

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

In re:

MIDWEST CHRISTIAN VILLAGES, INC.
et al.,¹

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 24-42473-659

(Jointly Administered)

Hearing Date: September 29, 2025

Hearing Time: 11:00 a.m. (CT)

Hearing Location: Courtroom 7 North

**DEBTORS' FIFTH OMNIBUS MOTION TO REJECT
CERTAIN UNEXPIRED LEASES AND EXECUTORY CONTRACTS**

The above-captioned debtors and debtors-in-possession (the "Debtors"), by and through their proposed counsel, respectfully state as follows in support of this motion (the "Motion"):

RELIEF REQUESTED

1. By this Motion, the Debtors seek entry of an order pursuant to sections 105(a) and 365 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 6004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") authorizing the Debtors to reject certain unexpired leases and executory contracts related to passenger vans and cars as identified on

¹ The address of the Debtors headquarters is 2 Cityplace Dr, Suite 200, Saint Louis, MO 63141-7390. The last four digits of the Debtors' federal tax identification numbers are: (i) Midwest Christian Villages, Inc. [5009], (ii) Hickory Point Christian Village, Inc. [7659], (iii) Lewis Memorial Christian Village [3104], (iv) Senior Care Pharmacy Services, LLC [1176], (v) New Horizons PACE MO, LLC [4745], (vi) Risen Son Christian Village [9738], (vii) Spring River Christian Village, Inc. [1462], (viii) Christian Homes, Inc. [1562], (ix) Crown Point Christian Village, Inc. [4614], (x) Hoosier Christian Village, Inc. [3749], (xi) Johnson Christian Village Care Center, LLC [8262], (xii) River Birch Christian Village, LLC [7232], (xiii) Washington Village Estates, LLC [9088], (xiv) Christian Horizons Living, LLC [4871], (xv) Wabash Christian Therapy and Medical Clinic, LLC [2894], (xvi) Wabash Christian Village Apartments, LLC [8352], (xvii) Wabash Estates, LLC [8743], (xviii) Safe Haven Hospice, LLC [6886], (xix) Heartland Christian Village, LLC [0196], (xx) Midwest Senior Ministries, Inc. [3401] and (xxi) Shawnee Christian Nursing Center, LLC [0068].



Exhibit A hereto (collectively, the “Rejected Contracts”) effective as of the date of this Motion (the “Rejection Date”).

BACKGROUND

2. On July 16, 2024 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief pursuant to chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

3. The Debtors continue in the operation and management of their business as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases.

4. A detailed description of the Debtors’ business and the events leading up to the filing of these chapter 11 cases can be found in the *Declaration of Kathleen (Kate) Bertram in Support of the Debtors’ Chapter 11 Petition and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 3] (the “First Day Declaration”), incorporated by reference herein.

5. As of the date of filing this Motion, the Debtors have completed the sales for their facilities and no longer require certain contracts going forward.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

7. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are sections 105(a) and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 6004, 6006, 6007, and 9014.

THE REJECTED CONTRACTS

8. The Debtors have largely concluded their sale process and no longer require the majority of their contracts on a go-forward basis. With this in mind, the Debtors, in consultation

with their advisors and the purchasers of the Debtors' facilities, have engaged in a review of their executory contracts. As a result of that review, the Debtors have determined in their business judgment that the unexpired leases and executory contracts related to certain passenger vans and cars listed on Exhibit A hereto (including any modifications, amendments, addenda or supplements thereto, or restatements thereof)² are not necessary to, and would impose substantial burdens on, their ongoing business operations and winddown. Accordingly, by this Motion, in order to maximize the value of their estates, the Debtors seek to reject the contracts indicated on Exhibit A.

9. The vehicles subject to the Enterprise lease have all been sold to buyers of the facilities and the equity in certain of the vehicles has been trued up with Enterprise and paid to the estates already. Nevertheless, the Debtors move to reject the vehicle leases to address any remaining any issues which may be argued to remain.

