

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

---

Caption in Compliance with  
D.N.J. LBRE 9004-1

FRANCES A. TOMES, ESQ.  
TOMES LAW FIRM, PC  
17 BROAD STREET, SUITE 3  
FREEHOLD, NJ 07728  
Tel 732-333-0681  
Email: [BKTeam@TomesLawFirm.com](mailto:BKTeam@TomesLawFirm.com)  
Attorneys for: Jesse Boone and  
Rose Boone

---

In Re:  
United Site Services, Inc

Chapter 11  
Case No: 25-23630 MBK

Hearing Date: February 19, 2026

Debtor

Judge: Michael B. Kaplann

---

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY

TO:  
United Site Services, Inc.  
118 Flanders Road  
Suite 1000  
Westborough, MA 01581

Milbank LLP  
55 Hudson Yards  
New York, NY 10001

Michael D. Sirota  
Felice R. Yudkin  
Cole Schotz P.C.  
25 Main St.  
Hackensack, NJ 07601

Samantha Lieb  
DOJ-Ust  
One Newark Center  
Suite 2100  
Newark, NJ 07102



2523630260129000000000001

Jeffrey M. Sponder  
Office of U.S. Trustee  
One Newark Center  
Newark, NJ 07102

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on February 19, 2026 at 10:00 am or as soon as counsel can be heard thereafter, the undersigned attorney for Jesse Boone and Rose Boone, creditors, will move before the United States Bankruptcy Court, Honorable Michael B. Kaplan, Courtroom 8, 402 East State Street Trenton, N.J. 08608 , for an Order Granting Relief from the Automatic Stay and permitting the unsecured creditors, Jesse Boone and Rose Boone to proceed with their personal injury suit against the debtor to the extent of applicable insurance policies, and in the event that there are no applicable liability insurance policies, the applicable insurance policies contain a self-insured retention and/or the applicable liability insurance policies have been exhausted, then lifting the stay to the limited extent of permitting creditors to move to sever the debtor from the underlying personal injury litigation.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the motion is based upon the applicable insurance policy is not an asset of the bankruptcy estate. Movant asserts that the facts and law relied upon in making this motion are neither complicated nor unique, and therefore no brief of legal memorandum is necessary pursuant to D.N.J. LBR 9013-1. Movant shall rely upon the Certification and Proposed order attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to the relief requested shall be in writing, specify with particularity the basis for such objections, and be filed with the clerk of the Court and served on counsel for the creditors at Tomes Law Firm, PC 17 Broad Street, Suite 3, Freehold, NJ 07728 or via ECF no later than seven

(7) days before the return date, in accordance with D.N.J. LBR 9013-2(a). Unless objections are timely filed and served, the Motion may be deemed uncontested.

TOMES LAW FIRM, PC

BY: /S/ Frances A. Tomes

Dated: 1/28/26

Frances A. Tomes  
Attorneys for Creditors  
Jesse and Rose Boone

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

---

Caption in Compliance with  
D.N.J. LBRE 9004-1

FRANCES A. TOMES, ESQ.  
TOMES LAW FIRM, PC  
17 BROAD STREET, SUITE 3  
FREEHOLD, NJ 07728  
Tel 732-333-0681  
Email: [BKTeam@TomesLawFirm.com](mailto:BKTeam@TomesLawFirm.com)  
Attorneys for: Creditors Jesse Boone and  
Rose Boone

---

In Re:  
United Site Services, Inc.

Chapter 11  
Case No: 25-23630 MBK

Hearing Date: February 29, 2026

Debtor

Judge: Michael B. Kaplan

---

CERTIFICATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO VACATE STAY

FRANCES A. TOMES, ESQ, hereby certifies as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law of the State of New Jersey and for the unsecured creditors Jesse and Rose Boone and am familiar with the facts and circumstances herein.
2. This certification is submitted in support of the motion to vacate stay pursuant to 11 USC Section 362 (d) and permitting the creditors to proceed with a personal injury lawsuit which was commenced in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit in and for Polk County, Florida under Case No: 2026:CA-003484A.

