

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:) Chapter 11
)
MARELLI AUTOMOTIVE LIGHTING USA) Case No. 25-11034 (CTG)
LLC, <i>et al.</i> , ¹) (Jointly Administered)
)
Debtors.) Hearing Date: February 6, 2026 at
) 10:00 a.m. (ET)
)
) Obj Deadline: January 30, 2026 at
) 4:00 p.m. (ET)
)

**SECOND MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR
ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) EXTENDING THE DEBTORS'
EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND
SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121
OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

The above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”) state as follows in support of this motion:²

Preliminary Statement

1. The Debtors request that the Court grant a 127-day extension of the Exclusivity Periods (as defined herein). The Debtors commenced these chapter 11 cases with several goals: (a) obtaining much-needed liquidity injection on an expedited basis; (b) breaking the deadlock between key stakeholders; (c) stabilizing operations worldwide; and (d) implementing a

¹ A complete list of each of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent at <https://www.veritaglobal.net/Marelli>. The location of Marelli Automotive Lighting USA LLC’s principal place of business and the Debtors’ service address in these chapter 11 cases is 26555 Northwestern Highway, Southfield, Michigan 48033.

² A detailed description of the Debtors and their business, including the circumstances giving rise to the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases, is set forth in the *Declaration of David Slump, Chief Executive Officer of Marelli Automotive Lighting USA, LLC, in Support of First Day Motions*, [Docket No. 20] (the “Slump Declaration”) and the *Declaration of Tony Simion, Managing Director of Alvarez & Marsal North America, LLC, in Support of First Day Motions* [Docket No. 19] (the “Simion Declaration”, and together with the Slump Declaration, the “First Day Declarations”). Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the First Day Declarations.



value-maximizing, comprehensive restructuring that allows Marelli to remain a key player and top tier-1 auto-supplier for years to come. Since June 11, 2025 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors have made significant progress towards achieving these goals.

2. While work remains to be done, and hard-fought negotiations continue, the Debtors have taken significant strides towards a value-maximizing exit from chapter 11 through the intensive efforts of the Debtors’ management, board and its special committee, employees, and advisors. Significant progress was made prior to the Petition Date, whereby the Debtors filed these cases with the support of the Company, Mizuho, the Ad Hoc Group of Senior Lenders, and the Sponsor as memorialized through the Restructuring Support Agreement.

3. The Debtors have maintained an ongoing dialogue and continue to build consensus on a path to a confirmable chapter 11 plan and emergence with key constituents throughout these chapter 11 cases. This legwork has resulted in the Debtors obtaining \$1.1 billion of new-money DIP financing on a consensual basis, approval of important procedural and operational relief to ensure a smooth transition into chapter 11, deals with hundreds of trade vendors to allow continued delivery of goods and services, and postpetition arrangements with numerous key customers. Additionally, the Debtors have filed their voluminous schedules and statements and held two 341 meetings.

4. These efforts and accomplishments have allowed the Debtors and their management, employees, and advisors to turn their focus to preparation of a fulsome business plan. Developing, formulating, and advancing the business plan and related workstreams require input, analysis, and coordination from the Debtors’ management, employees, and advisors across each of the 26 jurisdictions where they operate to prepare and develop a top-to-bottom analysis of each Debtor and associated line of business. Further, development of the business plan requires

advancement of negotiations and discussions with the Debtors’ original equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”) to understand the go-forward relationship and resolution of claims that will impact the path to emergence, including the capital structure of the reorganized company. Developing and advancing the business plan serves as a basis for the path to emergence and, accordingly, requires input from key constituents, including namely the DIP Lenders (as defined in the DIP Orders³) and the official committee of unsecured creditors (the “Committee”). To that end, the Debtors and their professionals have prepared for and conducted numerous international in-person and virtual discussions and negotiations with the OEMs, DIP Lenders, and the Committee’s advisors.

