoi@hibklaw.com aito@hibklaw.com	
8 9 10 11	Charles H. McDonald II (F0494)  2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor ICC, Room 203  Gualo Rai, Saipan, MP 96950  Telephone: (866) 967-7567  E-Mail: charles@mcdonald.law	
12 13		
14	FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	
15 16	BANKRUPTCY DIVISION	
117   118   119   120   121   122   122   123   124	In re IMPERIAL PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL (CNMI), LLC, Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession.	Case No. 24-00002 Chapter 11  DEBTOR'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED OR CONVERTED  Status Conference  June 27, 2025, 9:00 a.m. (ChST) June 26, 2025, 1:00 p.m. (HST) Judge: Honorable Robert J. Faris
25	DEBTOR'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED OR CONVERTED	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Imperial Pacific International (CNMI), LLC, the debtor and debtor-in-possession	
28	herein (the "Debtor") hereby files its response to the ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO	



1	WHY THE CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED OR CONVERTED (the "OSC"),	
2	entered herein on June 13, 2025, as ECF 443, which required (a) the submission of proof	
3	of insurance by June 19, 2025; and (b) any written responses to the OSC to be filed by	
4 5	4:00 p.m., ChST on June 24, 2025.	
6	On June 18, 2025, the Debtor submitted (1) the general liability insurance policy	
7	for period May 10, 2025 to May 10, 2026, together with the related invoice and proof of	
8	payment; and (2) the workers compensation insurance policy for period May 10, 2025 to	
9	May 10, 2026, together with the related invoice and proof of payment. See ECF 446.	
10	Attached as exhibits to the filing were proof of payment for two invoices totaling	
11		
12	\$46,297. Team King paid for the reinstatement of the Debtor's insurance policies.	
13	On June 23, 2025, the United States Trustee ("UST") filed its Statement	
14	Regarding the Court's [OSC] in which it argued that ongoing administrative insolvency	
15	constitutes cause to dismiss the case. In arguing that dismissar is in the best interest of	
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	the creditors, the UST claims as follows:	
18	A Chapter 7 trustee would likely abandon the Hotel property due	
19	to inability to obtain fire/casualty insurance.	
20	• The estate has no assets with equity that a trustee could liquidate to pay unsecured creditors.	
21	<ul> <li>Secured creditors should pursue non-bankruptcy remedies.</li> </ul>	
22		
23	<ul> <li>Non-Hotel assets have questionable value that would likely not outweigh administration costs.</li> </ul>	
24	Converting the case would impose unfair risk of uncompensated	
25	effort on a Chapter 7 trustee.	
26	See ECF 447. <sup>1</sup>	
27		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' Committee which represents unsecured creditors in this case, opposes dismissal of the case at this time. The Debtor

This case should not be dismissed or converted at this time. Counsel for Team King has confirmed the receipt of an additional \$2.25 million in anticipation of closing escrow. Counsel has also advised that it will take approximately two to three weeks to transfer the balance of the purchase price from Japan to Saipan due to Japanese bank regulations regarding the outflow of capital. Alternatively, counsel advises that Team King's principal could promptly (within about a week) transfer funds from a corporation that he controls if the Department of Public Lands signs off on the Assignment of Lease that must be recorded at closing. The Assignment has been executed by the Debtor and Team King

Counsel has also advised that Team King will pay the annual ground rent (for the period from May 1, 2025 through April 30, 2026) of \$207,000 for the Department of Public Lands lease as an additional show of good faith and its intention to consummate the transaction.

Finally, the Debtor understands that the Department of Public Lands continues to request financial information which can only be characterized as requests for adequate assurance of future performance. These demands should not be a condition to closing as explained in the Debtor's Pre-Status Conference Report filed as ECF 441.

Based on the above, the Debtor respectfully requests the Court continue the Status Conference for a period of approximately three weeks and set a further deadline for any responses two business days before the continued Status Conference.

joins in the Committee's response to the UST Statement filed as ECF448.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, June 23, 2025. /s/ Chuck C. Choi CHUCK C. CHOI ALLISON A. ITO CHARLES H. McDONALD II Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession