



CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

**ENTERED**

THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON  
THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.

Signed February 23, 2026

United States Bankruptcy Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 7
Tricolor Holdings, LLC et al.,	§	
	§	Case No. 25-33487-mvl7
Debtors.	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	

**ORDER FOR BEYOND ATTORNEYS, LLC, FRANCISCO AGUIRRE, AND LLUVIA MEDINA BELTRAN TO APPEAR BEFORE THE COURT ON APRIL 1, 2026, AT 9:30 A.M. AND SHOW CAUSE WHY THEY SHOULD NOT BE SANCTIONED FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW**

On September 10, 2025, Tricolor Holdings, LLC and its related entities (the “Debtors”), filed the above-referenced Chapter 7 bankruptcy case [ECF No. 1], jointly administered under Case No. 25-33487. Since the filing of the Debtors’ bankruptcy case, the Court has been made aware of multiple *Motions for (1) Relief from the Automatic Stay Under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1); (2) § 105(a) Status-Quo Freeze; (3) Interim Relief Under Rule 4001(a)(2); (4) Determination on the Papers with Waiver of Appearance* (the “Lift Stay Motions”) filed, *pro se*, by various Consumer



Creditors (the “**Consumer Creditors**”) on January 13, 2026, and January 21, 2026, respectively [ECF Nos. 655, 676–90]. Additionally, on February 2, 2026, and February 11, 2026, respectively, *Motions to Enforce the Automatic Stay, Clarify the Scope of Servicing Authority, and Preserve Status Quo Pending Determination of Lien Ownership* were filed, *pro se*, by several more Consumer Creditors [ECF Nos. 718–723, 779–806].<sup>1</sup> It has been brought to the Court’s attention that, despite the Lift Stay Motions stating that the respective motions were filed *pro se*, each of the Motions was prepared by and filed on behalf of the Consumer Creditors by Beyond Attorneys, LLC (“**Beyond Attorneys**”), Mr. Francisco X. Aguirre (“**Mr. Aguirre**”), or Ms. Lluvia Medina Beltran (“**Ms. Medina Beltran**”) in various capacities.

On February 6, 2026, the Court entered an *Amended Order for Beyond Attorneys, LLC, Francisco Aguirre, Lluvia Medina Beltran, and the Consumer Creditors Listed Herein to Appear Before the Court on February 17, 2026, at 2:00 P.M. for Status Conference* (the “**Order to Appear**”) [ECF No. 754].<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, the Court held a status conference with regard to the Lift Stay Motions on February 17, 2026 [ECF No. 862]. Mr. Aguirre appeared *pro se*, as did numerous of the Consumer Creditors whose Lift Stay Motions at ECF Nos. 655, 676–690, and 718–723 were filed on their behalf. Ms. Medina Beltran failed to appear.<sup>3</sup>

At the hearing, Mr. Aguirre testified that he was contacted by approximately 1,500 customers of the Debtors. See ECF No. 863 at 33:10–11. Mr. Aguirre testified that many of these customers would then execute a contract with either Mr. Aguirre, Ms. Medina Beltran, or Beyond

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that the Motions filed at ECF Nos. 718–723 and 779–806 have since been corrected on the docket and relabeled as Lift Stay Motions, given the relief requested therein.

<sup>2</sup> To clarify, at the time of entry of the Order to Appear, the Lift Stay Motions docketed at ECF Nos. 779–806 had not been filed, and therefore the Order was not served on the Consumer Creditors for those specific Motions.

<sup>3</sup> Mr. Aguirre represented that Ms. Medina Beltran had been hospitalized the night before the hearing and would therefore be unable to attend.

Attorneys in exchange for a \$1,500 fee to assist them in some capacity relative to the Debtors. *See* ECF No. 163. Mr. Aguirre testified that these fees were independently contracted between either himself, Ms. Medina Beltran, or Beyond Attorneys, in some capacity, and the Consumer Creditors, individually. *Id.*

However, Mr. Aguirre could not accurately testify as to: (1) his current business relationship with Beyond Attorneys, if any; (2) whether Beyond Attorneys remained in business; (3) what the express terms of contracts reached with the Consumer Creditors were; (4) whether each of the Consumer Creditors had in fact paid him \$1,500 for services related to the Consumer Creditors' relationship with the Debtors; (5) how the Consumer Creditors initially came into contact with Mr. Aguirre; and (6) what representations he had made to the Consumer Creditors with respect to the substance of the Lift Stay Motions and the Consumer Creditors' expectations for relief. *See id.* Mr. Aguirre further testified that he was not a licensed attorney in any jurisdiction. Rather, he testified that he only holds a Master of Business Administration degree in the state of California, and a paralegal certification in the state of Pennsylvania. *Id.* at 43:20–44:5.

