

**LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP**

JEFFREY D. PROL (admitted *pro hac vice*)

[jprol@lowenstein.com](mailto:jprol@lowenstein.com)

BRENT WEISENBERG (admitted *pro hac vice*)

[bweisenberg@lowenstein.com](mailto:bweisenberg@lowenstein.com)

One Lowenstein Drive  
Roseland, New Jersey 07068  
Telephone: (973) 597-2500

**KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP**

TOBIAS S. KELLER (Cal. Bar No. 151445)

[tkeller@kbkllp.com](mailto:tkeller@kbkllp.com)

JANE KIM (Cal. Bar No. 298192)

[jkim@kbkllp.com](mailto:jkim@kbkllp.com)

GABRIELLE L. ALBERT (Cal. Bar No. 190895)

[galbert@kbkllp.com](mailto:galbert@kbkllp.com)

101 Montgomery Street, Suite 1950  
San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: (415) 496-6723

*Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured  
Creditors*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION**

In re:  
  
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,  
  
Debtor.

Case No. 23-40523 WJL

Chapter 11

**OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF THE  
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF  
UNSECURED CREDITORS TO  
AMENDED, DUPLICATIVE, AND  
LATE-FILED CLAIMS**

Judge: Hon. William J. Lafferty  
Date: May 20, 2026  
Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Place: United States Bankruptcy Court  
1300 Clay Street  
Courtroom 220  
Oakland, CA 94612



**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. CLAIMANTS SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND THE TABLES INCLUDED IN THIS OBJECTION TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIMS. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON THE TABLES INCLUDED HEREIN.**

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) of The Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland appointed in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy case (the “Chapter 11 Case”), hereby files the *Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to Certain Amended, Duplicative, and Late-Filed Claims* (the “Objection”). In further support of the Objection, the Committee submits the Declaration of Matthew K. Babcock (the “Babcock Declaration”) filed concurrently herewith, and respectfully states as follows:

**I.**

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue for this matter is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory bases for this Objection are sections 101(5) and 101(10) of chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rules 3007 and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and Rule 3007-1 of the Local Rules for the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (the “Local Rules”).

**II.**

**BACKGROUND**

On May 8, 2023 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor filed its voluntary petition for chapter 11 bankruptcy relief under the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtor continues to operate its ministry and manage its properties as a debtor in possession under sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee has been appointed in this Chapter 11 Case.

On May 23, 2023, the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 17 appointed the Committee.



**IV.****RELIEF REQUESTED**

To streamline the upcoming solicitation process, to prevent the claimants from receiving an unwarranted recovery to the detriment of holders of allowed claims, and to ensure any feasibility analysis can be completed with the most accurate Claims Register data, the Proposed Order disallowing and expunging the claims identified herein should be entered. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 5. More specifically, the Committee seeks entry of an order granting the relief requested herein, (a) disallowing the proofs of claim identified below as either superseded by amendment, duplicative of another claim, or untimely filed, and (b) granting relief from certain limitations of Local Rule 3007-1, as specified below. A proposed form of order granting the relief requested herein is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Proposed Order”).

**V.****BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

A creditor holds a claim against a bankruptcy estate only to the extent (a) it has a “right to payment” for the asserted liabilities and (b) the claim is otherwise allowable. 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(5) & 101(10). When asserting a claim against a bankruptcy estate, a claimant must allege facts that, if true, would support finding the debtor legally liable to the claimant. *In re Gonzelez*, 410 B.R. 868, 872 (Bankr. D. Ariz.) (quoting *In re Allegheny Int’l, Inc.*, 954 F.2d 167, 173-174 (3d Cir. 1992)). Where the claimant alleges sufficient facts to support its claim, its claim is afforded *prima facie* validity. *Allegheny*, 954 F.2d at 173.

A proof of claim is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a); *see also Lundell v. Anchor Const. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000). For the avoidance of doubt, the Committee is a “party in interest” that may object to claims under section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007. *See In re Hashim*, 188 B.R. 633, 642 (Bankr. D. Ariz. 1995) (citing section 1109 of the Bankruptcy Code for the proposition that a creditors’ committee is among the parties that may raise and may appear and be heard on any issue in a chapter 11 case, and section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code for the proposition that creditors may object to proofs of claim).

1 “To defeat the claim, the objector must come forward with sufficient evidence and ‘show  
2 facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of  
3 claim themselves.’” *Lundell v. Anchor Const. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000)  
4 (quoting *Wright v. Holm (In re Holm)*, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991)). Once an objecting  
5 party produces such evidence, the burden shifts back to the claimant to prove the validity of his or  
6 her claim by a preponderance of the evidence. *Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mort (In re Consol*  
7 *Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995). The ultimate burden of persuasion  
8 remains at all times upon the claimant. *See In re Holm*, 931 F.2d at 623.

9 Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) provides certain grounds upon which “objections to more than  
10 one claim may be joined in an omnibus objection,” which includes when “the objections are based  
11 solely on the grounds that the claims should be disallowed, in whole or in part, because . . . (1) they  
12 duplicate other claims; . . . (3) they have been amended by subsequently filed proof of claims; [or]  
13 (4) they were not timely filed.” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(d).

#### 14 A. Amended Claims

15 Certain proofs of claim have been amended and superseded by subsequently filed proofs  
16 of claim. The updated claims (the “Remaining Claims”) are identified by claim number in the  
17 “Remaining Claim” column below. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 6. The earlier-filed proofs of claim  
18 listed in the “Amended Claim to be Disallowed” column (the “Amended Claims”) thus no longer  
19 represent valid proofs of claim against the estate. *Id.*

Amended Claims			
	Name of Claimant	Amended Claim to Be Disallowed	Remaining Claim
21	1. California Dept. of Tax and Fee Administration	Claim No. 183	Claim No. 572
22	2. California Dept. of Tax and Fee Administration	Claim No. 183	Claim No. 573
23	3. Dept. of Treasury – Internal Revenue Service	Claim No. 2	Claim No. 547
24	4. Pacific Indemnity	Claim No. 246	Claim No. 503
25	5. Salesian Society	Claim No. 12-1	Claim No. 314
26	6. Mark Colelli <sup>1</sup>	Claim No. 177	
27	Salesian College Preparatory		Claim No. 163

28 <sup>1</sup> Claim No. 163 filed by Salesian College Preparatory (dated September 5, 2023) indicates that it amends an earlier filed claim by Marc Colelli. Marc Colelli filed Claim No. 177 (dated September 5, 2023). Both claims contain the same backup information and both claims were signed by Marc Colelli in his capacity as Chief Financial Officer of Salesian College Preparatory. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 6 n. 2.

1 Failure to disallow and expunge the Amended Claims listed in the “Amended Claims to be  
2 Disallowed” column could result in the applicable claimants receiving multiple recoveries against  
3 the estate, to the detriment of similarly situated creditors. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 7. Elimination  
4 of the Amended Claims will enable Verita Global to maintain a more accurate claims register. *See*  
5 *id.* ¶ 7. The disallowance and expungement of the Amended Claims will not prejudice these  
6 claimants or their substantive rights against the estate because each of their Remaining Claims will  
7 remain on the Claims Register (*id.*), subject to any other objection herein, and the ongoing rights  
8 of parties in interest to object to the Remaining Claims on any other applicable grounds.

9 Accordingly, the Committee (a) objects to the Amended Claims and (b) requests that the  
10 Court disallow and expunge the Amended Claims in their entirety.

#### 11 **B. Duplicative Claims**

12 The proofs of claim identified in the “Duplicative Claim to be Disallowed” column below  
13 (the “Duplicative Claims”) are duplicative of other proofs of claim (the “Remaining Claims”)   
14 identified in the “Remaining Claim” column below. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 8. The  
15 Committee’s review of the Claims Register and the proofs of claim themselves indicates that many  
16 of the Duplicative Claims appear to be exact copies of the same claim that was filed two separate  
17 ways (e.g., mailed and submitted electronically). *Id.*

18 Where a claim appeared to be an exact duplicate, but submitted two separate ways (e.g.,  
19 mailed and submitted electronically), the Committee generally categorized the electronically  
20 submitted claim as the “Remaining Claim,” because of the additional information included therein  
21 regarding receipt of such claim. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 9. To the extent claims were generally  
22 duplicative of one another and one of those claims contained additional supporting information,  
23 the Committee categorized the claim with additional supporting information as the “Remaining  
24 Claim”. *Id.* Where there were no clear differences in the additional information provided in  
25 support of duplicative claims, the Committee generally identified the earlier-filed claim as the  
26 Duplicative Claim for disallowance. *Id.*

27  
28

<b>Duplicative Claims</b>			
	<b>Name of Claimant</b>	<b>Duplicative Claim to be Disallowed</b>	<b>Remaining Claim</b>
1.	California Dept. of Tax and Fee Administration	Claim No. 572	Claim No. 573
2.	Carondelet High School	Claim No. 291	Claim No. 292
3.	Claretian Ministries	Claim No. 501	Claim No. 300
4.	Congregation of the Holy Cross, US Province	Claim No. 294	Claim No. 308
5.	Conventual Franciscans of California, a Corporation	Claim No. 280	Claim No. 310
6.	De La Salle High School	Claim No. 285	Claim No. 311
7.	De La Salle Institute	Claim No. 286	Claim No. 313
8.	Dominican Sisters of Mission San Jose	Claim No. 301	Claim No. 312
9.	La Sallian Education Corporation	Claim No. 299	Claim No. 318
10.	Oblate Fathers Western Province, Inc.	Claim No. 289	Claim No. 319
11.	Saint Marys College	Claim No. 306	Claim No. 317
12.	Saint Marys College High School	Claim No. 290	Claim No. 322
13.	Salesian Society	Claim No. 249	Claim No. 314
14.	Sisters of the Presentation	Claim No. 304	Claim No. 323
15.	Society of The Precious Blood, Cincinnati Province, Inc.	Claim No. 302	Claim No. 324
16.	Society of The Precious Blood, Kansas City Province, Inc.	Claim No. 297	Claim No. 332
17.	Society of The Precious Blood, Province of the Pacific, Inc.	Claim No. 296	Claim No. 337
18.	Society of The Precious Blood, US Province, Inc.	Claim No. 288	Claim No. 340
19.	St. Patricks College Seminary	Claim No. 287	Claim No. 343
20.	USA West Province, Society of Jesus	Claim No. 295	Claim No. 327

The estate is not required to make duplicative payments on the same obligation. Such a result would only harm other stakeholders of the Debtor. Disallowance of these redundant claims will enable the Claims Register to more accurately reflect the claims asserted against the estate. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 10. The disallowance and expungement of the Duplicative Claims will not prejudice any claimants or their substantive rights because each Remaining Claim will remain on the Claims Register (*id.*), subject to any other objection herein, and party in interest's ongoing rights to object to the Remaining Claims on other applicable grounds.

Therefore, the Committee (a) objects to the Duplicative Claims and (b) requests that the Court disallow and expunge the Duplicative Claims in their entirety.

### C. Late-Filed Claims

The proofs of claim listed below (the "Late-Filed Claims") were not timely filed as they were filed *after* the applicable bar date. *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 11. The Committee and its professionals have reviewed the docket in this Chapter 11 Case and have confirmed based on that

1 review that Late-Filed claims have not otherwise been deemed timely by an order of this Court.  
 2 *See* Babcock Declaration ¶ 11.

