Docket #0222 Date Filed: 4/8/2026

FILED & JUDGMENT ENTERED
Christine F. Ramsey
April 8 2026
Clerk, U.S. Bankruptcy Court
Western District of North Carolina

Lena M James
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION

In re ALDRICH PUMP LLC, *et al.*,[1] Debtors.	Chapter 11 Case No. 20-30608 (LMJ) (Jointly Administered)
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMANTS, Plaintiff, v. ALDRICH PUMP LLC, MURRAY BOILER LLC, TRANE TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY LLC, and TRANE U.S. INC., Defendants.	Adv. Pro. No. 21-03029 (LMJ)
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMANTS, on behalf of the estates of Aldrich Pump LLC and Murray Boiler LLC, Plaintiff, v. INGERSOLL-RAND GLOBAL HOLDING COMPANY LIMITED, TRANE	Adv. Pro. No. 22-03028 (LMJ)

[1] The Debtors are the following entities (the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers follow in parentheses): Aldrich Pump LLC (2290) and Murray Boiler LLC (0679).

2030608260408000000000003

TECHNOLOGIES HOLDCO INC., TRANE TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY LLC, TRANE INC., TUI HOLDINGS INC., TRANE U.S. INC., and MURRAY BOILER HOLDINGS LLC,
Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING IN PART, AND DENYING IN PART, DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL THE COMMITTEE TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS

This matter coming before the Court on the *Defendants' Motion to Compel the Committee to Provide Adequate Responses to Discovery Requests* [Adv. Pro. 21-03029, Dkt. 204; Adv. Pro. 22-03038, Dkt. 131] (the "**Motion**"). Based upon a review of the Motion, the *Committee's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel the Committee to Provide Adequate Responses to Discovery Request*s [Adv. Pro. 21-03029, Dkt. 207; Adv. Pro. 22-03028, Dkt. 137], and *Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel the Committee to Provide Adequate Responses to Discovery Request*s [Adv. Pro. 21-03029, Dkt. 209; Adv. Pro. 22-03028, Dkt. 139]; and, after considering the arguments of counsel at the hearing before the Court on February 26, 2026 (the "**Hearing**"); for the reasons stated on the record of the Hearing (as reflected in the transcript filed at Docket No. 3061, which is incorporated herein by reference); the Court hereby FINDS, ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES that:

1. The Motion is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.

2. Within 30 days of this Order, the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants (the "Committee") shall supplement *Plaintiff's Objections and Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Production, and Requests for Admission* served in Adv. Pro. No. 21-03029 (the "Sub Con Responses"), *The Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants' Responses and Objections to Trane Defendants' First Set of*

Interrogatories, Requests for Production and Requests for Admission (the "Fraudulent Transfer Responses"), and *Plaintiff's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Production, and Requests for Admission* (the "Sub Con Supplemental Responses"), and search for and produce Documents, as follows:

a) To the extent that the Committee did not search for or provide information or documents on the basis of its objection that it does not have possession, custody, or control over Committee members and their tort counsel in their personal capacity, the Committee shall inquire of the Committee's members, as well as tort counsel for any current or former Committee members, whether they have, in that capacity, information or documents responsive to *Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Production, and Requests for Admission Directed to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants* and *Trane Defendants' Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories, Requests for Production, and Requests for Admission to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants*, and shall supplement its responses to the challenged discovery requests and produce non-privileged responsive information or documents (if any received). The Committee's obligation to search for and produce documents responsive to Sub Con RFP 28 is limited to Documents relating to the claims and defenses in the Sub Con proceeding. To the extent the Committee, any Committee member, or tort counsel for any current or former Committee member declines to produce responsive information or documents on the basis of any privilege or protection, the Committee shall identify, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 (made applicable Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7034), whether any responsive materials are being withheld on the aforementioned basis or bases.

b) The Committee shall supplement its responses to Sub Con Interrogatories 1-3 to identify any known specific creditors or asbestos claimants whom it presently contends (i)

dealt with TTC and Aldrich as one legal entity and/or Trane and Murray as one legal entity subsequent to the Corporate Restructuring (Sub Con Interrogatory 1), (ii) subjectively relied on the economic resources of Old IRNJ and/or Old Trane when entering into settlement agreements that remain unpaid as of the Petition Date (Sub Con Interrogatory 2), and (iii) extended credit to Old Trane, Old IRNJ, Aldrich, or Murray (Sub Con Interrogatory 3). The Committee shall identify all facts and reasons in support of the aforementioned contentions, including the basis for any contention concerning the state of mind of any creditor or asbestos claimant, identified in Sub Con Interrogatories Nos. 1, 2, and 3. If the Committee is not presently aware of additional responsive evidence concerning the contentions with respect to the foregoing (i), (ii), or (iii), its supplemental responses shall so state.

c) The Committee shall supplement its response to Sub Con Interrogatory 4 by stating all facts and reasons that support the Committee's assertion in the main case that "[t]he Debtors are not in financial distress: they can fully pay all their creditors in the ordinary course of business, and their economic viability is not threatened by their liabilities."

3. As discovery is ongoing, nothing in this Order precludes the parties from supplementing their responses as appropriate under the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Should the Committee become aware of evidence concerning its contentions with respect to the foregoing requests, the Committee shall supplement its responses in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

4. Defendants' motion to compel as to any Discovery Requests seeking analyses, reports, or estimates of the Debtors' liabilities, or seeking information or documents relying on the same, including Sub Con Interrogatories 5 and 7, Sub Con RFPs 5, 7, and 21, Sub Con RFAs 9-10, Fraudulent Transfer Interrogatory 9 and Fraudulent Transfer RFP 9 is DENIED without

prejudice.

5. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over this Order and any and all matters arising from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

This Order has been signed electronically.
The Judge's signature and Court's seal appear
at the top of the Order.

United States Bankruptcy Court