The Court ultimately set the Lift Stay Motions at ECF Nos. 655, and 676–690 for a final, in person evidentiary hearing on April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m. However, the Court also forewarned that it would hold further proceedings with respect to the actions taken by Beyond Attorneys, Mr. Aguirre, and Ms. Medina Beltran in order to determine whether their actions relative to ECF Nos. 655, 676–690, and 718–723 constitute the unauthorized practice law or are otherwise violative of §81.101 of the Texas Government Code, Rules 9010 and 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”) or other relative laws.

The unauthorized practice of law by a non-licensed person is an affront to a high court's power to define and regulate the practice of law. Violative conduct has the potential to undermine

the public's confidence in the integrity of the judiciary. "Bankruptcy Courts look to state law to determine what acts constitute the unauthorized practice of law." *In re Martin*, Case No. 19-31260, 2025 WL 2486401, at \*44 (Bankr. W.D. La. Aug. 28, 2025). Accordingly, § 81.101 of the Texas Government Code states that the "practice of law" means:

[T]he **preparation of a pleading or other document** incident to an action or special proceeding or the management of the action or proceeding on behalf of a client before a judge in court as well as a service rendered out of court, including the giving of advice or the rendering of any service **requiring the use of legal skill or knowledge, such as preparing a will, contract, or other instrument, the legal effect of which under the facts and conclusions involved must be carefully determined.**

Tex. Gov't Code § 81.101(a) (emphasis added). What does or does not constitute the practice of law under § 81.101 is non-exclusive, and the statute expressly provides courts with the power and authority to "determine whether other services and acts not enumerated may constitute the practice of law." *Id.* at § 81.101(b). Likewise, Bankruptcy Rule 9010 specifically prohibits an authorized agent from performing any act that constitutes the practice of law, and Bankruptcy Rule 9011 allows the imposition of sanctions for certain violations relative to pleadings.

A court "has inherent power to sanction a party appearing before him or her." *In re Zuniga*, 332 B.R. 760, 788 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2005). Moreover, "[b]ankruptcy courts have broad leeway in forming an appropriate sanction for unethical behavior." *Id.*; *see also* 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) ("The court may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title.").

Therefore, the Court orders Mr. Aguirre, Ms. Medina Beltran, as well a representative for Beyond Attorneys, LLC, if that representative is not Mr. Aguirre or Ms. Medina Beltran, **to appear before the Court on April 1, 2026 at 9:30 a.m.** and show cause for why they should not be sanctioned for the unauthorized practice of law.

Accordingly, it is:

**ORDERED** that Beyond Attorneys, LLC, Mr. Franciso Aguirre, Ms. Lluvia Medina Beltran file with the Court a copy of a representative engagement letter(s) or contract(s) with the Consumer Creditors related to the Debtors by no later than **March 6, 2026**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Beyond Attorneys, LLC, Mr. Franciso Aguirre, Ms. Lluvia Medina Beltran, shall **APPEAR** before this Court **in person** on **April 1, 2026, at 9:30 a.m.** and show cause why they should not be sanctioned by this Court for the unauthorized practice of law; it is further

**ORDERED** that any interested party wishing to be heard in respect of this Order must file any written response on the docket by no later than **March 18, 2026**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall serve a copy of this Order via regular first-class mail and via e-mail, respectively, on the following parties:

Beyond Attorneys, LLC:

- 5635 N. Scottsdale Rd., Ste. 170J, Scottsdale, AZ 85250

Mr. Franciso X. Aguirre:

- 7014 E. Camelback Rd., Ste. B100A, Scottsdale, AZ 85251
- fxa@beyondattorneys.com

Ms. Lluvia Medina Beltran:

- 9825 N. 41<sup>st</sup> Ave., Phoenix, AZ 85051

**The parties who have been ordered to appear before the Court are strongly encouraged to retain counsel.**

**###END OF ORDER###**