Late-Filed Claims			
	Name of Claimant	Late Filed Claim to be Disallowed	Date Filed
1.	Armanino LLP	Claim No. 548	12/08/2023
2.	Arreguin, Tina	Claim No. 546	11/14/2023
3.	Colburn, Nicole	Claim No. 538	10/09/2023
4.	DLL a/k/a De Lage Landen	Claim No. 551	12/28/2023
5.	Dunn, Kyle	Claim No. 529	09/12/2023
6.	Funcheon, Sean	Claim No. 562	11/12/2024
7.	Gomez, Courtney	Claim No. 554	01/23/2024
8.	Kelly Spicers Stores Formerly Kelly Paper	Claim No. 557	04/01/2024
9.	Leclere, Cathryn L.	Claim No. 535	10/02/2023
10.	Macias, Emma	Claim No. 542	11/02/2023
11.	OToole, Katherine	Claim No. 536	10/09/2023
12.	Siska, Robert	Claim No. 530	09/13/2023
13.	Sisters of St. Joseph in California	Claim No. 540	10/19/2023
14.	Sterling, Lila	Claim No. 544	11/03/2023
15.	Walsh, Zackary	Claim No. 505	09/12/2023

16 Therefore, the Committee (a) objects to the Late-Filed Claims and (b) requests that the  
 17 Court disallow and expunge each Late-Filed Claim in its entirety.

## 18 VI.

### 19 REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF LOCAL RULE 3007-1

20 The Committee respectfully requests a waiver of the requirement under Local Rule 3007-  
 21 1 that copies of the claims subject to this Objection be attached hereto. Given the number of claims  
 22 at issue and the burden associated with attaching each such claim, the Committee submits that  
 23 cause exists to grant such a waiver. The Committee further represents that the claims subject to  
 24 this Objection will be made available to any party in interest upon reasonable request.

## 25 VII.

### 26 RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

27 The rights of the Committee to (i) file subsequent objections to any claims subject hereto  
 28 on any ground; (ii) amend, modify, or supplement this Objection including, without limitation, the

1 filing of objections to further amended or newly filed claims; (iii) seek to expunge or reduce any  
 2 claim to the extent all or a portion of such claim has been paid; and (iv) object on any basis to any  
 3 claim, in whole or in part. Separate notice and a hearing will be provided in connection with any  
 4 such additional objections.

5 **VIII.**

6 **SEPARATE CONTESTED MATTERS**

7 To the extent a response is filed regarding any individual claim that is the subject of this  
 8 Objection and the Committee is unable to resolve the response, the Objection by the Committee  
 9 to such claim shall constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule  
 10 9014. Any order entered by the Court regarding an objection asserted in this Objection shall be  
 11 deemed a separate order with respect to each claim subject thereto.

12 **IX.**

13 **NOTICE OF OBJECTION**

14 The Committee will cause this Objection to be served via CM/ECF and electronic mail to  
 15 the Debtor, and via first class mail and electronic mail (to the extent known) to each holder of a  
 16 Claim subject to this Objection and each such Claimant's respective counsel, if known. The  
 17 Committee submits that in light of the nature of the relief requested herein no other further notice  
 18 need be given.

19 **X.**

20 **CONCLUSION**

21 **WHEREFORE**, the Committee respectfully requests that the Court enter the Proposed  
 22 Order and grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

23 DATED: April 15, 2026

24 **LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP**  
 25 **KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP**  
 26 **BURNS BAIR LLP**

27 *By: /s/ Gabrielle L. Albert*

28 Gabrielle L. Albert

*Counsel for the Official Committee of  
 Unsecured Creditors*

**Exhibit A**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP**

2 JEFFREY D. PROL (admitted *pro hac vice*)

3 [jprol@lowenstein.com](mailto:jprol@lowenstein.com)

4 BRENT WEISENBERG (admitted *pro hac vice*)

5 [bweisenberg@lowenstein.com](mailto:bweisenberg@lowenstein.com)

6 One Lowenstein Drive

7 Roseland, New Jersey 07068

8 Telephone: (973) 597-2500

9 **KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP**

10 TOBIAS S. KELLER (Cal. Bar No. 151445)

11 [tkeller@kbkllp.com](mailto:tkeller@kbkllp.com)