5. In short, the Debtors have made substantial progress in these chapter 11 cases, including, among other things:

- obtaining \$1.1 billion of new-money DIP financing on a consensual basis after significant negotiations and discussions with the DIP Lenders, Committee, and Mizuho;
- stabilizing the Debtors’ business operations;
- obtaining relief that has enabled the Debtors to continue operating their businesses in these chapter 11 cases, including obtaining approval of a number of “first day” motions and “second day” motions;
- working and negotiating with the Committee, the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware (the “U.S. Trustee”), the Ad Hoc Group of Senior Lenders, hundreds of prepetition vendors, customers, and numerous other interested parties in these chapter 11 cases toward a value-maximizing exit from bankruptcy;

³ “DIP Orders” means, collectively, the *Interim Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors to (A) Obtain Postpetition Financing, and (B) Use Cash Collateral; (II) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Administrative Expense Claims; (III) Granting Adequate Protection to Certain Prepetition Secured Parties; (IV) Modifying the Automatic Stay; (V) Scheduling a Final Hearing; and (VI) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 109], the *Second Interim Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors to (A) Obtain Postpetition Financing, and (B) Use Cash Collateral; (II) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Administrative Expense Claims; (III) Granting Adequate Protection to Certain Prepetition Secured Parties; (IV) Modifying the Automatic Stay; (V) Scheduling a Final Hearing; and (VI) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 355], and the *Final Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors to (A) Obtain Postpetition Financing, and (B) Use Cash Collateral; (II) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Administrative Expense Claims; (III) Granting Adequate Protection to Certain Prepetition Secured Parties; (IV) Modifying the Automatic Stay; and (V) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 449].

- negotiating over 600 trade agreements with trade vendors to obtain customary trade terms consistent with ordinary course practice;
- addressing numerous questions, concerns, and issues raised by employees, vendors, customers, and other parties in interest;
- coordinating with the Committee and the U.S. Trustee to provide requested information on a variety of issues and comply with the reporting requirements under the Bankruptcy Code (as defined herein);
- preparing and filing their schedules of assets and liabilities and statements of financial affairs on August 11, 2025, for 76 individual Debtor entities, totaling over 17,000 pages of documentation;
- completing a two-session 341 meeting;
- preparing for and attending hearings in the chapter 11 cases;
- negotiating and reaching consensual resolutions with automatic stay movants;
- engaging with parties to continue preparing a business plan;
- commencing negotiations with OEMs on the go-forward business relationships and resolution of claims;
- advancing negotiations and discussions with OEMs, the DIP Lenders, the Committee and other key stakeholders on the terms of the business plan and overall restructuring, including documentation related thereto;
- preparing for exit financing workstreams;
- obtaining authority to enter into a new factoring agreement and account receivables purchase facility term sheet; and
- preparing and filing retention applications for the Debtors' professionals.

6. Notwithstanding the substantial progress the Debtors have made to date, certain tasks remain before the Debtors may emerge from chapter 11. Preventing the potential for disruption from competing chapter 11 plan proposals at this juncture is critical as the Debtors work with their stakeholders on a value-maximizing exit from chapter 11. An extension of the Exclusivity Periods is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, and all stakeholders as it will allow the Debtors to facilitate a successful conclusion to these chapter 11 cases.

7. Currently, the deadline by which the Debtors have the exclusive right to file a chapter 11 plan (the “Filing Exclusivity Period”) and solicit votes thereon (the “Solicitation Exclusivity Period”) and, together with the Filing Exclusivity Period, the “Exclusivity Periods”) will expire on February 6 and April 7, 2026, respectively, absent further order of the Court. If the Motion is granted, the extended Filing Exclusivity Period would expire on June 15, 2026⁴, and the extended Solicitation Exclusivity Period would expire on August 12, 2026. Extending by 127 days allows the Debtors to sync the Filing Exclusivity Period with the latest possible maturity date under the DIP facilities.⁵ The Debtors will use the extended Exclusivity Periods to continue to negotiate and refine the terms of a restructuring and negotiate consensus around the plan, which will ultimately pave the way for the Debtors to confirm the plan and expeditiously emerge from these chapter 11 cases. For these and the reasons discussed below, the Debtors submit that a 127-day extension of exclusivity is appropriate.

Relief Requested

8. The Debtors seek entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Order”), (a) further extending (i) the Filing Exclusivity Period by 127 days through and including June 15, 2026, and (ii) the Solicitation Exclusivity Period by 127 days through and including August 12, 2026, without prejudice to the Debtors’ rights to seek further extensions of the Exclusivity Periods, and (b) granting related relief. Absent the relief requested herein, the Filing Exclusivity Period will expire on February 6, 2026, and the Solicitation Exclusivity Period will expire on April 7, 2026.