3. A copy of the Complaint is attached as Exhibit A to the Certification of Florida County Thomas Delattre which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
4. The motion seeks to lift the automatic stay and proceed against available insurance coverage. The underlying incident stems from a vehicle accident. The Debtor is insured for this action through liability coverage with Safety National Insurance Company. Counsel in the Florida action has verified that lawsuit sounds in negligence and the insurance company has accepted coverage and is providing a defense. The creditors are agreeable to limiting the recovery to the available insurance proceeds and not see recovery from the debtor's estate.
5. Section 362 provides the court the authority to modify or lift the automatic stay to proceed as against the debtor in a few instances. Applicably, section 362(d)(1) provides that the stay may be modified or lifted "for cause, including the lack of adequate protection of an interest in property of such party in interest." 11 U.S.C. §362(d)(1) (2009). Since neither the statute nor legislative history define "cause," In re Sonnax Indus., 907 F.2d 1280, 1285 (2d. Cir. 1990), the court found that bankruptcy courts are empowered to make such a determination on a case by case basis.
6. The court is accorded broad discretion to modify the automatic stay. Sonnax, 907 F.2d at 1288. A very fact-specific inquiry, weighing a number of factors, is required in determining a motion on such grounds such as
  - (1) whether relief would result in a partial or complete resolution of the issues;
  - (2) lack of any connection with or interference with the bankruptcy case;

- (3) whether the other proceeding involves the debtor as a fiduciary;
- (4) whether a specialized tribunal with the necessary expertise has been established to hear the cause of action;
- (5) whether the debtor's insurer has assumed full responsibility for defending it;
- (6) whether the action primarily involves third parties;
- (7) whether litigation in another forum would prejudice the interests of other creditors;
- (8) whether the judgment claim arising from the other action is subject to equitable subordination;
- (9) whether movant's success in the other proceeding would result in a judicial lien avoidable by the debtor;
- (10) the interests of judicial economy and the expeditious and economical resolution of litigation;
- (11) whether the parties are ready for trial in the other proceeding; and
- (12) impact of the stay on the parties and the balance of harms.

See also In re MarketXT Holdings Corp., 2009 Bankr. LEXIS 1897, at \* 11-12 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. July 20, 2009) (citing Sonnax, 907 F.2d at 1286); In re Bally Total Fitness of Greater N.Y., 402 B.R. 616, 623 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009).

7. With applicable insurance coverage, upon information and belief, the policy would provide that the insolvency or bankruptcy of the debtor, or the insolvency of its estate shall not release carrier from the payment of damages sustained during the term of and within the coverage of the policy.

8. In the event movant is permitted to pursue the State Court suit, creditor will not pursue a claim in this proceeding. The creditor is only interested in proceeding against the applicable insurance policies for the debtor and the assets/insurance of the co-defendants and other parties. Any recovery in that action shall be enforceable against the debtor only to the extent of the available liability insurance policy or policies for the debtors and subject to such coverage being adequate to provide full compensation to movant in the State Court action. The case law is clear under the circumstances presented here, that the creditor would clearly be entitled to the lifting of the automatic stay up to the limits of any available insurance coverage In re Honosky, 7 Bk.CT.D. 50 (Dk.Ct.S.D.W.VA. 1980),

The Court is not convinced that the Bankruptcy Code was intended to bestow such a benefit upon insurance companies. The case referred to above, although decided under the Bankruptcy Act, demonstrate judicial recognition of the equity of permitting a civil suit to proceed against one covered by insurance where the bankruptcy estate is in no way harmed.

9. In the event that there are no applicable liability insurance policies and/or the applicable liability insurance policies have been exhausted then we would respectfully request permission of This Court lifting the stay to the limited extent of permitting creditors to move to sever NAVILLUS TILE, INC. from the underlying personal injury litigation. The allegations of wrongdoing and/or negligence that resulted in the accident in the underlying case go well beyond the actions/omissions of the debtor. It is widely accepted that the automatic stay created upon the filing of a bankruptcy petition is limited to debtors and

does not encompass nonfiling, non-bankrupt entities. See, Teachers Ins. & Annuity Ass'n v. Butler, 803 F.2d 61, 65 (2d Cir.1986). In the case at bar, failure to grant the personal injury plaintiffs leave to seek severance of the debtor from the underlying litigation will essentially result in a blanket stay of the entire litigation. It is respectfully submitted that will result in a windfall to the insurance carriers representing the co-defendants and a severe detriment to the creditors. It is simply unfair to allow the co-defendants to benefit from any further delay

10. I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I realize if any of them are false. I am subject to punishment.