12 JANE KIM (Cal. Bar No. 298192)

13 [jkim@kbkllp.com](mailto:jkim@kbkllp.com)

14 GABRIELLE L. ALBERT (Cal. Bar No. 190895)

15 [galbert@kbkllp.com](mailto:galbert@kbkllp.com)

16 101 Montgomery Street, Suite 1950

17 San Francisco, California 94104

18 Telephone: (415) 496-6723

19 *Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured  
20 Creditors*

21 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
22 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
23 OAKLAND DIVISION**

24 In re:

25 THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
26 OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,

27 Debtor.

Case No. 23-40523 WJL

Chapter 11

**[PROPOSED] ORDER SUSTAINING  
OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF THE  
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF  
UNSECURED CREDITORS TO  
AMENDED, DUPLICATIVE, AND  
LATE-FILED CLAIMS**

Judge: Hon. William J. Lafferty  
Date: May 20, 2026  
Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Place: United States Bankruptcy Court  
1300 Clay Street  
Courtroom 220  
Oakland, CA 94612

1           Upon the *Omnibus Objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to Certain*  
2 *Amended, Duplicative, and Late Filed Claims* [Docket No. ●] (the “Objection”),<sup>1</sup> in the above-  
3 captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy case (the “Chapter 11 Case”), for entry of an order pursuant to  
4 Sections 101(5) and 101(10) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and  
5 Rules 3007 and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures (the “Bankruptcy Rules”),  
6 authorizing the Committee to disallow and expunge certain amended and superseded, duplicative,  
7 and/or late filed proofs of claim; the Court having reviewed and considered the Objection, and all  
8 other filings in support of the Objection and the statements of counsel at the hearing on the  
9 Objection; the Court having found it has jurisdiction over this matter, venue in this Court is proper,  
10 and notice of the Objection was reasonable and sufficient under the circumstances; and the Court  
11 having found the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the Committee, its  
12 estate, its creditors, and other parties in interest; and after due deliberation and good cause  
13 appearing therefor,

14           **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 15           1.       The Objection is sustained as set forth herein.
- 16           2.       Each Amended Claim listed in the column titled “Amended Claims Disallowed”  
17 identified in **Schedule 1** hereto is disallowed and expunged in its entirety. The Remaining Claims  
18 listed in the column titled “Remaining Claims” identified on **Schedule 1** hereto shall remain on  
19 the Claims Register, unless another objection to any such Remaining Claim is sustained pursuant  
20 to this Order, and subject to further objections by parties in interest as permitted by applicable law  
21 on any substantive or non-substantive grounds and further order of the Court.
- 22           3.       Each Duplicative Claim listed in the column titled “Duplicative Claims  
23 Disallowed” identified in **Schedule 2** hereto is disallowed and expunged in its entirety. The  
24 Remaining Claims listed in the column titled “Remaining Claims” identified on **Schedule 2** hereto  
25 shall remain on the Claims Register, unless another objection to any such Remaining Claim is

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Objection.

1 sustained pursuant to this Order, and subject to further objections by parties in interest as permitted  
2 by applicable law on any substantive or non-substantive grounds and further order of the Court.

3 4. Each Late-Filed Claim identified on **Schedule 3** hereto is disallowed and expunged  
4 in its entirety.

5 5. Each objection, as addressed in the Objection and the schedule hereto, constitutes  
6 a separate contested matter with respect to each such claim, as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule  
7 9014. This Order shall be deemed a separate Order with respect to each Amended Claim,  
8 Duplicative Claim, and Late-Filed Claim.

9 6. The rights of the Committee to assert further objections to any proof of claim that  
10 is the subject of the Objection but that has not been disallowed or expunged, in whole or part, are  
11 fully preserved.

12 7. The Committee, Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC dba Verita Global, and the  
13 Clerk of this Bankruptcy Court are authorized to take all reasonable actions necessary or  
14 appropriate to give effect to this Order.

15 8. The Committee is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief  
16 granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.

17 9. This Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

18 10. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related  
19 to the implementation and/or interpretation of this Order.