⁴ A 127-day extension of the current Filing Exclusivity Period results in the Filing Exclusivity Period ending on Saturday, June 13, 2026. By operation of Bankruptcy Rule 9006, however, the proposed Filing Exclusivity Period will continue through Monday, June 15, 2026.

⁵ The maturity date under the Senior DIP Credit Agreement (as defined in the DIP Orders) is March 13, 2026, subject to an additional three-month extension in accordance with the terms of the Senior DIP Credit Agreement.

Jurisdiction and Venue

9. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Court”) has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), and the Debtors confirm their consent, pursuant to rule 9013-1(f) of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Local Rules”), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

10. Venue is proper in the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

11. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are sections 1121 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532 (the “Bankruptcy Code”), rules 2002 and 9006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and Local Rules 2002-1, 9006-2 and 9013-1.

Background

12. The Debtors, together with their non-Debtor affiliates (collectively, “Marelli” or the “Company”) are one of the largest international automotive parts suppliers in the world and a pioneer in motorsports and in automobile manufacturing and design. With its headquarters in Saitama, Japan and over 46,000 employees located in twenty-four countries around the world, Marelli designs and produces sophisticated technologies for leading automotive manufacturers, including lighting and sensor integrations, electronic systems, software solutions, and interior design products, and collaborates with motor sports teams and other industry leaders to research and develop cutting-edge, high-performance automotive components.

13. On the Petition Date, each Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors are operating their businesses and managing their property as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. On June 12, 2025, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 102] authorizing the procedural consolidation and joint administration of these chapter 11 cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) and Local Rule 1015-1. On June 25, 2025, the U.S. Trustee appointed the Committee [Docket No. 184].⁶ On January 15, 2026, the Debtors appointed a fee examiner [Docket No. 1515].

Basis for Relief

14. Section 1121(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code permits a court to extend a debtor's exclusivity "for cause," subject to certain limitations not relevant here. Specifically, section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that "on request of a party in interest made within the respective periods . . . of this section and after notice and a hearing, the court may for cause reduce or increase the 120-day period or the 180-day period referred to in this section." 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d). Although the term "cause" is not defined by the Bankruptcy Code, such term should be viewed flexibly in this context "in order to allow the debtor to reach an agreement." H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, pt. 4, at 232 (1977); *see also In re Pub. Serv. Co. of N.H.*, 88 B.R. 521, 533-534 (Bankr. D.N.H. 1988) ("legislative intent . . . [is] to promote maximum flexibility") (citing *In re Lake in the Woods*, 10 B.R. 338, 340, 345 (E.D. Mich. 1981)). Simply put, a debtor should be given a reasonable opportunity to negotiate an acceptable plan with creditors and to prepare adequate financial and nonfinancial information concerning the ramifications of any proposed plan for disclosure to creditors. *See In re Texaco Inc.*, 76 B.R. 322, 327 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1987).

⁶ On July 2, 2025, the U.S. Trustee filed the *Amended Notice of Appointment of Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 230]. On September 10, 2025, the U.S. Trustee filed the *Second Amended Notice of Appointment of Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 922].

15. Courts within the Third Circuit and in other jurisdictions have held that the decision to extend the Exclusivity Periods is left to the sound discretion of a bankruptcy court and should be based on the totality of circumstances in each case. *See, e.g., First Am. Bank of N.Y. v. Sw. Gloves & Safety Equip., Inc.*, 64 B.R. 963, 965 (D. Del. 1986); *In re Dow Corning Corp.*, 208 B.R. 661, 664 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1997); *In re Express One Int'l, Inc.*, 194 B.R. 98, 100 (Bankr. E.D. Tex. 1996); *In re McLean Indus., Inc.*, 87 B.R. 830, 833–34 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1987). In particular, courts examine a number of factors to determine whether a debtor has had an adequate opportunity to develop, negotiate, and propose a chapter 11 plan and thus whether there is “cause” for extension of the Exclusivity Periods. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) the size and complexity of the case;
- (b) the existence of good-faith progress towards reorganization;
- (c) whether the debtor is paying its debts as they become due;
- (d) whether the debtor has made progress negotiating with creditors;
- (e) the length of time a case had been pending; and
- (f) whether the debtor is seeking an extension to pressure creditors.