TOMES LAW FIRM, PC

BY: /s/ Frances A. Tomes

Frances A. Tomes, Esq.

Dated: 1/28/2026



# EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

---

Caption in Compliance with  
D.N.J. LBRE 9004-1

FRANCES A. TOMES, ESQ.  
TOMES LAW FIRM, PC  
17 BROAD STREET, SUITE 3  
FREEHOLD, NJ 07728  
Tel 732-333-0681  
Email: [BKTeam@TomesLawFirm.com](mailto:BKTeam@TomesLawFirm.com)  
Attorneys for Creditors Jesse Boone  
and Rose Boone

---

In Re:  
United Site Services, Inc.

Chapter 11  
Case No: 25-23630 – MBK

Hearing Date: February 19, 2026

Debtor

Judge: Michael B. Kaplan

---

CERTIFICATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO VACATE STAY

THOMAS DELATTRE, ESQ, hereby certifies as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law of the State of Florida and represent the unsecured creditors Jesse and Rose Boone in a Florida Personal Injury action and am familiar with the facts and circumstances herein.
2. This certification is submitted in support of the motion to vacate stay pursuant to 11 USC Section 362 (d) and permitting the creditors to proceed with a personal injury lawsuit which was commenced in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit in and for Polk County, Florida, under Case No: 2025:CA-003484A.

3. The claim against the debtor sounds in negligence and vicarious liability and is covered by insurance and is being defended by counsel retained by the insurance company. A copy of the Complaint is attached as Exhibit A.
4. The motion seeks to lift the automatic stay and proceed against available insurance coverage. The Debtor is insured for this action through Safety National Insurance Company and a claim file exists under Claim Number: CA1011989029.
5. Creditors do not seek to recover against any assets of the debtor's estate.
6. I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I realize if any of them are false, I am subject to punishment.

Dated: January 28, 2026

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thomas DeLattre', written over a horizontal line.

Thomas DeLattre, Esq.

# EXHIBIT A

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE  
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND  
FOR POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

JESSE BOONE and ROSE BOONE,  
Individually, and as husband and wife,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ANDRE ANTOINE ALLEN, an individual,  
and UNITED SITE SERVICES, INC.,  
a Florida Corporation,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**COMPLAINT**

The Plaintiffs, JESSE BOONE and ROSE BOONE, individually and as husband and wife, by and through their undersigned counsel, sue the Defendants, ANDRE ANTOINE ALLEN and UNITED SITE SERVICES, INC., and for this, their Complaint would allege:

1. This is an action for damages which exceeds the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00), exclusive of costs, interest, and attorneys' fees (The estimated value of Plaintiff's claim is in excess of the minimum jurisdictional threshold required by this Court). Accordingly, Plaintiff has entered "\$50,001" in the civil cover sheet for the "estimated amount of the claim" as required in the preamble to the civil cover sheet for *jurisdictional purposes only* (the Florida Supreme Court has ordered that the estimated "amount of claim" be set forth in the civil cover sheet for data collection and clerical purposes only). The actual value of Plaintiff's claim

will be determined by a fair and just jury in accordance with Article 1, Section 21, Fla. Const.

2. That at all times material to this cause of action, the Plaintiffs, JESSE BOONE and ROSE BOONE, were and are husband and wife, residing together and enjoying the family relationship in Polk County, Florida.

3. That at all times material to this cause of action, the Defendant, ANDREA ANTOINE ALLEN, hereinafter referred to as “ALLEN,” was and is domiciled in Lakeland, Polk County, Florida.

4. That at all times material to this cause, Defendant, UNITED SITE SERVICES, INC., hereinafter referred to as “UNITED,” was and is a Florida Corporation authorized to do business in the State of Florida, specifically Polk County, Florida, and was/is in the porta potty rental business; that the Defendant, UNITED, was issued a USDOT number of 929460.

5. On or about March 5, 2025, the Defendant, UNITED, owned, and the Defendant, ALLEN, with the express and/or implied consent of the Defendant, UNITED, operated a commercial motor vehicle, specifically a 2023 Hino truck bearing Vehicle License Number 03BXIG, on the streets of Polk County, Florida, specifically U.S. Highway 17 N at or near its intersection with Ernest M. Smith Blvd., in Bartow, Polk County, Florida, while in the course and scope of his employment with the Defendant, UNITED.