BASIS FOR RELIEF

A. Rejection of the Rejected Contracts should be approved.

10. Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor, "subject to the court's approval, may . . . reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a). The decision to assume or reject an executory contract or unexpired lease is generally a matter within the "business judgment" of the debtor. *See Four B. Corp. v Food Barn Stores, Inc. (In re Food Barn Stores, Inc.)*, 107 F.3d 558, 567 n.16 (8th Cir. 1997); *In re Steaks to Go, Inc.*, 226 B.R. 35, 37 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1998) ("Generally, a Bankruptcy Court is to review a decision by a debtor in possession or a trustee to reject an executory contract, and order rejection if the rejection is based on the debtor or trustee's best business judgment in the circumstances"). The business judgment standard is satisfied when a debtor determines that rejection will benefit the estate, and

² With respect to each Rejected Contract, Exhibit A hereto lists, among other things, the applicable counterparty for the contract.

courts should not interfere with a debtor's determination absent a showing of bad faith or gross abuse of discretion. *See Food Barn Stores*, 107 F.3d at 567 n.16; *see also In re Audra-John Corp.*, 140 B.R. 752, 755-756 (Bankr. D. Minn. 1992).

11. Under the business judgment rule, "management of a corporation's affairs is placed in the hands of its board of directors and officers, and the Court should interfere with their decisions only if it is made clear that those decisions are, inter alia, clearly erroneous, made arbitrarily, are in breach of the officers' and directors' fiduciary duty to the corporation, are made on the basis of inadequate information or study, are made in bad faith, or are in violation of the Bankruptcy Code." *In re Farmland Indus., Inc.*, 294 B.R. 855, 881 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 2003) (citing *In re United Artists Theatre Co.*, 315 F.3d 217, 233 (3d Cir. 2003)).

12. In order to satisfy the business judgment rule, a debtor must carry its burden to show some benefit to the estate. *Crystalin, LLC v. Selma Props. Inc. (In re Crystalin, LLC)*, 293 B.R. 455, 464 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2003). However, courts emphasize that "this test is not an onerous one" and courts should find this prong of the analysis met "as long as the proposed action appears to enhance the debtor's estate." *Crystalin*, 293 B.R. at 463-64 (internal citation omitted). Once a benefit to the estate has been shown, only a finding of "bad faith or gross abuse of . . . business discretion" should prevent the debtor from assuming the contract. *Id.* (internal citation omitted).

13. Bankruptcy Rule 9014 provides, in part, that "reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing shall be afforded the party against whom the relief is sought." *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(a). The notice and hearing requirements for contested matters under Bankruptcy Rule 9014 are satisfied if appropriate notice and an opportunity for hearing are given in light of the particular circumstances. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 102(1)(A) (defining "after notice and a hearing" or a similar phrase

to mean such notice and an opportunity for a hearing “as [are] appropriate in the particular circumstances”).

14. Bankruptcy Rule 6006(f) permits a debtor to join requests for authority to reject multiple unexpired leases in one motion when the procedural requirements enumerated therein are satisfied. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6006(f). In particular, a motion to reject multiple executory contracts or unexpired leases that are not between the same parties should:

- a. state in a conspicuous place that parties receiving the omnibus motion should locate their names and their contracts or leases listed in the motion;
- b. list parties alphabetically and identify the corresponding unexpired lease; be numbered consecutively with other omnibus motions to reject executory contracts and unexpired leases; and
- c. be limited to no more than one hundred (100) contracts and leases.

Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6006(f). The Debtors are in compliance with the applicable procedural requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6006(f).

15. The Debtors request the Court approve the rejection of the Rejected Contracts identified on **Exhibit A**, effective as of the applicable Rejection Date. The rejection of the Rejected Contracts is in the best interest of the Debtors’ estates and is a sound exercise of the Debtors’ business judgment because the Debtors will no longer have any use for the Rejected Contracts after the Rejection Date. The Rejected Contracts are related to certain passenger vans and cars, which are unnecessary and unused executory contracts and continuation of services related to the Rejected Contracts would be burdensome on the Debtors and hinder the Debtors’ winddown efforts. As discussed above, all of the vehicles have already been sold and the Debtors’ equity in certain vehicles has already been trued up and paid by Enterprise to the Debtors.