See In re Cent. Jersey Airport Servs., LLC, 282 B.R. 176, 184 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2002); *McLean Indus.*, 87 B.R. at 834; *see also Dow Corning*, 208 B.R. at 664–65 (identifying the above factors and noting that courts generally rely on the same factors to determine whether exclusivity should be extended); *In re Friedman's, Inc.*, 336 B.R. 884, 888 (Bankr. S.D. Ga. 2005) (same).

16. Not all of these factors are relevant to every case, and courts use only the relevant subset of the above factors to determine whether cause exists to grant an exclusivity extension in a particular chapter 11 case. *See, e.g., Express One*, 194 B.R. at 100 (identifying four of the factors as relevant in determining whether “cause” exists to extend exclusivity); *In re United Press Int'l*,

Inc., 60 B.R. 265, 269 (Bankr. D.C. 1986) (finding that the debtor showed “cause” to extend exclusivity based upon three of the factors); *In re Pine Run Trust, Inc.*, 67 B.R. 432, 435 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1986) (relying on two of the factors in holding that cause existed to extend exclusivity). For example, both Congress and courts have recognized that the size and complexity of a debtor’s case alone may constitute cause for extension of a debtor’s exclusive periods to file a plan and solicit acceptances of such a plan. H.R. No. 95-595 at 232 (“[I]f an unusually large company were to seek reorganization under chapter 11, the court would probably need to extend the time in order to allow the debtor to reach an agreement.”); *see also Texaco*, 76 B.R. at 326 (“The large size of the debtor and the consequent difficulty in formulating a plan of reorganization for a huge debtor with a complex financial structure are important factors which generally constitute cause for extending the exclusivity periods.”).

17. As set forth below, the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases satisfy the relevant factors and, thus, sufficient “cause” exists to extend the Exclusivity Periods as provided herein. There is ample precedent in this district for a further extension of exclusivity as the Debtors seek here. *See, e.g., In re Nikola Corp.*, No. 25-10258 (TMH) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 24, 2025) (granting a second extension of exclusivity by 180 days); *In re Cyber Litig. Inc.*, No. 20-12702 (CTG) (Bankr. D. Del. May 5, 2021) (same); *In re Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC*, No. 20-10343 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Oct. 30, 2020) (granting a second extension of exclusivity by 155 days); *In re Rentpath Holdings, Inc.*, No. 20-10312 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Oct. 23, 2020) (granting a second extension of exclusivity by 135 days).

I. The Debtors’ Chapter 11 Cases Are Large and Complex.

18. It is clear that the Debtors’ capital structure—which as of the Petition Date consisted of approximately \$4.9 billion in funded debt obligations—is large and complex. The 76 Debtors have obligations to a tremendous number of stakeholders across the globe, including

approximately 46,000 employees as of the Petition Date, and a wide variety of parties in interest, including vendors, customers, creditors, facility and equipment lessors, other contractual counterparties, and local, state, and federal agencies.

19. Further, the worldwide scope of the Debtors' operations and the complexity of their capital structure means that the Debtors must navigate a number of complex issues during the chapter 11 process. The Debtors and their advisors have spent (and continue to spend) significant amounts of time coordinating with parties in interest and non-Debtor affiliates around the world, from navigating the complexities of operating in 26 countries to addressing the operational overhang for the Debtors' affiliates resulting from the chapter 11 cases. As such, administering these chapter 11 cases requires significant input from the Debtors' management team and advisors on a wide range of complicated matters necessary to bring structure and consensus to a large and complex process. Accordingly, the complexity of these chapter 11 cases weighs in favor of extending the Exclusivity Periods.

20. Both Congress and courts have acknowledged that the size and complexity of a debtor's case alone may provide cause for extending a debtor's exclusivity periods. *See Express One*, 194 B.R. at 100 (approving the debtor's third exclusivity extension and noting that "[t]he traditional ground for cause is the large size of the debtor and the concomitant difficulty in formulating a plan of reorganization"). Thus, the size and complexity of these chapter 11 cases alone provides sufficient cause for the Court to further extend the Exclusivity Periods.

II. The Debtors Have Made Significant Progress in Negotiating in Good Faith with Creditors and Administering These Chapter 11 Cases.

