Docket #0252 Date Filed: 6/18/2026

FILED & JUDGMENT ENTERED
Christine F. Ramsey
June 18 2026
Clerk, U.S. Bankruptcy Court
Western District of North Carolina

Lena M James
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION

In re ALDRICH PUMP LLC, *et al.*,[1] Debtors.	Chapter 11 Case No. 20-30608 (LMJ) (Jointly Administered)
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMANTS, Plaintiff, v. ALDRICH PUMP LLC, MURRAY BOILER LLC, TRANE TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY LLC, and TRANE U.S. INC., Defendants.	Adv. Pro. No. 21-03029 (LMJ)

[1] The Debtors are the following entities (the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers follow in parentheses): Aldrich Pump LLC (2290) and Murray Boiler LLC (0679). The Debtors' address is 800-E Beaty Street, Davidson, North Carolina 28036.

2030608260618000000000003

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMANTS, on behalf of the estates of Aldrich Pump LLC and Murray Boiler LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

INGERSOLL-RAND GLOBAL HOLDING COMPANY LIMITED, TRANE TECHNOLOGIES HOLDCO INC., TRANE TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY LLC, TRANE INC., TUI HOLDINGS INC., TRANE U.S. INC., and MURRAY BOILER HOLDINGS LLC,
Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 22-03028 (LMJ)

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS

This matter coming before the Court on the *Defendants' Motion to Stay Adversary Proceedings* [Base Case Dkt. No. 2822; Adv. Proc. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 169; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 98] (the "Motion"). Based upon a review of the Motion, *The Future Claimants' Representative's Omnibus Response to (1) Debtors' Motion to Stay Adversary Proceedings, and (II) Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants' Motion to Amend Case Management Order* [Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 175]*; Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Motion to Stay Adversary Proceedings* [Base Case Dkt. No. 2842; Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 177; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 104]; *Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Adversary Proceedings* [Base Case Dkt. No. 2851; Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 180; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No.106]; *Defendants' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion to Stay Adversary Proceedings* [Base Case Dkt. No. 3122; Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 226; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 157]; *The Future Asbestos Claimants' Representative's Response to Defendants' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion to Stay Adversary*

Proceedings [Base Case Dkt. No. 3141; Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 230; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 161]; *Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion to Stay Adversary Proceedings* [Base Case Dkt. No. 3142; Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 231; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 162]; and *Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion to Stay Adversary Proceedings* [Base Case Dkt. No. 3155; Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 233; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 164]; and, after considering the arguments of counsel at the hearings before the Court on October 23, 2025, and May 21, 2026 (collectively, the "Hearings"); the Court finding that (a) the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, (b) venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409, and (c) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and, for the reasons stated on the record at the May 21, 2026 hearing (as reflected in the transcript filed at Base Case Dkt. No. 3166, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 243, and Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 173, which transcript is incorporated herein by reference), the Court hereby FINDS, ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES that:

1. The Motion is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part, as set forth herein.

2. The Defendants in the above-captioned adversary proceedings (the "Adversary Proceedings") shall substantially complete production of documents for Post-CMO Discovery (as defined in the *Second Amended Case Management Order* [Adv. Proc. No. 21-03029, Dkt. No. 197; Adv. Proc. No. 22-03028, Dkt. No. 124] ("Second Amended Case Management Order") and produce any related privilege log in accordance with Paragraphs C.5.vi and vii of the Second Amended Case Management Order.

3. Beginning July 7, 2026, the Adversary Proceedings shall be stayed in their entirety, except for production of any privilege log as set forth in Paragraph 2 above. The stay includes any review by the Plaintiffs of documents and privilege logs.

4. The Adversary Proceedings shall recommence, and the stay under this Order shall no longer be in effect, as of the date of the next omnibus hearing occurring more than thirty (30) days after the issuance of the Phase I Ruling (as defined in the *Order Commencing Phase I of the Estimation Trial with Hearings Based on the Tort System Extrapolation Method and the Parties' Expert Reports* [Base Case Dkt. No. 3011]).

5. Prior to July 7, 2026 and from the date set forth in Paragraph 4 above, the Parties shall continue to work in good faith in the normal course to resolve any discovery disputes.

6. Paragraph C.5.viii of the Second Amended Case Management Order is modified as follows: Any objections to or motions to compel concerning either document production or privilege logs must be filed within sixty (60) days after the date of the next omnibus hearing occurring more than thirty (30) days after the issuance of the Phase I Ruling.

7. Other than provisions set forth herein, the Second Amended Case Management Order remains in effect.

8. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over this Order and any and all matters arising from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

This Order has been signed electronically.
The Judge's signature and court's seal appear at the top of the Order.

United States Bankruptcy Court