### **COUNT I - NEGLIGENCE OF ALLEN**

6. The Plaintiffs reiterate and adopt paragraphs one (1) through five (5) and further allege:

7. At that time and place, Defendant, ALLEN, negligently operated and maintained his commercial motor vehicle by making a U-turn while the traffic signal was red violating the

Plaintiff's right of way and causing the Defendant's commercial motor vehicle to collide with a motorcycle operated by the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE.

8. The Defendant, ALLEN, had a duty to operate the commercial motor vehicle that he was operating at the time of said collision in a reasonable safe manner and to know and comply by all state and federal laws, statutes, regulations and industry standards governing the safe operation of commercial vehicles to include but not limited to Florida Statute 316, et seq.

9. The Defendant, ALLEN, had a duty pursuant to Florida Statute 316.075(1)(c)(1) to stop his commercial motor vehicle and remain stopped while facing a steady red signal until a green indication is shown.

10. The Defendant, ALLEN, had a duty pursuant to Florida Statute 316.1925 to operate his commercial motor vehicle with due care and caution and to maintain a proper lookout and driving safe for the current conditions.

11. The Defendant, Allen, had a duty pursuant to Florida Statute 316.1515 to not turn his commercial motor vehicle and proceed in the opposite direction unless such movement can be made safely.

12. The Defendant, ALLEN, had a duty pursuant to Florida Statute 316.192 to not operate his commercial motor vehicle in a willful and wanton disregard for the safety of other motorists, to include the Plaintiff.

13. The Defendant, ALLEN, breached those duties in one or more of, but not limited to, the following ways:

a. By operating his commercial motor vehicle in violation of laws of the State of Florida to include but not limited to Florid Statute 316.302(1)(b), 316.075(1)(c)(1), 316.1925, and 316.1515 and 316.192.

- b. By operating his truck in a negligent and unsafe manner.
- c. By failing to stop and remain stopped on a steady red signal until a green light indicator is shown pursuant to Florida Statute 316.075(1)(c)(1).
- d. By failing to operate his commercial motor vehicle with due care and caution and failing to maintain a proper lookout and driving safe for the current conditions pursuant to Florida Statute 316.1925.
- e. By failing to wait to proceed with making a U-turn in violation of Florida Statute 316.1515 until such U-turn was able to be made safely.
- f. By failing to keep a proper lookout.
- g. By operating his commercial motor vehicle while distracted.
- h. By failing to maintain control of his commercial motor vehicle at all times.
- i. By failing to take evasive action.
- j. By driving carelessly in violation of Florida Statute 316.192.

14. The Defendant, ALLEN, was negligent in the operation of his commercial motor vehicle and negligently breached the duties he owed to other motorists, to include the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, and violated laws that were intended to protect motorists and to prevent crashes similar to what occurred here.

15. That as a direct and proximate result of the foregoing negligence, the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, suffered bodily injuries to include but not limited to a loss of a leg and resulting pain and suffering, impairment, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care, and treatment, and aggravation of a pre-existing condition. The injuries to the Plaintiff are permanent within a reasonable degree of medical probability and said Plaintiff will continue to suffer the losses in the



future. Plaintiff's motorcycle was damaged and determined to be a total loss and he is entitled to be compensated for the fair market value of the motorcycle.

16. That as a further direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff, ROSE BOONE, has incurred medical and hospital expenses for the treatment and care of her spouse, Jesse Boone, and has in the past and will in the future suffer the loss of her spouse's services, companionship and consortium.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, JESSE BOONE and ROSE BOONE, sue the Defendant, ALLEN, for compensatory damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, to-wit: in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000), exclusive of interest and costs, and demand trial by jury of all issues.

#### **COUNT II - VICARIOUS LIABILITY AGAINST UNITED**

17. The Plaintiffs reiterate and adopt paragraphs one (1) through five (5) and paragraphs seven (7) through fourteen (14) and further allege:

18. As the owner of the commercial motor vehicle which was negligently operated by the Defendant, ALLEN, the Defendant, UNITED, is vicariously liable for negligence of the Defendant, ALLEN.

19. Additionally, since the Defendant, ALLEN, was operating the commercial motor vehicle while in the course and scope of his employment with the Defendant, UNITED, the Defendant, UNITED, is vicariously liable for the negligence of the Defendant, ALLEN.