21. Leading up to and since the Petition Date, the Debtors have made significant progress in negotiating with their stakeholders and administering these chapter 11 cases, which warrants a further extension of the Exclusivity Period. The Debtors commenced these chapter 11

cases with limited liquidity and have moved expeditiously through these chapter 11 cases and advanced discussions among the Debtors' key stakeholders regarding global consensus in these chapter 11 cases.

22. During these chapter 11 cases, the Debtors, among other things, (a) secured various forms of operational first- and second-day relief, (b) obtained entry of a final order authorizing the Debtors to obtain postpetition financing, (c) obtained authority to retain section 327 and ordinary course professionals, (d) coordinated with ordinary course professionals to prepare and file over 100 declarations of disinterestedness, (e) negotiated over 600 trade agreements with vendors to obtain customary trade terms consistent with ordinary course practice, (f) maintained close communications with the Ad Hoc Group of Senior Lenders, the DIP Lenders, the Committee, and Mizuho, including weekly teleconferences with a subset of these parties, (g) filed the schedules and statements of financial affairs for all 76 Debtor entities—a major undertaking involving filing over 17,000 of pages of documentation given the scale of the Debtors' operations, (h) negotiated and reached consensual resolutions with automatic stay movants, (i) explored potential alternatives to replace factoring facilities that were set to lapse on their own terms, (j) undertook a comprehensive review of receivables and obtained authority to enter into and perform under a new account receivables purchase facility term sheet, and (k) conducted a review of leases and obtained court authority to assume certain unexpired leases. The Debtors' substantial progress administering these chapter 11 cases weighs in favor of an extension of the Exclusivity Periods.

III. The Debtors Are Paying Their Bills as They Come Due.

23. Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have paid their postpetition debts in the ordinary course of business or as otherwise provided by Court order.

IV. Relatively Little Time Has Elapsed in These Chapter 11 Cases.

24. The Debtors' request for a further extension of the Exclusivity Periods comes roughly seven months after the Petition Date, which is a relatively early stage. *See In re Borders Grp., Inc.*, 460 B.R. 818, 826 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2011) (approving the extension of the debtors' exclusivity periods by 120 days and noting that where the debtors have been "busy satisfying the general requirements of a chapter 11 case ... [that] the debtors should be able to [have additional time to] present creditors with a more refined business model and projections for future operations—all of which are necessary for filing both a disclosure statement and plan."). As discussed above, since the Petition Date, the Debtors have accomplished a great deal all while the Debtors continue to work diligently with all stakeholders to ensure widespread support of the chapter 11 plan and disclosure statement.

V. An Extension of the Exclusivity Periods Will Not Pressure Creditors.

25. The Debtors' restructuring process is intended to confirm a plan that maximizes the value of the Debtors' estates for all stakeholders. Since the commencement of these chapter 11 cases, the Debtors have worked, and will continue to work, diligently and constructively with stakeholders to build additional consensus for the Debtors' proposed chapter 11 transactions. The Debtors' exclusivity extension request is not intended to pressure creditors to submit to the Debtors' restructuring demands but to provide sufficient time for the Debtors to file and eventually confirm a value-maximizing chapter 11 plan and implement the transactions contemplated thereby without the disruption and distraction created by competing plan proposals. Accordingly, the relief requested herein is without prejudice to the Debtors' creditors and will benefit the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and all other key parties in interest.

26. An objective analysis of the relevant factors demonstrates that the Debtors have taken appropriate steps and are acting diligently to facilitate a successful conclusion to these

chapter 11 cases. Accordingly, sufficient cause exists to extend the Exclusivity Periods as provided herein.

Notice

27. The Debtors will provide notice of this motion to: (a) the U.S. Trustee; (b) Paul Hastings LLP and Morris James LLP, as co-counsel to the Committee; (c) the office of the attorney general for each of the states in which the Debtors operate; (d) United States Attorney's Office for the District of Delaware; (e) the Internal Revenue Service; (f) the United States Securities and Exchange Commission; (g) the United States Department of Justice; (h) Mayer Brown LLP, as counsel to the DIP Agent; (i) Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, as counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in all capacities other than as Prepetition Agent; (j) Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, as counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in its capacity as Prepetition Agent; (k) Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and Cole Schotz P.C., as counsel to the Ad Hoc Group of Senior Lenders; (l) Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, as counsel to the Sponsors; and (m) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors submit that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