B. The Court should authorize the rejection of the Rejected Contracts effective as of the Rejection Date.

16. While court approval is a prerequisite for rejection of any executory contract, courts have recognized such an order may authorize a rejection as of the date the motion is filed. *See In re At Home Corp.*, 392 F.3d 1064, 1071 (9th Cir. 2004); *In re Thinking Machs. Corp.*, 67 F.3d 1021, 1028 (1st Cir. 1995); *In re Fleming Cos., Inc.*, 304 B.R. 85, 96 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003); *In re Jamesway Corp.*, 179 B.R. 33, 38 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) (approving retroactive rejection where objector “caused the delay” by interposing an improper objection); see also 3 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 365.03[4] (“If retroactive approval of rejection is not permitted, bankruptcy courts face the prospect of requests for expedited determination of rejection motions as a matter of course in order to reduce administrative expenses.”); *cf. Adelpia Bus. Solutions v. Abnos*, 482 F.3d 602, (2d Cir. 2007) (assuming, without deciding, that bankruptcy court has such authority); *In re Stonebridge Techs., Inc.*, 430 F.3d. 260, 273 (5th Cir. 2005) (suggesting bankruptcy courts have such authority); *but see In re Worths Stores Corp.*, 130 B.R. 531, 533 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1991) (“the effective date of a debtor’s lease rejection is that on which the Court entered its order approving such rejection”). “[O]nly after balancing the equities in a particular case, should the court approve a retroactive rejection of nonresidential lease.” *Chi-Chi’s, Inc.*, 305 B.R. at 399; *In re Phila. Newspapers, LLC*, 424 B.R. 178, 185 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 2010) (“[T]he decision to grant retroactive rejection of a lease or contract is dictated by equitable considerations.”).

17. Rejection of the Rejected Contracts as of the Rejection Date is warranted under the circumstances of this case. The Rejected Contracts either provide no ongoing benefit to the Debtors’ estates or provide benefits that are substantially less than the corresponding costs. Rejecting the Rejected Contracts will relieve the Debtors from performing under substantially unprofitable

agreements or agreements which are no longer needed and, for all the reasons set forth herein, is clearly beneficial to the Debtors' estates and creditors.

18. Moreover, the counterparties will not be unduly prejudiced if the rejection is deemed effective as of the Rejection Date. The Debtors are not or will no longer be using the Rejected Contracts, and this Motion is being served promptly on each of the counterparties, each of whom will have a sufficient opportunity to respond. Furthermore, service of this Motion is an unequivocal expression of the Debtors' intention to reject the Rejected Contracts, and the Debtors hereby certify that they will not withdraw this Motion as to any of the Rejected Contracts without the consent of the applicable counterparty. For these reasons, the Debtors respectfully submit that it is fair and equitable for the Court to hold that the Rejected Contracts are rejected as of the Rejection Date.

WAIVER OF RULE 6004

19. Finally, by this Motion, the Debtors seek a waiver of any stay of the effectiveness of the order approving this Motion. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), "[a]n order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property other than cash collateral is stayed until the expiration of 14 days after entry of the order, unless the court orders otherwise." As set forth above, the immediate rejection of the Rejected Contracts is necessary to the success of the chapter 11 cases, and the Debtors' ability to implement their chapter 11 strategy. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that ample cause exists to justify a waiver of the fourteen-day stay imposed by Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), to the extent that it applies.

NO PREVIOUS REQUEST

20. No previous application for the relief sought herein has been made to this or any other Court as to these specific contracts.

NOTICE

21. This Motion and notice of this Motion will be served respectively on Master Service List, Master Notice List, and the counterparties to the Rejected Contracts. Notice of this Motion and any order entered hereon will be served in accordance with Local Rule 9013-3(A)(1). The Debtors submit that, under the circumstances, no other or further notice is required.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting the relief requested herein, together with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

[Remainder of page left intentionally blank]

Dated: September 3, 2025
St. Louis, Missouri

Respectfully submitted,

DENTONS US LLP

/s/ Stephen O'Brien

Stephen O'Brien
MoBar # 43977
DENTONS US LLP
211 N Broadway Ste 3000
St. Louis, MO 63102
Telephone: (314) 241-1800
stephen.obrien@dentons.com

Robert E. Richards (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Samantha Ruben (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elysa Chew (admitted *pro hac vice*)
DENTONS US LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 5900
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6404
Telephone: (312) 876-8000
robert.richards@dentons.com
samantha.ruben@dentons.com
elysa.chew@dentons.com

– and –

David A. Sosne
MoBar # 28365
**SUMMERS COMPTON WELLS
LLC**
903 South Lindbergh Blvd., Suite 200
St. Louis, Missouri 63131
Telephone: (314) 991-4999
dsosne@scw.law

*Co-Counsel to the Debtors and
Debtors-in-Possession*

EXHIBIT A

Rejected Contracts

Debtor	Contract Description	Counterparty
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Amendment to Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Midwest Christian Villages, Inc.	Master Equity Lease Agreement	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust
Christian Homes, Inc.	Open - End (Equity) Lease Schedule	Enterprise FM Trust