20. That as a direct and proximate result of the foregoing negligence, the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, suffered bodily injuries to include but not limited to a loss of a leg and resulting pain and suffering, impairment, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the

enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care, and treatment, and an aggravation of a pre-existing condition. The injuries to the Plaintiff are permanent within a reasonable degree of medical probability and said Plaintiff will continue to suffer the losses in the future. Plaintiff's motorcycle was damaged and determined to be a total loss and he is entitled to be compensated for the fair market value of the motorcycle.

21. That as a further direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff, ROSE BOONE, has incurred medical and hospital expenses for the treatment and care of her spouse, Jesse Boone, and has in the past and will in the future suffer the loss of her spouse's services, companionship and consortium.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, JESSE BOONE and ROSE BOONE, sue the Defendant, UNITED, for compensatory damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, to-wit: in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000), exclusive of interest and costs, and demand trial by jury of all issues.

### **COUNT III - DIRECT NEGLIGENCE AGAINST UNITED**

22. Plaintiffs, JESSE BOONE and ROSE BOONE, reiterate and adopt paragraphs one (1) through five (5) and seven (7) through fourteen (14) and further state:

23. Based on information and belief, the Defendant, ALLEN, was involved in out-of-state crashes on October 21, 2021, December 9, 2021, and July 6, 2022.

24. Based on information and belief, the Defendant, ALLEN, was cited on October 28, 2023 for a red-light violation while operating a motor vehicle and on June 24, 2024 failed to pay a traffic fine resulting in a license suspension.

25. Based on information and belief, the Defendant, ALLEN, failed to file his medical certification for operating commercial motor vehicles on January 6, 2025.

26. The Defendant, UNITED, owed a duty to the traveling public, to include the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, to hire only qualified commercial drivers to operate their commercial motor vehicles and to perform required background checks, require their drivers to pass road tests, and to otherwise properly vet their drivers to ensure they are qualified to be operating commercial motor vehicles in the State of Florida.

27. The Defendant, UNITED, breached its duty owed to the traveling public to include the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, by committing one or more of the following acts of commission and/or omission:

a) By negligently hiring the Defendant, ALLEN, as a commercial truck driver when they knew or should have known about the Defendant's, ALLEN, poor driving record at the time of hire to include being cited prior to the subject collision for the same violation of running a red traffic signal.

b) Once the Defendant, UNITED, made the decision to hire the Defendant, ALLEN, as a commercial truck driver, the Defendant, UNITED, failed to supervise and control its employee, the Defendant, ALLEN, in the safe operation of its commercial motor vehicle in such a manner which created a foreseeable risk of injury to the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, and other persons similarly situated.

c) Defendant, UNITED, did negligently entrust custody and supervision of a dangerous instrumentality, specifically a 2023 Hino truck bearing Vehicle License Number 03BXIG, to its employee, Defendant, ALLEN, in such a manner that created a foreseeable risk of injury to the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, and other persons similarly situated.

d) Failed to properly train the Defendant, ALLEN, prior to allowing him to operate commercial motor vehicles for the Defendant, UNITED.

28. That as a direct and proximate result of the foregoing negligence, the Plaintiff, JESSE BOONE, suffered bodily injuries to include but not limited to a loss of a leg and resulting pain and suffering, impairment, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care, and treatment and aggravation of a pre-existing condition. The injuries to the Plaintiff are permanent within a reasonable degree of medical probability and said Plaintiff will continue to suffer the losses in the future. Plaintiff's motorcycle was damaged and determined to be a total loss and he is entitled to be compensated for the fair market value of the motorcycle.

29. That as a further direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff, ROSE BOONE, has incurred medical and hospital expenses for the treatment and care of her spouse, Jesse Boone, and has in the past and will in the future suffer the loss of her spouse's services, companionship and consortium.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, JESSE BOONE and ROSE BOONE, sue the Defendant, UNITED, for compensatory damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, to-wit: in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000), exclusive of interest and costs, and demand trial by jury of all issues.

Dated: September 2, 2025.