20 \*\* END OF ORDER \*\*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SCHEDULE 1**

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged

<b>Amended Claims</b>			
	<b>Name of Claimant</b>	<b>Amended Claim to Be Disallowed</b>	<b>Remaining Claim</b>
1.	California Dept. of Tax and Fee Administration	Claim No. 183	Claim No. 572
2.	California Dept. of Tax and Fee Administration	Claim No. 183	Claim No. 573
3.	Dept. of Treasury – Internal Revenue Service	Claim No. 2	Claim No. 547
4.	Pacific Indemnity	Claim No. 246	Claim No. 503
5.	Salesian Society	Claim No. 12-1	Claim No. 314
6.	Mark Colelli	Claim No. 177	
	Salesian College Preparatory		Claim No. 163

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SCHEDULE 2**

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged

<b>Duplicative Claims</b>			
	<b>Name of Claimant</b>	<b>Duplicative Claim to be Disallowed</b>	<b>Remaining Claim</b>
1.	California Dept. of Tax and Fee Administration	Claim No. 572	Claim No. 573
2.	Carondelet High School	Claim No. 291	Claim No. 292
3.	Claretian Ministries	Claim No. 501	Claim No. 300
4.	Congregation of the Holy Cross, US Province	Claim No. 294	Claim No. 308
5.	Conventual Franciscans of California, a Corporation	Claim No. 280	Claim No. 310
6.	De La Salle High School	Claim No. 285	Claim No. 311
7.	De La Salle Institute	Claim No. 286	Claim No. 313
8.	Dominican Sisters of Mission San Jose	Claim No. 301	Claim No. 312
9.	La Sallian Education Corporation	Claim No. 299	Claim No. 318
10.	Oblate Fathers Western Province, Inc.	Claim No. 289	Claim No. 319
11.	Saint Marys College	Claim No. 306	Claim No. 317
12.	Saint Marys College High School	Claim No. 290	Claim No. 322
13.	Salesian Society	Claim No. 249	Claim No. 314
14.	Sisters of the Presentation	Claim No. 304	Claim No. 323
15.	Society of The Precious Blood, Cincinnati Province, Inc.	Claim No. 302	Claim No. 324
16.	Society of The Precious Blood, Kansas City Province, Inc.	Claim No. 297	Claim No. 332
17.	Society of The Precious Blood, Province of the Pacific, Inc.	Claim No. 296	Claim No. 337
18.	Society of The Precious Blood, US Province, Inc.	Claim No. 288	Claim No. 340
19.	St. Patricks College Seminary	Claim No. 287	Claim No. 343
20.	USA West Province, Society of Jesus	Claim No. 295	Claim No. 327

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SCHEDULE 3**

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged

<b>Late-Filed Claims</b>			
	<b>Name of Claimant</b>	<b>Late Filed Claim to be Disallowed</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
1.	Armanino LLP	Claim No. 548	12/08/2023
2.	Arreguin, Tina	Claim No. 546	11/14/2023
3.	Colburn, Nicole	Claim No. 538	10/09/2023
4.	DLL a/k/a De Lage Landen	Claim No. 551	12/28/2023
5.	Dunn, Kyle	Claim No. 529	09/12/2023
6.	Funcheon, Sean	Claim No. 562	11/12/2024
7.	Gomez, Courtney	Claim No. 554	01/23/2024
8.	Kelly Spicers Stores Formerly Kelly Paper	Claim No. 557	04/01/2024
9.	Leclere, Cathryn L.	Claim No. 535	10/02/2023
10.	Macias, Emma	Claim No. 542	11/02/2023
11.	OToole, Katherine	Claim No. 536	10/09/2023
12.	Siska, Robert	Claim No. 530	09/13/2023
13.	Sisters of St. Joseph in California	Claim No. 540	10/19/2023
14.	Sterling, Lila	Claim No. 544	11/03/2023
15.	Walsh, Zackary	Claim No. 505	09/12/2023