No Prior Request

28. No prior request for the relief sought in this motion has been made to this or any other court.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

WHEREFORE, the Debtors request entry of the Order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, (a) granting the relief requested herein and (b) granting such other relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated: January 22, 2026
Wilmington, Delaware

/s/ Laura Davis Jones

**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES
LLP**

Laura Davis Jones (DE Bar No. 2436)
Timothy P. Cairns (DE Bar No. 4228)
Edward A. Corma (DE Bar No. 6718)
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor
P.O. Box 8705 Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(Courier 19801)
Telephone: (302) 652-4100
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400
Email: ljones@pszjlaw.com
tcairns@pszjlaw.com
ecorma@pszjlaw.com

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Nicholas M. Adzima (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Evan Swager (admitted *pro hac vice*)
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900
Email: joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com
nicholas.adzima@kirkland.com
evan. swager@kirkland.com

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

)	Chapter 11
)	
In re:)	Case No. 25-11034 (CTG)
)	
MARELLI AUTOMOTIVE LIGHTING USA)	(Jointly Administered)
LLC, <i>et al.</i> , ¹)	
)	Hearing Date: February 6, 2026 at
Debtors.)	10:00 a.m. (ET)
)	
)	Obj Deadline: January 30, 2026 at
)	4:00 p.m. (ET)
)	

**NOTICE OF SECOND MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR
ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) EXTENDING THE DEBTORS'
EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND
SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121
OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on January 22, 2026 the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors” and together with their non-debtor affiliates, the “Company”) filed the *Second Motion of Debtors for Entry of an Order (I) Extending the Debtors’ Exclusive Periods to File a Chapter 11 Plan and Solicit Acceptances Thereof Pursuant to Section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code and (II) Granting Related Relief* (the “Motion”) with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Court”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Motion must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, 824 Market Street, Third Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, and served upon the undersigned, so as to be received on or **before 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on January 30, 2026.**

¹ A complete list of each of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing agent at <https://www.veritaglobal.net/Marelli>. The location of Marelli Automotive Lighting USA LLC's principal place of business and the Debtors' service address in these chapter 11 cases is 26555 Northwestern Highway, Southfield, Michigan 48033.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that at the same time, you must also serve a copy of the response or objection upon: (a) the Debtors, Marelli Automotive Lighting USA LLC, 26555 Northwestern Highway, Southfield, Michigan 48033, Attn.: Marisa Iasenza (marisa.iasenza@marelli.com); (b) counsel to the Debtors, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 333 West Wolf Point Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60654, Attn.: Spencer A. Winters, P.C. (spencer.winters@kirkland.com), and Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 601 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10022, Attn.: Nicholas M. Adzima (nicholas.adzima@kirkland.com) and Evan Swager (evan.swager@kirkland.com); (c) co-counsel to the Debtors, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 919 North Market Street, 17th Floor, P.O. Box 8705, Wilmington, Delaware 19899 (Courier 19801), Attn.: Laura Davis Jones (ljones@pszjlaw.com), Timothy P. Cairns (tcairns@pszjlaw.com), and Edward A. Corma (ecorma@pszjlaw.com); (d) the U.S. Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Lockbox 35, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn.: Jane Leamy (Jane.M.Leamy@usdoj.gov) and Timothy J. Fox, Jr. (timothy.fox@usdoj.gov); (e) counsel to the DIP Agent, Mayer Brown LLP, 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 100201001, Attn.: Jason Elder (jason.elder@mayerbrown.com); (f) counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in all capacities other than as Prepetition Agent, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017, Attn.: Timothy Graulich (timothy.graulich@davispolk.com) and Richard J. Steinberg (richard.steinberg@davispolk.com); (g) counsel to Mizuho Bank, Ltd., in its capacity as Prepetition Agent, Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, 1000 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn.: Robert S. Brady (rbrady@ycst.com) and Andrew L. Magaziner (amagaziner@ycst.com); (h) counsel to the Ad Hoc Group of Senior Lenders, (i) Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, One Bryant Park, New York, New York 10036, Attn.: Ira S. Dizengoff (idizengoff@akingump.com) and Anna Kordas (akordas@akingump.com), (ii) Akin

Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 2001 K Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20006, Attn.: Scott Alberino (salberino@akingump.com), Kate Doorley (kdoorley@akingump.com), and Alexander F. Antypas (aantypas@akingump.com); and (iii) Cole Schotz P.C., 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 600, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn.: Justin R. Alberto (jalberto@coleschotz.com) and Stacy L. Newman (snewman@coleschotz.com); (i) counsel to the Sponsors, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10019-6064, Attn.: Brian S. Hermann (bhermann@paulweiss.com) and Jacob Adlerstein (jadlerstein@paulweiss.com); and (j) co-counsel to the Committee, (i) Paul Hastings LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166, Attn.: Kristopher M. Hansen (krishansen@paulhastings.com), Gabriel E. Sasson (gabesasson@paulhastings.com), Daniel Ginsberg (danielginsberg@paulhastings.com), and Xue (Annie) Yu (xueyu@paulhastings.com) and (ii) Morris James LLP, 3205 Avenue North Blvd., Suite 100, Wilmington, Delaware 19803, Attn.: Eric J. Monzo (emonzo@morrisjames.com), Jason S. Levin (jlevin@morrisjames.com), and Siena B. Cerra (scerra@morrisjames.com).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT, IF AN OBJECTION IS PROPERLY FILED AND SERVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROCEDURES, A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON FEBRUARY 6, 2026, AT 10:00 A.M. (PREVAILING EASTERN TIME) BEFORE THE HONORABLE CRAIG T. GOLDBLATT, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE, 824 MARKET STREET, COURTROOM #7, THIRD FLOOR, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801. ONLY OBJECTIONS MADE IN WRITING AND TIMELY FILED WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT AT SUCH HEARING.

IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED BY THE MOTION WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR HEARING.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

Dated: January 22, 2026
Wilmington, Delaware

/s/ Laura Davis Jones

**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES
LLP**

Laura Davis Jones (DE Bar No. 2436)
Timothy P. Cairns (DE Bar No. 4228)
Edward A. Corma (DE Bar No. 6718)
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor
P.O. Box 8705 Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(Courier 19801)
Telephone: (302) 652-4100
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400
Email: ljones@pszjlaw.com
tcairns@pszjlaw.com
ecorma@pszjlaw.com

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

Joshua A. Sussberg, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Nicholas M. Adzima (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Evan Swager (admitted *pro hac vice*)
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900
Email: joshua.sussberg@kirkland.com
nicholas.adzima@kirkland.com
evan.swager@kirkland.com

*Co-Counsel for the Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

Exhibit A

Proposed Order

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

<p>In re:</p> <p>MARELLI AUTOMOTIVE LIGHTING USA LLC, <i>et al.</i>,¹</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Debtors.</p>	<p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p>	<p>Chapter 11</p> <p>Case No. 25-11034 (CTG)</p> <p>(Jointly Administered)</p> <p>Re: Docket No. [●]</p>
--	---	---

**ORDER (I) EXTENDING THE DEBTORS’
EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND
SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121
OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”)² of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”) for entry of an order (this “Order”) (a) extending the Debtors’ Filing Exclusivity Period through and including June 15, 2026, and the Debtors’ Solicitation Exclusivity Period through and including August 12, 2026, without prejudice to the Debtors’ right to seek further extensions to the Exclusivity Periods, and (b) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declarations; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that this Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United

¹ A complete list of each of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained on the website of the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent at <https://www.veritaglobal.net/Marelli>. The location of Marelli Automotive Lighting USA LLC’s principal place of business and the Debtors’ service address in these chapter 11 cases is 26555 Northwestern Highway, Southfield, Michigan 48033.

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

States Constitution; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing, if any, before this Court (the "Hearing"); and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted on a basis as set forth herein.
2. Pursuant to section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Filing Exclusivity Period pursuant to section 1121(b) of the Bankruptcy Code is hereby extended through and including June 15, 2026.
3. Pursuant to section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Solicitation Exclusivity Period pursuant to section 1121(c) of the Bankruptcy Code is hereby extended through and including August 12, 2026.
4. Nothing herein shall prejudice the Debtors' rights to seek further extensions of the Exclusivity Periods consistent with section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code or the rights of any other party in interest, including the Committee, to (i) request termination of either of the Exclusivity Periods, or (ii) object to any further extensions of the Exclusivity Periods.

5. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

6. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Order in accordance with the Motion.

7. This Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.