Wieland & DeLattre, P.A.  
226 Hillcrest Street  
P.O. Box 944  
Orlando, FL 32802  
(407) 841-7699  
(407) 841-2084 - Facsimile  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Thomas DeLattre  
Thomas DeLattre, Esquire  
Florida Bar # 0541451  
[Tom@wdjustice.com](mailto:Tom@wdjustice.com)  
[Tammyd@wdjustice.com](mailto:Tammyd@wdjustice.com)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

**Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-l(b)**

FRANCES A. TOMES, ESQ.  
TOMES LAW FIRM, PC  
17 BROAD STREET, SUITE 3  
FREEHOLD, NJ 07728  
Tel 732-333-0681  
Email: BKTeam@TomesLawFirm.com  
Attorneys for: Creditors Jesse Boone and Rose Boone

In Re:  
United Site Services, Inc.

Case No.: 25-23630 MBK

Chapter: 11

Hearing Date: February 19, 2026

Judge: Michael B. Kaplan

## ORDER

---

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered two (2) through 3, is  
**ORDERED.**

Upon the motion of Jesse Boone and Rose Boone, creditors ("movant"), under Bankruptcy Code section 362(d) for relief from the automatic stay as hereinafter set forth, and for cause shown, it is

**ORDERED** that

1. The motion is granted.
2. The automatic stay is vacated to permit the movant to continue the prosecution of the lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit in and for Polk County, Florida, Under Case No: 2025:CA-003484A
3. Enforcement of any judgment or settlement obtained therein by the creditor against the debtor in bankruptcy shall be limited to the debtor's application insurance policies.

The movant shall serve this order on the debtor, any trustee and any other party who entered an appearance on the motion.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)

In Re:

Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Chapter: \_\_\_\_\_

Adv. No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Hearing Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

**CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE**

1. I, \_\_\_\_\_ :

☐ represent \_\_\_\_\_ in this matter.

☐ am the secretary/paralegal for \_\_\_\_\_, who represents  
\_\_\_\_\_ in this matter.

☐ am the \_\_\_\_\_ in this case and am representing myself.

2. On \_\_\_\_\_, I sent a copy of the following pleadings and/or documents  
to the parties listed in the chart below.

3. I certify under penalty of perjury that the above documents were sent using the mode of service  
indicated.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature



Name and Address of Party Served	Relationship of Party to the Case	Mode of Service
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)

Name and Address of Party Served	Relationship of Party to the Case	Mode of Service
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)

Name and Address of Party Served	Relationship of Party to the Case	Mode of Service
James S. Carr Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 3 World Trade Center 175 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Nicole Castiglione Rolnick Kramer Sadighi LLP PENN 1, Suite 3401 One Pennsylvania Plaza New York, NY 10119	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Matthew Catone Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP 32 Old Slip New York, NY 10005	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Amelia E. Danovitch Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP One Bryant Park Bank of America Tower New York, NY 10036	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Keri P. Ebeck Bernstein-Burkley, P.C. 601 Grant Street, 9th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)

Name and Address of Party Served	Relationship of Party to the Case	Mode of Service
Leah Eisenberg Pashman Stein Walder Hayden, P.C. 21 Main Street Suite 200 Hackensack, NJ 10020-1001	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Daniel C. Fleming Wong Fleming 400 Alexander Park Drive Suite 201 Princeton, NJ 08540	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Zachary Dain Lanier Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP 2300 N. Field Street Suite 1800 Dallas, TX 75201	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Warren J. Martin, Jr. Porzio, Bromberg & Newman 100 Southgate Parkway Morristown, NJ 07962-1997	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Melinda D. Middlebrooks Middlebrooks Shapiro, P.C. P.O. Box 1630 Belmar, NJ 07719-1630	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)

Name and Address of Party Served	Relationship of Party to the Case	Mode of Service
Joel Moss Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP 32 Old Slip New York, NY 10005	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Steven M. Richman Clark Hill Plc 210 Carnegie Ctr Suite 102 Princeton, NJ 08540	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
David E. Sklar Pashman Stein Walder Hayden P.C. 21 Main Street, Suite 200 Hackensack, NJ 07601	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
Joseph L. Sorkin Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP One Bryant Park Bank of America Tower New York, NY 10036	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
John W. Weiss 21 Main Street, Suite 200 Hackensack, NJ 07601		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)

Name and Address of Party Served	Relationship of Party to the Case	Mode of Service
Jordan Wishnew Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP 32 Old Slip New York, NY 10005	Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>ECF</u> (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Hand-delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Regular mail <input type="checkbox"/> Certified mail/RR <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (As authorized by the Court or by rule. Cite the rule if applicable.)